रजिस्ट्री सं. डी. एल. (एन) 04/0007/2003–05 REGD. NO. D. L. (N) 04/0007/2003—05

भारत का राजपत्र
The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY
साप्ताहिक
WEEKLY

सं. 18] नई दिल्ली, अप्रैल 28—मई 4, 2019, शनिवार/वैशाख 8—वैशाख 14, 1941
No. 18] NEW DELHI, APRIL 28—MAY 4, 2019, SATURDAY/VAISAKHA 8— VAISAKHA—14, 1941

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह पृथक संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके
Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (ii)
PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों (रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) द्वारा जारी किए गए सांविधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं

Statutory Orders and Notifications Issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (Other than the Ministry of Defence)

कार्मिक, लोक शिकायत तथा पेंशन मंत्रालय

(कार्मिक और प्रशिक्षण विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 25 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 648.—केन्द्र सरकार एतदद्वारा दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना अधिनियम, 1946 (1946 का अधिनियम संख्या 25) की धारा 6 के साथ पठित धारा 5 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए तमिलनाडू राज्य सरकार गृह (पुलिस XIII) विभाग के शासकीय आदेश (Ms). सं. 174 दिनांक 16.03.2019 के माध्यम से प्राप्त सहमति से भारतीय दंड संहिता (1860 का केन्द्रीय अधिनियम सं. XLV) की धारा 354-ए, 354-बी तथा 392 व सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी अधिनियम, 2000, (2000 का केन्द्रीय अधिनियम सं. 21) की धारा 66-ई तथा तमिलनाडू महिला उत्पीड़न निषेध अधिनियम, 1998 (1998 का तमिलनाडू अधिनियम 44) अपराध संख्या 59/2019 तथा भारतीय दंड संहिता (1860 का केन्द्रीय अधिनियम सं. XLV) की धारा 341, 294 (बी), 323, 324 एवं 506 भाग-2 के अंतर्गत, अपराध संख्या 60/2019 में जिला कोयम्बटूर, पोलाची पूर्वी पुलिस थाना में दर्ज मामले की जांच करने के लिए दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना के सदस्यों की शक्तियों और क्षेत्राधिकार का समस्त तमिलनाडू राज्य में विस्तार करती है।

[फा. सं. 228/06/2019–एवीडी-II]

एस.पी.आर. त्रिपाठी, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS

(Department of Personnel and Training)

New Delhi, the 25th April, 2019

S.O. 648.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5 read with Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (Act No. 25 of 1946), the Central Government with the consent of State Government of Tamil Nadu, Home (Police XIII) Department, vide Government Order G.O.(Ms).No. 174 dated 16.03.2019, hereby extends the powers and jurisdiction of the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment in the whole of the State of Tamil Nadu to investigate the case registered in Coimbatore District, Pollachi East Police Station Crime No.59/2019 under sections 354-A, 354-B and 392 of Indian Penal Code (Central Act XLV of 1860) and section 66-E of Information Technology Act, 2000 (Central Act 21 of 2000) and section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 1998 (Tamil Nadu Act 44 of 1998) and Crime No.60/2019 under sections 341, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506 Part 2 of Indian Penal Code (Central Act XLV of 1860).

[F. No. 228/06/2019-AVD-II]

S.P.R. TRIPATHI, Under Secy.

विद्युत मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 8 अप्रैल, 2019

का. आ. 649.—केंद्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम, 1976 के नियम 10 के उप-नियम (4) के अनुसरण में विद्युत मंत्रालय के प्रशासनिक नियंत्रणाधीन पावरग्रिड कारपोरेशन ऑफ इंडिया लिमिटेड के निम्नलिखित कार्यालयों जिनके 80 प्रतिशत कर्मचारीवृंद ने हिंदी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, को एतदद्वारा अधिसूचित करती है:-

1. पावर ग्रिड कारपोरेशन ऑफ इंडिया लिमिटेड,
   400/220 केवी पावगड़ा उपकेंद्र,
   क्यातंग-चेर्लू गांव, वेंकटमनाहल्ली-पोस्ट,
   पावगड़ा, जिला तुमकूर, कर्नाटक-572136

2. पावर ग्रिड कारपोरेशन ऑफ इंडिया लिमिटेड,
   765/400/220 केवी उपकेंद्र,
   वसंतनरसापुरा इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया-2 व 3,
   केंपनाडोड्डेरी (पोस्ट), कोराहोब्ली,
   तुमकूर तालुक व जिला, कर्नाटक-572128

3. पावर ग्रिड कारपोरेशन ऑफ इंडिया लिमिटेड,
   कुडगी 765/400 केवी जीआईएस उपकेंद्र,
   बीजापुर-अलमाटी राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग-50 का 275 किमी स्टोन,
   बसवनबागेवाड़ी तालुक, बीजापुर-586121

[सं. 11011/9/2017-हिंदी]

राज पाल, आर्थिक सलाहकार

MINISTRY OF POWER

New Delhi, the 8th April, 2019

S.O. 649.—In pursuance of Sub-Rule (4) of Rule 10 of the Official Languages (Use for Official Purpose of the Union) Rules, 1976, the Central Government hereby notify the following offices of the Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd. under the administrative control of Ministry of Power, where 80% of the staff have acquired working knowledge of Hindi:

1. Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd.,
   400/220 KV Pavagada sub-station,
   Kyatagancherlu Village, Venkatamanahalli-Post,
   Pavagada-Taluk, Tumkur District, Karnataka -572136

2. Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd.,
765/400/220 KV Substation,
Vasantanarsapura Industrial Area-2 & 3,
Kempanadodderi (post), Kora hobli,
Tumkur (TQ and Dt), Karnataka-572128

3. Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd.,
Kudgi 765/400 KV GIS Substation,
275 km stone on Bijapur-Almati NH-50,
Basavanbagewadi Taluk, Bijapur-586121

[No.11011/9/2017-Hindi]

RAJ PAL, Economic Adviser

पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 3 अप्रैल, 2019

का. आ. 650.—केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाईन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया हैं) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 1373 तारीख 5 सितम्बर 2018 जो भारत के राजपत्र, प्रकाशित की गई थी द्वारा उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में महाराष्ट्र राज्य में जिला नासिक में तालुका मालेगांव कोयली - अहमदनगर - सोलापुर पेट्रोलियम परिवहन के लिए इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाईन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी ;

और उक्त राजपत्र अधिनियम की प्रतियां जनता को तारीख 01 दिसम्बर, 2018 तक उपलब्ध करा दी गई थी ;

और सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन केन्द्रीय सरकार को रिपोर्ट की है ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार ने, उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् और यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि उक्त भूमि पाइपलाईन बिछाने के लिए अपेक्षित है, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने का विनिश्चय किया है ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में पाइपलाईन बिछाने के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

और सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निर्देश देती है कि उक्त भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख से केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने के बजाए, सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त होकर इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड में निहित होगी ।

पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाईन अधिनियम, 1962 की धारा 10 के अधीन किसी भी क्षतिपूर्ति के लिए इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड पूर्णतया उत्तरदायी होगी और पाइपलाईन से सम्बन्धित किसी भी मामले पर केन्द्रीय सरकार के विरुद्ध कोई वाद दावा या कानूनी कार्यवाही नहीं हो सकेगी।

अनुसूची

तालुका -मालेगांव	जिला - नासिक		राज्य - महाराष्ट्र		
मौजे/ग्राम	सर्वे/ब्लॉक/सं (प्लॉट सं)	सब-डिव-सं	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टेयर	आर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
जलगांव (निंबयती)	87		00	21	39
	86		00	28	14
	82		00	46	16
	84		00	04	33
	81		00	11	38
	80		00	52	80
	79		00	34	51
	74		00	31	48

	72		00	24	63
	65		00	26	81
	590		00	11	34
	591		00	03	68
	589		00	29	73
	593		00	25	16
	595		00	12	11
	599		00	07	18
	598		00	21	09
	597		00	34	16
	579		00	41	21
	578		00	22	23
	552		00	49	11
	553		00	34	58
	559		00	09	22
	558		00	08	18
	520		00	08	37
	519		00	51	33
	517		00	32	81
	516		00	15	89

[फा. सं. आर-11025(11)/6/2018-ओ-आर-I/ई-23678]

शान्तनु धर, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS

New Delhi, the 3rd April, 2019

S. O. 650.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas vide S.O. No. 1373 dated the 5th September, 2018, issued under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying Koyali-Ahmednagar-Solapur Pipeline for the transportation of Petroleum Products in Taluka Malegaon in Nashik District in the State of Maharashtra by Indian Oil Corporation Limited;

And whereas copies of the said Gazette notification were made available to the public up to 01st December 2018;

And whereas the competent authority has under sub-section (1) of Section 6 of said act submitted report to the Central Government;

And Whereas the Central Government, after considering the said report and on being satisfied that the said land is required for laying the pipeline, has decided to acquire right of user therein;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that right of user in the said land for laying the pipeline shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of publication of the declaration, in Indian Oil Corporation Limited, free from all encumbrances.

Indian Oil Corporation Limited shall be exclusively liable for any compensation in terms of Section 10 of the P & MP Act, 1962 and no suit, claim or legal proceeding would lie against the Central Government on any matter relating to the pipeline.

SCHEDULE

Taluka:- Malegaon	District:- Nashik		State :- Maharashtra		
Mauje / Village	Survey/ Block No.	Sub-Div-No.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Jalgaon (Nimbait)	87		00	21	39
	86		00	28	14
	82		00	46	16
	84		00	04	33
	81		00	11	38
	80		00	52	80
	79		00	34	51
	74		00	31	48
	72		00	24	63
	65		00	26	81
	590		00	11	34
	591		00	03	68
	589		00	29	73
	593		00	25	16
	595		00	12	11
	599		00	07	18
	598		00	21	09
	597		00	34	16
	579		00	41	21
	578		00	22	23
	552		00	49	11
	553		00	34	58
	559		00	09	22
	558		00	08	18
	520		00	08	37
	519		00	51	33
	517		00	32	81
	516		00	15	89

[F. No. R-11025(11)/6/2018-OR-I/E-23678]

SANTANU DHAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 22 अप्रैल, 2019

का. आ. 651.—पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भू-उपयोग अधिकार अधिग्रहण) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 के 50) के खण्ड 2 की धारा(क) के अनुसरण में तथा पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय में भारत सरकार की दिनांक 21-5-2012 की अधिसूचना का॰आ॰1781 का अधिक्रमण करते हुए, केंद्र सरकार एतद्वारा ,डीप्टी जिला कलेक्टर (नजफ़गढ़), राष्ट्रीय राजधानी क्षेत्र दिल्ली को अपने कर्तव्यों के अतिरिक्त राष्ट्रीय राजधानी क्षेत्र दिल्ली की सीमा मे हिंन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड की एमडीपीएल उत्पाद पाइपलाइन के अंग के रूप में बहादुरगढ़ – टिकरिकलां स्परलाइंस के लिए उक्त अधिनियम के तहत सक्षम प्राधिकारी के रूप में कार्य करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है।

[फा. सं. आर-11025(15)/2/2019-ओआर-I/ई-29584]

शान्तनु धर, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 22nd April, 2019

S. O. 651.—In pursuance of clause (a) of section 2 of Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of user in land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) and in suppression of notification of the Government of India in Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas No. S.O. 1781 dated 21-05-2012, the Central Government hereby authorizes, SDM (Najafgarh) Government of NCT Delhi, to perform the functions of Competent Authority for Bahadurgarh Tikrikalan spurlines as part of MDPL product Pipeline of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited in addition to his/her own duties, under the said Act, within the territory of NCT Delhi.

[F. No. R-11025(15)/2/2019-OR-I/E-29584]

SANTANU DHAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 23 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 652.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम, 1976 के नियम 10 के उपनियम (4) के अनुसरण में पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय के प्रशासनिक नियंत्रणाधीन सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के उपक्रमों के निम्नलिखित कार्यालयों को, जिनके 80 या अधिक प्रतिशत कर्मचारी वृन्द ने हिन्दी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, अधिसूचित करती है:-

1. भारत पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड, रायपुर,
एल.पी.जी. बॉटलिंग प्लांट, ग्राम – खपरी,
तहसील - तिल्दा, जिला – रायपुर,
पिन – 493116

[सं. 11011/1/2017(हिन्दी)]

ऊषा बिन्जोला, संयुक्त निदेशक (राजभाषा)

New Delhi, the 23rd April, 2019

S.O. 652.—In pursuance of Sub-Rule (4) of Rule 10 of the Official Language (Use for official purpose of the Unoin) Rules, 1976, the Central Government hereby notifies the following offices of the Public Sector Undertakings under the administrative control of the Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas, in which 80 or more per cent of the staff have acquired working Knowledge of Hindi :-

1. Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd., Raipur
LPG Bottling Plant, Village - Khapari,
Tahsil – Tilda, District – Raipur,
PIN - 493116

[No. 11011/1/2017(Hindi)]

USHA BINJOLA, Jt. Director (OL)

नई दिल्ली, 23 अप्रैल, 2019

का. आ. 653.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम, 1976 के नियम 10 के उपनियम (4) के अनुसरण में पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय के प्रशासनिक नियंत्रणाधीन सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के उपक्रमों के निम्नलिखित कार्यालयों को, जिनके 80 या अधिक प्रतिशत कर्मचारी वृन्द ने हिन्दी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, अधिसूचित करती है :-

क्र.सं.	कार्यालय/लोकेशन का नाम
1.	तलोजा ल्यूब कॉम्प्लेक्स इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड, विशेषता ल्यूब और योजक परिसर, प्लॉट सं. टी – 20, एमआईडीसी, तलोजा नवी मुंबई – 410208 (महाराष्ट्र)
2.	वडोदरा एलपीजी टर्मिनल, इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड, वडोदरा – सावली रोड, पोस्ट – दुमाड, वडोदरा – 391749

3.	मुंबई मण्डल कार्यालय, इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड, सार्जन प्लाज़ा, 100, डा. एनी बेसंट रोड, वर्ली, मुंबई – 400018

[सं. 11011/1/2017 (हिन्दी)]

ऊषा बिन्जोला, संयुक्त निदेशक (राजभाषा)

New Delhi, the 23rd April, 2019

S.O. 653.—In pursuance of Sub Rule (4) of Rule 10 of the Official Language (Use for official purpose of the Unoin) Rules, 1976, the Central Government hereby notifies the following offices of the Public Sector Undertakings under the administrative control of the Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas, in which 80 or more percent of the staff have acquired working Knowledge of Hindi :-

Sl. No.	Name of Office/Location
1.	Taloja Lube Complex Indian Oil Corporation Limited Visheshata Lube aur Yojak Parisar, Plot No. T-20, MIDC, Taloja Navi Mumbai – 410208 (Maharashtra)
2.	Vadodara LPG Terminal, Indian Oil Corporation Limited Vadodara Sawali Road, Post – Dumad, Vadodara-391749
3.	Mumbai Circle Office, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Sarzan Plaza, 100, Dr. Ani Besent Road, Worli, Mumbai - 400018

[No. 11011/1/2017(Hindi)]

USHA BINJOLA, Jt. Director (OL)

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2019

का. आ. 654.—पेट्रोलियम एवं खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन)अधिनियम, 1962, (1962 का 50) (इसमें इसके बाद उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 2 के खंड (क) के अनुसरण में, भारत के असाधारण राजपत्र संख्या 370, दिनांक 13.02.2017 में प्रकाशित, भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का. आ. संख्या 406 (अ), दिनांक 08.02.2017 में, भारत सरकार एतदद्वारा, संशोधन करती है तथा आंध्र प्रदेश राज्य में इंडियन ऑयल कॉरपोरेशन लिमिटेड की पारादीप हैदराबाद पाइपलाइन परियोजना के लिए पेट्रोलियम एवं खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 के तहत श्री बी. वेंकटेशु को श्री एम. आर.आर. शेषु के स्थान पर सक्षम प्राधिकारी के कार्यों का निर्वहन करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है।

यह अधिसूचना जारी होने की तारीख से लागू होगी ।

[फा. सं. आर-11025(11)/252/2017-ओआर-I/ई-21033]

शान्तनु धर, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2019

S. O. 654.—In pursuance of clause (a) of Section 2 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of user in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), (hereinafter called the said Act), Government hereby, makes the amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas. S.O. No 406 (E) dated 08.02.2017, published in the Extra Ordinary Gazette of India No. 370 dated 13.02.2017 and authorizes Shri B. Venkatesu vice Shri M.R.R. Seshu to perform the functions of Competent Authority under the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of user in Land) Act, 1962 for Paradip Hyderabad Pipeline Project of Indian Oil Corporation Limited in the state of Andhra Pradesh.

This notification is applicable from the date of issue.

[F. No. R-11025(11)/252/2017-OR-I/E-21033]

SANTANU DHAR, Under Secy.

कोयला मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 655.—केन्द्रीय सरकार ने कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 4 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के कोयला मंत्रालय द्वारा जारी की गई अधिसूचना संख्यांक का. आ. 1704(अ), तारीख 10 मई,2016 , जो भारत के राजपत्र, असाधारण, भाग ।।, खंड 3, उप खंड-(ii), तारीख 11 मई,201 6 में प्रकाशित की गई थी, उस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट परिक्षेत्र की भूमि में जिसका माप 912.7892 हेक्टेयर (लगभग) या 2255.502 एकड़ (लगभग) है, कोयले का पूर्वेक्षण करने के अपने आशय की सूचना दी थी और उक्त सूचना 10 मई, 2018 से प्रारंभ होने वाली एक वर्ष की और अवधि को, अधिसूचना संख्या 1651(अ), तारीख 17 अप्रैल,2018 में अधिसूचित की गई थी ;

और, केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह समाधान हो गया है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विहित उक्त भूमि के भाग से कोयला अभिप्राप्य किया जा सकता है ।

अत:, अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, इससे संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट 912.799 हेक्टेयर (लगभग) या 2255.575 एकड़ (लगभग) माप वाली भूमि में या उस पर के सभी अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की सूचना देती है ।

टिप्पण 1 : इस अधिसूचना के अधीन आने वाले क्षेत्र के रेखांक संख्यांक एससीसीएल/नैनी क्षेत्र /अधिसूचना/2018/1, तारीख 28 दिसम्बर, 2018 का निरीक्षण महा प्रबंधक, नैनी क्षेत्र, दि सिंगरेनी कोलियरीज़ कंपनी लिमिटेड (एक सरकारी कंपनी), एनएसी का एच. संख्या 129, मिश्रापाड़ा, जिला अंगुल-759122, ओडिशा या कोयला नियंत्रक, 1, काउंसिल हाउस स्ट्रीट, कोलकाता - 700001 के कार्यालय में या कलेक्टर और जिला मजिस्ट्रेट, जिला अंगुल, ओडिशा के कार्यालय में किया जा सकता है ।

टिप्पण 2 : उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 8 के उपबंधों की ओर ध्यान आकृष्ट किया जाता है, जिसमें अर्जन की आपत्तियों के संबंध में निम्नलिखित उपबंध है :-

> ''8. (1) कोई व्यक्ति, जो किसी भूमि में, जिसकी बाबत धारा 7 के अधीन अधिसूचना जारी की गई है, हितबद्ध है, अधिसूचना जारी किए जाने से तीस दिन के भीतर सम्पूर्ण भूमि या उसके किसी भाग या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के किन्हीं अधिकारों का अर्जन किए जाने के संबंध में आपत्ति कर सकेगा ।

स्पष्टीकरण.- इस धारा के अर्थांतर्गत यह आपत्ति नहीं मानी जाएगी, कि कोई व्यक्ति किसी भूमि में कोयला उत्पादन के लिए स्वयं खनन संक्रियाएं करना चाहता है और ऐसी संक्रियाएं केन्द्रीय सरकार या किसी अन्य व्यक्ति को नहीं करनी चाहिए ।

(2) उप-धारा (1) के अधीन प्रत्येक आपत्ति सक्षम अधिकारी को लिखित रूप में की जाएगी और सक्षम अधिकारी, आपत्तिकर्ता को स्वयं सुने जाने का या विधि व्यवसायी द्वारा सुनवाई का अवसर देगा और ऐसी सभी आपत्तियों को सुनने के पश्चात् और ऐसी अतिरिक्त जांच, यदि कोई हो, करने के पश्चात् , जो वह आवश्यक समझता है, वह या तो धारा 7 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन अधिसूचित भूमि के या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकारों के संबंध में एक रिपोर्ट या ऐसी भूमि के विभिन्न टुकड़ों या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकारों के संबंध में आपत्तियों पर अपनी सिफारिशों और उसके द्वारा की गई कार्यवाही के अभिलेख सहित विभिन्न रिपोर्ट केन्द्रीय सरकार को उसके विनिश्चय के लिए देगा ।

(3) इस धारा के प्रयोजनों के लिए वह व्यक्ति किसी भूमि में हितबद्ध समझा जाएगा जो प्रतिकर में हित का दावा करने का हकदार होता, यदि भूमि या ऐसी भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकार इस अधिनियम के अधीन अर्जित कर लिए जाते ।''

टिप्पण 3 : केन्द्रीय सरकार ने कोयला नियंत्रक 1, काउंसिल हाउस स्ट्रीट, कोलकाता - 700001 को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 के अधीन अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 3347(अ), तारीख 9 दिसम्बर, 2015, जो भारत के राजपत्र, भाग ।।, खंड 3, उप खंड-(ii), में 10 दिसम्बर, 2015 को प्रकाशित की गयी थी, द्वारा सक्षम प्राधिकारी नियुक्त किया है ।

अनुसूची

सिंगरेनी कोलियरीज़ कंपनी लिमिटेड

नैनी ओपनकास्ट परियोजना, नैनी क्षेत्र

जिला अंगुल, ओडिशा

[रेखांक संख्यांक एससीसीएल/नैनी क्षेत्र /अधिसूचना/2018/1,तारीख 28 दिसम्बर, 2018]

(I) सारणी

क्र.सं.	ग्राम का नाम	थाना	थाना संख्या	जिला	भूमि का प्रकार (हेक्टेयर में)			जोड़, हेक्टेयर में	जोड़, एकड़ में	टिप्पणियां
					अभिधृति	वन	गैर-वन सरकारी			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
1	कासीडीहा	छेंदीपादा	95	अंगुल	0.961	9.805	0.750	11.516	28.457	भाग
2	तन्तुलोई गोपीनाथपुर	छेंदीपादा	94	अंगुल	-	1.461	0.654	2.115	5.226	भाग
3	थलीपसी	छेंदीपादा	101	अंगुल	20.555	4.207	3.052	27.814	68.730	भाग
4	बिम्बाधारापुर	छेंदीपादा	102	अंगुल	35.724	51.101	8.873	95.698	236.475	पूर्ण
5	कुडापासी	छेंदीपादा	103	अंगुल	42.488	56.744	1.716	100.948	249.448	भाग
6	धौराखमान	छेंदीपादा	104	अंगुल	12.099	16.862	2.652	31.613	78.117	भाग
7	छेंदीपादा आरक्षित वन	छेंदीपादा	-	अंगुल	0.000	643.095	0.000	643.095	1589.122	भाग
जोड़ :					111.827	783.275	17.697	912.799	2255.575	
जोड़ : 912.799 हेक्टेयर (लगभग) या 2255.575 एकड़ (लगभग)										

1. ग्राम कासीडीहा में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लाट संख्यांक:

1 से 16, 17(भाग), 20(भाग), 23(भाग), 24(भाग), 25 से 29, 30(भाग), 31, 32(भाग), 33(भाग), 34(भाग), 36(भाग), 3092(भाग), 3138, 3176.

2. ग्राम तन्तुलोई गोपीनाथपुर में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लॉट संख्याक:

193(भाग), 194(भाग), 195(भाग), 196 से 199, 200(भाग), 201(भाग).

3. ग्राम थलीपसी में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लॉट संख्यांक:

1 से 17, 18(भाग), 19(भाग), 20(भाग), 52(भाग), 53 से 62, 63(भाग), 64(भाग), 66(भाग), 67, 68(भाग), 69 से 118, 119(भाग), 120(भाग), 229(भाग), 233(भाग), 234(भाग), 235, 236, 237(भाग), 238(भाग), 239, 240, 241(भाग), 242(भाग), 243, 244, 245(भाग), 247(भाग), 250(भाग), 251 से 431, 432(भाग), 433(भाग), 434(भाग), 436(भाग), 437(भाग), 449(भाग), 471(भाग), 472(भाग), 473, 474, 475, 476(भाग), 477 से 545, 546(भाग), 547(भाग), 548(भाग), 549, 550(भाग), 551(भाग), 552(भाग), 553(भाग), 561(भाग), 565, 566, 568, 569, 570, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583.

4. ग्राम बिम्बाधारापुर में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लॉट संख्यांक:

1 से 437.

5. ग्राम कुडापासी में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लाट संख्यांक:

1 से 460, 461(भाग), 462(भाग), 463 से 466, 467(भाग), 492(भाग), 499(भाग), 500(भाग), 501 से 522, 523(भाग), 527(भाग), 528, 529(भाग), 530(भाग), 531(भाग), 532 से 630, 631(भाग), 632(भाग), 633(भाग), 634(भाग), 635(भाग), 636 से 677, 678(भाग), 679(भाग), 680(भाग), 681(भाग), 682(भाग), 685(भाग), 686(भाग), 687(भाग), 688, 689(भाग), 690, 691(भाग), 692(भाग), 737(भाग), 738, 739, 740, 741(भाग), 769(भाग), 770 से 783, 784(भाग), 795(भाग), 796(भाग), 797(भाग), 802(भाग), 803(भाग), 804, 805, 806, 807(भाग), 809(भाग), 955(भाग), 956(भाग), 1223, 1224(भाग), 1225 से 1231, 1233 से 1297, 1300 से 1311, 1314, 1316 से 1322, 1324 से 1331, 1333 से 1363.

6. ग्राम धराखमान में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लाट संख्यांक:

1 से 19, 20(भाग), 21(भाग), 22 से 52, 53(भाग), 54(भाग), 84(भाग), 85(भाग), 86 से 101, 102(भाग), 103, 104, 105(भाग), 176(भाग), 178(भाग), 179(भाग), 180(भाग), 181(भाग), 182 से 212, 213(भाग), 214 से 221, 222(भाग), 223(भाग), 224(भाग), 225(भाग), 226(भाग), 255(भाग), 256(भाग), 257(भाग), 258, 259, 260(भाग), 261, 262(भाग), 265(भाग), 266(भाग), 267, 268(भाग), 269(भाग), 270(भाग), 550 से 553, 558 से 563, 566, 567, 570 से 572, 574, 575, 578 से 582, 584, 587 से 592, 595, 596, 598, 599, 600, 605, 607, 612, 614.

(7) छेंदीपादा आरक्षित वन: 643.095 हेक्टेयर या 643.095 एकड़

अर्जित की जाने वाली भूमि का विवरण नीचे सारणी में दिया गया है:-

(II) सारणी

(1) ग्राम – कासीडीहा

गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)

क्र.सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	कासीडीहा	44	3	चौधुरी प्रधान सुपुत्र लखई प्रधान व अन्य	तैला	0.136
2	कासीडीहा	73	4	दसारथी साहु सुपुत्र पदमलवा साहु व अन्य	तैला	0.176
3	कासीडीहा	167	5	महेश्वर साहु सुपुत्र दसारथी साहु व अन्य	तैला	0.201
4	कासीडीहा	44	9	चौधुरी प्रधान सुपुत्र लखई प्रधान व अन्य	पतीता	0.090
5	कासीडीहा	44	10	चौधुरी प्रधान सुपुत्र लखई प्रधान व अन्य	पतीता	0.041
6	कासीडीहा	44	11	चौधुरी प्रधान सुपुत्र लखई प्रधान व अन्य	पतीता	0.317
जोड़ :		**ग्राम : कासीडीहा**				**0.961**

(2) ग्राम – थलीपसी

गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)

क्र.सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	थलीपसी	8	2	खिरमोहन साहू सुपुत्र जोगेन्द्र साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.607
2	थलीपसी	46/5	3	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिष्णु चरण साहू	तैला	0.133
3	थलीपसी	45	4	सुदर्शन नायक सुपुत्र दिबाकर और अन्य	पतीता	0.061
4	थलीपसी	45	5	सुदर्शन नायक सुपुत्र दिबाकर और अन्य	तैला	0.178
5	थलीपसी	21	6	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.101
6	थलीपसी	21	7	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.174
7	थलीपसी	21	8	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.243
8	थलीपसी	21	9	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.174
9	थलीपसी	21	10	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.049

10	थलीपसी	43	12	संतकुमार साहू सुपुत्र सुकरू साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.118
11	थलीपसी	43	13	संतकुमार साहू सुपुत्र सुकरू साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.510
12	थलीपसी	43	14	संतकुमार साहू सुपुत्र सुकरू साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.049
13	थलीपसी	14	15	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालव साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता दो फसली	0.542
14	थलीपसी	14	16	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालव साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता दो फसली	0.257
15	थलीपसी	14	17	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालव साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.047
16	थलीपसी	14	18	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालव साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता दो फसली	0.198
17	थलीपसी	14	19	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालव साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता दो फसली	0.003
18	थलीपसी	21	52	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.067
19	थलीपसी	21	53	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.105
20	थलीपसी	21	54	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.105
21	थलीपसी	21	55	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.032
22	थलीपसी	21	56	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.026
23	थलीपसी	21	57	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.031
24	थलीपसी	21	58	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.039
25	थलीपसी	21	59	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.033
26	थलीपसी	21	60	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.040
27	थलीपसी	21	61	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.028
28	थलीपसी	21	62	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.038
29	थलीपसी	21	63	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.028
30	थलीपसी	17	64	डालगोबोंडा माझी सुपुत्र मौलमानी माझी	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.106
31	थलीपसी	7	66	केसाबा साहू सुपुत्र जोगई साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.028
32	थलीपसी	7	67	केसाबा साहू सुपुत्र जोगई साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.122

33	थलीपसी	7	68	केसाबा साहू सुपुत्र जोगई साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.043
34	थलीपसी	7	69	केसाबा साहू सुपुत्र जोगई साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.046
35	थलीपसी	7	70	केसाबा साहू सुपुत्र जोगई साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.051
36	थलीपसी	7	71	केसाबा साहू सुपुत्र जोगई साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.091
37	थलीपसी	7	72	केसाबा साहू सुपुत्र जोगई साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.054
38	थलीपसी	7	73	केसाबा साहू सुपुत्र जोगई साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.040
39	थलीपसी	13	74	जोगी साहू सुपुत्र बंछा साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.091
40	थलीपसी	7	75	केसाबा साहू सुपुत्र जोगई साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.178
41	थलीपसी	7	76	केसाबा साहू सुपुत्र जोगई साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.091
42	थलीपसी	20	79	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मैगुनी साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.199
43	थलीपसी	20	80	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मैगुनी साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.059
44	थलीपसी	38	81	बुधिया माझी सुपुत्र नाबागाना माझी	तैला	0.221
45	थलीपसी	20	87	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मैगुनी साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.460
46	थलीपसी	20	88	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मैगुनी साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.053
47	थलीपसी	6	91	कान्हू साहू सुपुत्र आरता साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.040
48	थलीपसी	6	92	कान्हू साहू सुपुत्र आरता साहू	तैला	0.247
49	थलीपसी	27	95	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.353
50	थलीपसी	32	96	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान और अन्य।	तैला	0.412
51	थलीपसी	25	97	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.015
52	थलीपसी	25	98	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.012
53	थलीपसी	25	99	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.024
54	थलीपसी	25	100	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.025
55	थलीपसी	46/6	101	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.072
56	थलीपसी	30	102	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.190

57	थलीपसी	33	103	भागाबत गोसाईं सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.031
58	थलीपसी	46/4	105	जसबंत प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगीनाथ प्रधान और अन्य	घाराबरी	0.117
59	थलीपसी	9	106	गिरिश चन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	घाराबरी	0.119
60	थलीपसी	25	107	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	घाराबरी	0.103
61	थलीपसी	44	108	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.022
62	थलीपसी	46/6	109	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.022
63	थलीपसी	37	110	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.022
64	थलीपसी	30	111	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.158
65	थलीपसी	6	112	कानहू साहू सुपुत्र अराता साहू	घाराबरी	0.146
66	थलीपसी	22	115	पाडिया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	घाराबरी	0.087
67	थलीपसी	6	116	कानहू साहू सुपुत्र अराता साहू	घाराबरी	0.077
68	थलीपसी	21	117	पाडिया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	घाराबरी	0.102
69	थलीपसी	21	118	पाडिया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	घाराबरी	0.090
70	थलीपसी	25	233	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.002
71	थलीपसी	39	234	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारान प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.111
72	थलीपसी	39	235	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारान प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.104
73	थलीपसी	15	236	दिबाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंदा	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.052
74	थलीपसी	39	237	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.057
75	थलीपसी	39	238	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.037
76	थलीपसी	39	239	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.056
77	थलीपसी	39	240	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.026
78	थलीपसी	39	241	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.022
79	थलीपसी	39	242	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.013
80	थलीपसी	39	243	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.052

81	थलीपसी	39	244	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.045
82	थलीपसी	15	245	दिबाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.003
83	थलीपसी	39	247	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.060
84	थलीपसी	46/3	250	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.026
85	थलीपसी	25	251	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.023
86	थलीपसी	25	252	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.039
87	थलीपसी	46/6	253	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.034
88	थलीपसी	46/6	254	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.017
89	थलीपसी	44	255	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.041
90	थलीपसी	9	256	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.027
91	थलीपसी	9	257	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.016
92	थलीपसी	37	258	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.048
93	थलीपसी	46/3	259	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.034
94	थलीपसी	25	260	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.018
95	थलीपसी	9	261	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.025
96	थलीपसी	25	262	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.028
97	थलीपसी	9	263	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.036
98	थलीपसी	25	264	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.022
99	थलीपसी	9	265	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.022
100	थलीपसी	46/3	266	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.030
101	थलीपसी	44	267	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.027

102	थलीपसी	46/6	268	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.041
103	थलीपसी	37	269	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.017
104	थलीपसी	44	270	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.014
105	थलीपसी	37	271	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.034
106	थलीपसी	46/6	272	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.061
107	थलीपसी	46/3	273	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.049
108	थलीपसी	46/3	274	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.020
109	थलीपसी	9	275	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.043
110	थलीपसी	46/3	276	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.050
111	थलीपसी	25	277	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.063
112	थलीपसी	9	278	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.044
113	थलीपसी	37	279	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.031
114	थलीपसी	46/6	280	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.030
115	थलीपसी	46/6	281	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.052
116	थलीपसी	37	282	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.070
117	थलीपसी	44	283	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.067
118	थलीपसी	46/6	284	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.022
119	थलीपसी	37	285	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.014
120	थलीपसी	37	286	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.029
121	थलीपसी	9	287	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.036
122	थलीपसी	25	288	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.039

123	थलीपसी	46/3	289	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.041
124	थलीपसी	37	290	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.053
125	थलीपसी	44	291	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.023
126	थलीपसी	44	292	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.028
127	थलीपसी	44	293	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.036
128	थलीपसी	46/6	294	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.035
129	थलीपसी	44	295	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.049
130	थलीपसी	44	296	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.038
131	थलीपसी	37	297	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.088
132	थलीपसी	37	298	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.040
133	थलीपसी	46/6	299	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.026
134	थलीपसी	46/6	300	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.041
135	थलीपसी	44	301	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.075
136	थलीपसी	37	302	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.068
137	थलीपसी	46/6	303	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.046
138	थलीपसी	46/6	304	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.036
139	थलीपसी	44	305	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.038
140	थलीपसी	25	306	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.034
141	थलीपसी	25	307	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.033
142	थलीपसी	25	308	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.041
143	थलीपसी	25	309	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.058

144	थलीपसी	25	310	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.044
145	थलीपसी	25	311	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.046
146	थलीपसी	25	312	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.058
147	थलीपसी	25	313	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.043
148	थलीपसी	25	314	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.045
149	थलीपसी	25	315	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.026
150	थलीपसी	25	316	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.023
151	थलीपसी	46/3	321	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.055
152	थलीपसी	9	322	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.026
153	थलीपसी	9	323	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.054
154	थलीपसी	9	324	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.049
155	थलीपसी	9	325	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.060
156	थलीपसी	9	326	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.048
157	थलीपसी	9	327	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.047
158	थलीपसी	9	328	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.049
159	थलीपसी	9	329	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.039
160	थलीपसी	9	330	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.031
161	थलीपसी	46/6	331	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.030
162	थलीपसी	36	332	बीरबर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.019
163	थलीपसी	46/3	333	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.015
164	थलीपसी	46/3	334	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.033

165	थलीपसी	46/3	335	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.039
166	थलीपसी	46/3	336	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.044
167	थलीपसी	46/3	337	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.034
168	थलीपसी	46/3	338	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.119
169	थलीपसी	46/3	339	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.064
170	थलीपसी	46/3	340	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.045
171	थलीपसी	37	341	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.036
172	थलीपसी	37	342	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.021
173	थलीपसी	46/6	344	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.025
174	थलीपसी	46/6	345	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.035
175	थलीपसी	46/6	346	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.066
176	थलीपसी	37	347	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.102
177	थलीपसी	44	348	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.036
178	थलीपसी	44	349	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.039
179	थलीपसी	37	350	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.075
180	थलीपसी	37	351	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.076
181	थलीपसी	44	352	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.145
182	थलीपसी	46/6	353	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.102
183	थलीपसी	46/6	354	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.083
184	थलीपसी	37	355	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.076
185	थलीपसी	46/6	356	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.032

186	थलीपसी	44	357	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.029
187	थलीपसी	44	358	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.028
188	थलीपसी	44	359	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.041
189	थलीपसी	37	360	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.018
190	थलीपसी	37	361	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.028
191	थलीपसी	37	362	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.035
192	थलीपसी	46/6	363	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.063
193	थलीपसी	46/6	364	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.038
194	थलीपसी	46/6	365	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.056
195	थलीपसी	46/3	367	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.026
196	थलीपसी	9	369	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.025
197	थलीपसी	9	370	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.020
198	थलीपसी	25	371	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.051
199	थलीपसी	46/3	372	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.083
200	थलीपसी	25	373	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.040
201	थलीपसी	9	374	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.043
202	थलीपसी	9	375	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.016
203	थलीपसी	25	376	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.012
204	थलीपसी	46/3	377	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.029
205	थलीपसी	46/3	378	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.023
206	थलीपसी	25	379	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.036

207	थलीपसी	9	380	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.030
208	थलीपसी	9	381	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.023
209	थलीपसी	25	382	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.023
210	थलीपसी	46/3	383	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.019
211	थलीपसी	46/3	384	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.029
212	थलीपसी	25	385	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.024
213	थलीपसी	9	386	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.024
214	थलीपसी	9	387	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.023
215	थलीपसी	25	388	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.026
216	थलीपसी	46/3	389	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.021
217	थलीपसी	46/3	390	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.031
218	थलीपसी	25	391	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.031
219	थलीपसी	9	392	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.028
220	थलीपसी	9	393	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.030
221	थलीपसी	25	394	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.030
222	थलीपसी	46/3	395	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.034
223	थलीपसी	46/3	396	जसोबंता प्रधान सुपुत्र जोगेश्वर प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.029
224	थलीपसी	25	397	प्रभाकर प्रधान सुपुत्र मधुसुदन प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.032
225	थलीपसी	9	398	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.030
226	थलीपसी	9	399	गिरिश चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र पुरेन्द्र प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.013
227	थलीपसी	37	400	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.028

228	थलीपसी	37	401	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.106
229	थलीपसी	44	402	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.064
230	थलीपसी	44	403	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.046
231	थलीपसी	44	404	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.047
232	थलीपसी	46/6	405	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.096
233	थलीपसी	46/6	406	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.078
234	थलीपसी	44	407	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.083
235	थलीपसी	46/6	408	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.108
236	थलीपसी	37	409	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.111
237	थलीपसी	37	410	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.100
238	थलीपसी	46/6	411	चन्द्रशेखर प्रधान सुपुत्र चौधरी प्रधान व अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.105
239	थलीपसी	44	412	सुदर्शन प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.088
240	थलीपसी	37	413	बिश्वनाथ प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.118
241	थलीपसी	14	417	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.128
242	थलीपसी	14	421	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.092
243	थलीपसी	14	422	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.042
244	थलीपसी	14	423	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.090
245	थलीपसी	14	424	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	जलाश्य एक	0.014
246	थलीपसी	14	425	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	घारीबारी	0.086
247	थलीपसी	14	426	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.030
248	थलीपसी	14	427	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.054
249	थलीपसी	14	428	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.034

250	थलीपसी	14	429	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.020
251	थलीपसी	14	430	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.017
252	थलीपसी	14	431	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.076
253	थलीपसी	14	432	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.036
254	थलीपसी	14	433	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.026
255	थलीपसी	14	434	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.027
256	थलीपसी	14	436	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.001
257	थलीपसी	14	437	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.005
258	थलीपसी	14	472	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.009
259	थलीपसी	14	473	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.022
260	थलीपसी	14	474	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.006
261	थलीपसी	14	475	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.026
262	थलीपसी	14	476	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.012
263	थलीपसी	14	477	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.043
264	थलीपसी	14	478	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.013
265	थलीपसी	14	479	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.019
266	थलीपसी	14	480	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.016
267	थलीपसी	14	481	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.021
268	थलीपसी	14	482	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.024
269	थलीपसी	14	483	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमालवो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.056
270	थलीपसी	18	495	नंदकिशोर साहू सुपुत्र श्याम साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.034

271	थलीपसी	46/10	500	दिगम्बर साहू सुपुत्र रतनाकर साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.047
272	थलीपसी	2	501	उछाब साहू सुपुत्र कल्याण साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.038
273	थलीपसी	3	502	कामरा साहू सुपुत्र कल्याण साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.044
274	थलीपसी	42	503	महान साहू सुपुत्र कुहुरू साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.055
275	थलीपसी	3	510	कामरा साहू सुपुत्र कल्याण साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.126
276	थलीपसी	2	511	उछाब साहू सुपुत्र कल्याण साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.111
277	थलीपसी	42	512	महान साहू सुपुत्र कुहुरू साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.062
278	थलीपसी	40	513	महान साहू सुपुत्र कुहुरू साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.048
279	थलीपसी	23	514	प्रकाश साहू सुपुत्र कुहुरू साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.051
280	थलीपसी	3	515	कामरा साहू सुपुत्र कल्याण साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.063
281	थलीपसी	2	516	उछाब साहू सुपुत्र कल्याण साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.092
282	थलीपसी	12	522	गोबर्धन साहू सुपुत्र आनंदी साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.190
283	थलीपसी	18	523	नंदकिशोर साहू सुपुत्र श्याम साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.080
284	थलीपसी	18	524	नंदकिशोर साहू सुपुत्र श्याम साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.086
285	थलीपसी	18	525	नंदकिशोर साहू सुपुत्र श्याम साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.088
286	थलीपसी	18	526	नंदकिशोर साहू सुपुत्र श्याम साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.050
287	थलीपसी	18	527	नंदकिशोर साहू सुपुत्र श्याम साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.058
288	थलीपसी	12	528	नंदकिशोर साहू सुपुत्र श्याम साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.080
289	थलीपसी	23	529	प्रकाश साहू सुपुत्र कुहुरू साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.023
290	थलीपसी	40	530	मदन साहू सुपुत्र कुहुरू साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.022
291	थलीपसी	3	531	कामरा साहू सुपुत्र कल्याण साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.040

292	थलीपसी	2	532	उछाब साहू सुपुत्र कल्याण साहू	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.043
293	थलीपसी	11	533	गोबर्धन साहू सुपुत्र आनंदी साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.024
294	थलीपसी	11	534	गोबर्धन साहू सुपुत्र आनंदी साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.044
295	थलीपसी	24	535	प्रकाश साहू सुपुत्र कुहुर साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.019
296	थलीपसी	24	536	प्रकाश साहू सुपुत्र कुहुर साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.027
297	थलीपसी	10	539	गुजी प्रधान सुपुत्र पानू प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता दो फसली	0.070
298	थलीपसी	10	540	गुजी प्रधान सुपुत्र पानू प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता दो फसली	0.051
299	थलीपसी	10	543	गुजी प्रधान सुपुत्र पानू प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता दो फसली	0.169
300	थलीपसी	10	544	गुजी प्रधान सुपुत्र पानू प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता दो फसली	0.171
301	थलीपसी	10	548	गुजी प्रधान सुपुत्र पानू प्रधान और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता दो फसली	0.044
302	थलीपसी	14	549	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमावलो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता दो फसली	0.050
303	थलीपसी	20	550	नूहूरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.018
304	थलीपसी	14	551	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमलावो साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.096
305	थलीपसी	20	552	नूहूरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता दो फसली	0.036
306	थलीपसी	20	553	नूहूरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता दो फसली	0.015
307	थलीपसी	14	561	दशरथी साहू सुपुत्र पदमलावो साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता दो फसली	0.001
308	थलीपसी	20	569	नूहूरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सरदा जालसाचीता एक फसली	0.019
309	थलीपसी	20	570	नूहूरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.216
310	थलीपसी	46/5	576	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	तैला	0.487
311	थलीपसी	46/5	577	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	तैला	0.140
312	थलीपसी	46/5	578	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	पतीता	0.013
313	थलीपसी	30	579	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान और अन्य	घाराबारी	0.132
314	थलीपसी	30	580	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र जदुनाथ प्रधान और अन्य	घाराबारी	0.121
315	थलीपसी	46/7	581	मनोज कुमार प्रधान सुपुत्र बालूकेश्वर प्रधान	तैला	0.028
316	थलीपसी	46/8	582	कुमुदा प्रधान सुपुत्र बालूकेश्वर प्रधान	तैला	0.046
317	थलीपसी	46/9	583	छबीला कुमार प्रधान सुपुत्र बालूकेश्वर प्रधान	तैला	0.039
जोड़ :						20.555

(3) ग्राम – बिम्बाधारापुर गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र.सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	बिम्बाधारापुर	22	6	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नराना प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	1.150
2	बिम्बाधारापुर	16	7	निसामणि सडांगी पत्नी बालकृष्ण सडांगी	तैला	0.387
3	बिम्बाधारापुर	16	8	निसामणि सडांगी पत्नी बालकृष्ण सडांगी	तैला	0.419
4	बिम्बाधारापुर	22	12	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नराना प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.147
5	बिम्बाधारापुर	22	13	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नराना प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.157
6	बिम्बाधारापुर	22	14	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नराना प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.456
7	बिम्बाधारापुर	22	15	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नराना प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.132
8	बिम्बाधारापुर	29	16	सरोज नायक सुपुत्र सरदार नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.104
9	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/10	18	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.274
10	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/10	20	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.226
11	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/5	21	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.146
12	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/34	22	सिसिर कुमार बेहेरा सुपुत्र कालिया बेहेरा	तैला	0.139
13	बिम्बाधारापुर	10	23	त्रिनाथ नायक सुपुत्र बेड़ा नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.056
14	बिम्बाधारापुर	7	29	चैतन्य नायक सुपुत्र बैशतम नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.113
15	बिम्बाधारापुर	7	30	चैतन्य नायक सुपुत्र बैशतम नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.232
16	बिम्बाधारापुर	27	31	रत्ना नायक सुपुत्र कालिया नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.584
17	बिम्बाधारापुर	20	32	बिकाला बाघ सुपुत्र मुलई बाघ और अन्य	तैला	0.505
18	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/9	33	उमा नायक पत्नी कल्याण नायक	तैला	0.515

19	बिम्बाधारापुर	17	39	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिनहा साहू और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.030
20	बिम्बाधारापुर	17	40	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिनहा साहू और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.026
21	बिम्बाधारापुर	17	41	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिनहा साहू और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.051
22	बिम्बाधारापुर	17	44	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिनहा साहू और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.043
23	बिम्बाधारापुर	17	45	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिनहा साहू और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.028
24	बिम्बाधारापुर	17	46	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिनहा साहू और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.020
25	बिम्बाधारापुर	17	47	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिनहा साहू और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.091
26	बिम्बाधारापुर	17	48	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिनहा साहू और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.044
27	बिम्बाधारापुर	17	49	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिनहा साहू और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.063
28	बिम्बाधारापुर	17	50	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिनहा साहू और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.084
29	बिम्बाधारापुर	17	51	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिनहा साहू और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.082
30	बिम्बाधारापुर	4	52	खिरामोहन साहू सुपुत्र जोगेन्द्र साहू और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.079
31	बिम्बाधारापुर	4	53	खिरामोहन साहू सुपुत्र जोगेन्द्र साहू और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.069
32	बिम्बाधारापुर	4	54	खिरामोहन साहू सुपुत्र जोगेन्द्र साहू और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.038
33	बिम्बाधारापुर	4	55	खिरामोहन साहू सुपुत्र जोगेन्द्र साहू और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.048
34	बिम्बाधारापुर	4	56	खिरामोहन साहू सुपुत्र जोगेन्द्र साहू और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.052
35	बिम्बाधारापुर	4	57	खिरामोहन साहू सुपुत्र जोगेन्द्र साहू	सारदा	0.032

				और अन्य	जलसाचिता एक फसली	
36	बिम्बाधारापुर	4	58	खिरामोहन साहू सुपुत्र जोगेन्द्र साहू और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.057
37	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	59	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कन्धा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.042
38	बिम्बाधारापुर	15	60	नाकोफोदी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहादेब प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.274
39	बिम्बाधारापुर	8	61	जाडू प्रधान सुपुत्र आराखीता प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.123
40	बिम्बाधारापुर	8	62	जाडू प्रधान सुपुत्र आराखीता प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.121
41	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/8	63	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.279
42	बिम्बाधारापुर	8	64	जाडू प्रधान सुपुत्र आराखीता प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.105
43	बिम्बाधारापुर	8	65	जाडू प्रधान सुपुत्र आराखीता प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.106
44	बिम्बाधारापुर	8	66	जाडू प्रधान सुपुत्र आराखीता प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.109
45	बिम्बाधारापुर	8	67	जाडू प्रधान सुपुत्र आराखीता प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.401
46	बिम्बाधारापुर	1	78	कपिल प्रधान सुपुत्र सुना प्रधान	तैला	0.079
47	बिम्बाधारापुर	1	80	कपिल प्रधान सुपुत्र सुना प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.130
48	बिम्बाधारापुर	1	81	कपिल प्रधान सुपुत्र सुना प्रधान	तैला	0.270
49	बिम्बाधारापुर	31	82	श्रीनिबास नायक सुपुत्र बल्का नायक	तैला	0.308
50	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/17	83	सरोज कुमार बेहेरा सुपुत्र रघुनाथ बेहेरा और अन्य	तैला	0.220
51	बिम्बाधारापुर	7	84	चैतन्य नायक सुपुत्र बैशतम नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.157
52	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/7	105	सत्यनारायण साहू सुपुत्र भाजामन साहू	तैला	0.342
53	बिम्बाधारापुर	14	106	दुरजोधना साहू सुपुत्र पथानी साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.119
54	बिम्बाधारापुर	14	107	दुरजोधना साहू सुपुत्र पथानी साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.180
55	बिम्बाधारापुर	14	108	दुरजोधना साहू सुपुत्र पथानी साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.136
56	बिम्बाधारापुर	26	114	महेश्वर साहू सुपुत्र दसरथी साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.517
57	बिम्बाधारापुर	30	116	सरांगाधर साहू सुपुत्र बंगुरू साहू	तैला	0.294
58	बिम्बाधारापुर	9	121	दमबुरू प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक	0.288

					फसली	
59	बिम्बाधारापुर	34	123	हरूदानंद देहुरे सुपुत्र काथी देहुरे और अन्य	तैला	0.222
60	बिम्बाधारापुर	34	128	हरूदानंद देहुरे सुपुत्र काथी देहुरे और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.220
61	बिम्बाधारापुर	34	129	हरूदानंद देहुरे सुपुत्र काथी देहुरे और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.092
62	बिम्बाधारापुर	34	130	हरूदानंद देहुरे सुपुत्र काथी देहुरे और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.043
63	बिम्बाधारापुर	5	131	खिता बाघा सुपुत्र कैरू बाघा	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.188
64	बिम्बाधारापुर	5	132	खिता बाघा सुपुत्र कैरू बाघा	तैला	0.163
65	बिम्बाधारापुर	5	133	खिता बाघा सुपुत्र कैरू बाघा	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.064
66	बिम्बाधारापुर	5	134	खिता बाघा सुपुत्र कैरू बाघा	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.016
67	बिम्बाधारापुर	5	135	खिता बाघा सुपुत्र कैरू बाघा	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.071
68	बिम्बाधारापुर	5	136	खिता बाघा सुपुत्र कैरू बाघा	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.092
69	बिम्बाधारापुर	5	137	खिता बाघा सुपुत्र कैरू बाघा	तैला	0.434
70	बिम्बाधारापुर	3	139	किशोरी प्रधान सुपुत्र नाता प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.614
71	बिम्बाधारापुर	33	150	हतुआ बेहेरा सुपुत्र मदन बेहेरा और अन्य	तैला	0.060
72	बिम्बाधारापुर	33	151	हतुआ बेहेरा सुपुत्र मदन बेहेरा और अन्य	तैला	0.045
73	बिम्बाधारापुर	33	152	हतुआ बेहेरा सुपुत्र मदन बेहेरा और अन्य	तैला	0.061
74	बिम्बाधारापुर	33	153	हतुआ बेहेरा सुपुत्र मदन बेहेरा और अन्य	तैला	0.037
75	बिम्बाधारापुर	33	154	हतुआ बेहेरा सुपुत्र मदन बेहेरा और अन्य	तैला	0.030
76	बिम्बाधारापुर	33	156	हतुआ बेहेरा सुपुत्र मदन बेहेरा और अन्य	तैला	0.322
77	बिम्बाधारापुर	33	157	हतुआ बेहेरा सुपुत्र मदन बेहेरा और अन्य	तैला	0.104
78	बिम्बाधारापुर	33	158	हतुआ बेहेरा सुपुत्र मदन बेहेरा और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.130

79	बिम्बाधारापुर	33	159	हतुआ बेहेरा सुपुत्र मदन बेहेरा और अन्य	तैला	0.160
80	बिम्बाधारापुर	19	160	भलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	1.238
81	बिम्बाधारापुर	22	166	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नाराना प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.726
82	बिम्बाधारापुर	25	168	भाजी प्रधान सुपुत्र कनथिया प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.095
83	बिम्बाधारापुर	25	169	भाजी प्रधान सुपुत्र कनथिया प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.085
84	बिम्बाधारापुर	25	173	भाजी प्रधान सुपुत्र कनथिया प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.019
85	बिम्बाधारापुर	25	174	भाजी प्रधान सुपुत्र कनथिया प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.048
86	बिम्बाधारापुर	25	175	भाजी प्रधान सुपुत्र कनथिया प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.099
87	बिम्बाधारापुर	25	176	भाजी प्रधान सुपुत्र कनथिया प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.124
88	बिम्बाधारापुर	25	177	भाजी प्रधान सुपुत्र कनथिया प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.033
89	बिम्बाधारापुर	25	178	भाजी प्रधान सुपुत्र कनथिया प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.019
90	बिम्बाधारापुर	25	179	भाजी प्रधान सुपुत्र कनथिया प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.018
91	बिम्बाधारापुर	25	180	भाजी प्रधान सुपुत्र कनथिया प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.037
92	बिम्बाधारापुर	25	181	भाजी प्रधान सुपुत्र कनथिया प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.026
93	बिम्बाधारापुर	25	182	भाजी प्रधान सुपुत्र कनथिया प्रधान	तैला	0.062
94	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	183	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.087
95	बिम्बाधारापुर	15	184	नाकोफोदी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहदेब प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.077
96	बिम्बाधारापुर	21	185	बीरा प्रधान सुपुत्र गौरंगा प्रधान	तैला	0.076
97	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	186	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.100
98	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	187	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और	तैला	0.121

				अन्य		
99	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	188	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.075
100	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	189	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.072
101	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	190	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.090
102	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	191	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.100
103	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	192	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.091
104	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	193	भलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.113
105	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	194	भलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.133
106	बिम्बाधारापुर	21	195	बीरा प्रधान सुपुत्र गौरंगा प्रधान	तैला	0.214
107	बिम्बाधारापुर	22	196	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नाराना प्रधान और अन्य	पतीता	0.008
108	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	197	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.051
109	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	198	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.050
110	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	199	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.046
111	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	200	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.046
112	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	201	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.042
113	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	202	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.045
114	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	203	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.072
115	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	204	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.029
116	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	205	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.037
117	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	206	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक	0.069

					फसली	
118	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	207	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.027
119	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	208	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.033
120	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	209	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.033
121	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	210	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.028
122	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	211	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.083
123	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	212	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.049
124	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	213	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.036
125	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	214	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.041
126	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	215	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.033
127	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	216	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.048
128	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	217	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.037
129	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	218	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.043
130	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	219	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.021
131	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	220	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.024
132	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	221	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.029
133	बिम्बाधारापुर	22	222	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	पतीता	0.060

134	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	223	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.027
135	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	224	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.045
136	बिम्बाधारापुर	21	225	बीरा प्रधान सुपुत्र गौरंग प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.423
137	बिम्बाधारापुर	21	226	बीरा प्रधान सुपुत्र गौरंग प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.037
138	बिम्बाधारापुर	21	227	बीरा प्रधान सुपुत्र गौरंग प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.037
139	बिम्बाधारापुर	21	228	बीरा प्रधान सुपुत्र गौरंग प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.037
140	बिम्बाधारापुर	21	229	बीरा प्रधान सुपुत्र गौरंग प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.031
141	बिम्बाधारापुर	21	230	बीरा प्रधान सुपुत्र गौरंग प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.034
142	बिम्बाधारापुर	21	231	बीरा प्रधान सुपुत्र गौरंग प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.045
143	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	232	भलभद्र प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.052
144	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	233	भलभद्र प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.041
145	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	234	भलभद्र प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.033
146	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	235	भलभद्र प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.032
147	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	236	भलभद्र प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.035
148	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	237	भलभद्र प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.153
149	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	238	भलभद्र प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.039

150	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	239	भलभद्र प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.041
151	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	240	भलभद्र प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.045
152	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	241	भलभद्र प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.035
153	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	242	भलभद्र प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.140
154	बिम्बाधारापुर	15	243	नाकोफोदी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहदेब प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.143
155	बिम्बाधारापुर	15	244	नाकोफोदी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहदेब प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.137
156	बिम्बाधारापुर	15	245	नाकोफोदी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहदेब प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.118
157	बिम्बाधारापुर	15	246	नाकोफोदी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहदेब प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.116
158	बिम्बाधारापुर	15	247	नाकोफोदी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहदेब प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.111
159	बिम्बाधारापुर	15	248	नाकोफोदी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहदेब प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.115
160	बिम्बाधारापुर	15	249	नाकोफोदी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहदेब प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.047
161	बिम्बाधारापुर	15	250	नाकोफोदी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहदेब प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.047
162	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	251	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.092
163	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	252	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.082
164	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	253	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.074
165	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	254	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.069
166	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	255	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर	सारदा	0.111

				प्रधान और अन्य	जलसाचिता एक फसली	
167	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/21	256	जनक देहुरे सुपुत्र मुलई देहुरे	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.120
168	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	257	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.249
169	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	258	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.059
170	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	259	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.015
171	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	260	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.009
172	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	261	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.016
173	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	262	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.018
174	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	263	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कन्धा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.053
175	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	264	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कन्धा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.041
176	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	265	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कन्धा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.227
177	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	266	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कन्धा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.087
178	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	267	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कन्धा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.101
179	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	268	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.115
180	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	269	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.105
181	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	270	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.070
182	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	271	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और	सारदा जलसाचिता एक	0.038

				अन्य	फसली	
183	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	272	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.091
184	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	273	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.098
185	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	274	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.092
186	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	275	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.070
187	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	276	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.107
188	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	277	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.024
189	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	278	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.025
190	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	279	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.023
191	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	280	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.078
192	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	281	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.023
193	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	282	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.023
194	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	283	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.019
195	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	284	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.023
196	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	285	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.039
197	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	286	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.033
198	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	287	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक	0.044

					फसली	
199	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	288	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.049
200	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	289	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.042
201	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	290	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.052
202	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	291	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.052
203	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	292	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.042
204	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	293	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.015
205	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	294	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.018
206	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	295	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.022
207	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	296	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.020
208	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	297	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.107
209	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	298	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.110
210	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	299	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.110
211	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	300	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.062
212	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	301	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.093
213	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	302	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.067
214	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	303	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.051
215	बिम्बाधारापुर	11	304	त्रिपुरा प्रधान सुपुत्र जनका प्रधान और	तैला	0.160

				अन्य		
216	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	305	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.035
217	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	306	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.129
218	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	307	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.165
219	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	308	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.159
220	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	309	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.158
221	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	312	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.049
222	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	313	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.056
223	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	314	बलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.040
224	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	315	बलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.045
225	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	316	बलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.040
226	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	317	बलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.043
227	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	318	बलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.041
228	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	319	बलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.026
229	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	320	बलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.057
230	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	321	बलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.037
231	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	322	बलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.037
232	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	323	बलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.039
233	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	324	बलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.081
234	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	325	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.078
235	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	326	बलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.070
236	बिम्बाधारापुर	21	327	बीरा प्रधान सुपुत्र गौरंगा प्रधान ।	तैला	0.210
237	बिम्बाधारापुर	15	328	नाकोफोदी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहदेब प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.171
238	बिम्बाधारापुर	21	333	बीरा प्रधान सुपुत्र गौरंगा प्रधान ।	तैला	0.161

239	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	334	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.123
240	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	335	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.056
241	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	336	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.119
242	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	337	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कन्धा प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.179
243	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	338	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.171
244	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	339	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.114
245	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	340	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.086
246	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	341	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.044
247	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	342	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.028
248	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	343	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.022
249	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	344	कंदुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.022
250	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	345	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.044
251	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	346	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.034
252	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	347	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.027
253	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	348	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.030
254	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	349	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.027
255	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	350	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.029
256	बिम्बाधारापुर	6	351	गोपालचन्द्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र बेनुधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.051
257	बिम्बाधारापुर	4	352	खिरामोहन साहू सुपुत्र जोगेन्द्र साहू	तैला	0.112

				और अन्य		
258	बिम्बाधारापुर	9	353	दामबुरू प्रधान सुपुत्र नितयानंद प्रधान	तैला	0.146
259	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	354	बलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.042
260	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	355	बलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.065
261	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	356	बलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.027
262	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	357	बलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.076
263	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	358	बैश्नब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.071
264	बिम्बाधारापुर	23	359	बैश्नब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.099
265	बिम्बाधारापुर	9	360	दमबुरू प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.013
266	बिम्बाधारापुर	9	361	दमबुरू प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान	तैला	0.049
267	बिम्बाधारापुर	15	366	नाकोफोदी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहदेब प्रधान और अन्य	पतीता	0.065
268	बिम्बाधारापुर	24	367	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.394
269	बिम्बाधारापुर	24	368	बैशनब प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.022
270	बिम्बाधारापुर	4	369	खिरामोहन साहू सुपुत्र जोगेन्द्र साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.277
271	बिम्बाधारापुर	4	370	खिरामोहन साहू सुपुत्र जोगेन्द्र साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.079
272	बिम्बाधारापुर	4	371	खिरामोहन साहू सुपुत्र जोगेन्द्र साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.066
273	बिम्बाधारापुर	4	372	खिरामोहन साहू सुपुत्र जोगेन्द्र साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.158
274	बिम्बाधारापुर	21	377	बीरा प्रधान सुपुत्र गौरंगा प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.172
275	बिम्बाधारापुर	21	378	बीरा प्रधान सुपुत्र गौरंगा प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.203
276	बिम्बाधारापुर	21	379	बीरा प्रधान सुपुत्र गौरंगा प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.048
277	बिम्बाधारापुर	18	381	भलभद्रा प्रधान सुपुत्र मगुनी प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.403

278	बिम्बाधारापुर	2	382	कंधुर प्रधान सुपुत्र कंधा प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.308
279	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/5	395	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.769
280	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/2	396	भोलेश्वर साहू सुपुत्र बगुरू साहू	तैला	0.362
281	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/4	397	बीनोदिनी रैऊता पत्नी वैश्नब रैऊता	तैला	0.320
282	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/6	398	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.345
283	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/8	399	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.240
284	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/11	401	गंधरबा नायक सुपुत्र कुरतारथा नायक	तैला	0.267
285	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/11	402	गंधरबा नायकसुपुत्र कुरतारथा नायक	तैला	0.151
286	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/12	403	रंजनकुमार बेहेरा सुपुत्र कंदारपा बेहेरा	तैला	0.159
287	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/10	404	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.051
288	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/10	405	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.053
289	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/10	406	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.038
290	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/10	407	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.029
291	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/10	408	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.040
292	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/10	409	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.081
293	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/10	410	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.110
294	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/13	411	अरूण कुमार नायक सुपुत्र हरिहारा नायक	तैला	0.090
295	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/14	412	सबिता साहू पत्नी जागाबंधु साहू	तैला	0.055
296	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/15	413	हाताकिसोरा प्रधान सुपुत्र दयानिधि प्रधान	तैला	0.019
297	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/16	414	सानतना बागा सुपुत्र खिती बागा	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.077
298	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/18	415	सारथी साहू सुपुत्र गौरा साहू	तैला	0.038
299	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/19	416	भागाबत साहू सुपुत्र बाला साहू	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.016
300	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/20	417	बिनय कुमार बहेरा सुपुत्र सुसांत कुमार बहेरा ओर अन्य	तैला	0.116
301	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/22	418	धमेन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र रबिन्द्र साहू	तैला	0.108
302	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/23	419	मनोज कुमार साहू सुपुत्र राज साहू	तैला	0.062
303	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/24	420	रंजीता प्रधानसुपुत्र हरमोहन प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.011
304	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/24	421	रंजीता प्रधानसुपुत्र हरमोहन प्रधान	सारदा	0.014

					जलसाचिता एक फसली	
305	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/25	422	बिनय कुमार बहेरा सुपुत्र सुसांत कुमार बहेरा और अन्य	तैला	0.183
306	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/25	423	बिनय कुमार बहेरा सुपुत्र सुसांत कुमार बहेरा और अन्य	तैला	0.106
307	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/25	424	बिनय कुमार बहेरा सुपुत्र सुसांत कुमार बहेरा और अन्य	तैला	0.044
308	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/28	425	तुकाना नायक सुपुत्र दिलासवर नायक	तैला	0.047
309	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/26	426	प्रफूल कुमार सेठी सुपुत्र बौरीबंधु सेठी	तैला	0.049
310	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/27	427	कुन्तला बहेरा पत्नी सुचीदानंदा बहेरा	तैला	0.033
311	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/29	428	बीनापानी प्रधान पत्नी कल्पासवर साहू	तैला	0.179
312	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/30	429	गंगाधर बागा सुपुत्र बिकाल बागा	तैला	0.017
313	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/31	430	धीरेन्द्र बागा सुपुत्र बिकल बागा	तैला	0.017
314	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/32	431	सुलोचना महाकुदा पत्नी बिध्याधर महाकुदा	तैला	0.020
315	बिम्बाधारापुर	34/33	432	रेबती रऊता पत्नी तनुचन्द्रा रऊता	तैला	0.020
जोड़ :						**35.724**

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी
गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)

क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	कुडापासी	114/27	3	श्रीमता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.291
2	कुडापासी	12	4	कुलमणि नायक सुपुत्र बैरंगी नायक	तैला	0.279
3	कुडापासी	111	6	सुदाम सिथे सुपुत्र बशु सिथे	तैला	0.181
4	कुडापासी	111	7	सुदाम सिथे सुपुत्र बशु सिथे	तैला	0.072
5	कुडापासी	111	8	सुदाम सिथे सुपुत्र बशु सिथे	तैला	0.105

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
6	कुडापासी	111	9	सुदाम सिथे सुपुत्र बशु सिथे	तैला	0.122
7	कुडापासी	107	23	श्रीराम सिथे सुपुत्र दुखु सिथे	तैला	0.088
8	कुडापासी	94	41	रूमा माझी सुपुत्री भागबन माझी	तैला	0.123
9	कुडापासी	94	42	रूमा माझी सुपुत्री भागबन माझी	तैला	1.011
10	कुडापासी	102	43	श्यामाघाना माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	तैला	0.161
11	कुडापासी	102	44	श्यामाघाना माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	तैला	0.107
12	कुडापासी	102	45	श्यामाघाना माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	तैला	0.081
13	कुडापासी	102	46	श्यामाघाना माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	पतीता	0.043
14	कुडापासी	68	48	फकीरा माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	पतीता	0.032
15	कुडापासी	68	49	फकीरा माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तीनी	0.086
16	कुडापासी	68	50	फकीरा माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तीनी	0.022
17	कुडापासी	68	51	फकीरा माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तीनी	0.040
18	कुडापासी	68	57	फकीरा माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तीनी	0.022
19	कुडापासी	68	58	फकीरा माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तीनी	0.022
20	कुडापासी	68	59	फकीरा माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तीनी	0.022
21	कुडापासी	68	60	फकीरा माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तीनी	0.028
22	कुडापासी	68	61	फकीरा माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तीनी	0.026
23	कुडापासी	68	64	फकीरा माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तीनी	0.057
24	कुडापासी	68	65	फकीरा माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तीनी	0.026
25	कुडापासी	68	66	फकीरा माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तीनी	0.068

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
26	कुडापासी	68	67	फकीरा माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	पतीता	0.052
27	कुडापासी	68	76	फकीरा माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तीनी	0.038
28	कुडापासी	68	77	फकीरा माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तीनी	0.082
29	कुडापासी	68	78	फकीरा माझी सुपुत्र भागबन माझी	पतीता	0.145
30	कुडापासी	16	79	खागेश्वर माझी सुपुत्र बागबन माझी	तैला	0.695
31	कुडापासी	16	80	खागेश्वर माझी सुपुत्र बागबन माझी	तैला	0.227
32	कुडापासी	77	81	बीरा साहू सुपुत्र गंगा साहू	तैला	0.308
33	कुडापासी	50	83	पंचू साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	तैला	0.307
34	कुडापासी	114	84	हडी साहू सुपुत्र ंगंगाधर साहू	तैला	0.315
35	कुडापासी	66	98	प्राणबंधु साहू सुपुत्र पंचू साहू	तैला	0.071
36	कुडापासी	66	99	प्राणबंधु साहू सुपुत्र पंचू साहू	तैला	0.101
37	कुडापासी	114/27	103	श्रीमाता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.420
38	कुडापासी	40	104	नालू साहू सुपुत्र बौरी साहू	तैला	0.282
39	कुडापासी	13	107	केसाबा साहू सुपुत्र कुंगई साहू	तैला	0.080
40	कुडापासी	40	109	नालू साहू सुपुत्र बौरी साहू	तैला	0.205
41	कुडापासी	114/33	115	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.275
42	कुडापासी	114/40	116	मनमोहन प्रधान सुपुत्र गदाधर प्रधान	तैला	0.046
43	कुडापासी	114/8	117	पंचाली बारिक पत्नी प्रभारत किरन बारिक	तैला	0.085
44	कुडापासी	87	122	महान साहू सुपुत्र कुहुरू साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता एक फसली	0.075
45	कुडापासी	87	123	महान साहू सुपुत्र कुहुरू साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता एक फसली	0.157
46	कुडापासी	87	125	महान साहू सुपुत्र कुहुरू साहू	तैला	0.164
47	कुडापासी	87	126	महान साहू सुपुत्र कुहुरू साहू	तैला	0.143
48	कुडापासी	72	128	बलराम माझी सुपुत्र चैतन्या माझी और अन्य	तैला	0.175
49	कुडापासी	73	133	बसुदेव साहू सुपुत्र नंदा साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.449
50	कुडापासी	43	136	नीलामणि साहू सुपुत्र हरि साहू	तैला	0.100
51	कुडापासी	25	137	चौधरी साहू सुपुत्र नित्यानंदा साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.164
52	कुडापासी	43	138	नीलामणि साहू सुपुत्र हरि साहू	तैला	0.083
53	कुडापासी	30	142	जोगी माझी सुपुत्र बौरी माझी और	तैला	0.527

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				अन्य		
54	कुडापासी	30	143	जोगी माझी सुपुत्र बौरी माझी और अन्य	तैला	0.048
55	कुडापासी	84	144	भागीरथी माझी सुपुत्र बीरा माझी और अन्य	तैला	0.420
56	कुडापासी	81	166	बैशनाबा प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंदा प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.756
57	कुडापासी	39	179	नाकाफोडी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहेब प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता एक फसली	0.216
58	कुडापासी	39	180	नाकाफोडी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहेब प्रधान और अन्य	पतीता	0.024
59	कुडापासी	39	181	नाकाफोडी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहेब प्रधान और अन्य	पतीता	0.009
60	कुडापासी	39	182	नाकाफोडी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहेब प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.131
61	कुडापासी	39	183	नाकाफोडी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहेब प्रधान और अन्य	पतीता	0.009
62	कुडापासी	39	184	नाकाफोडी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहेब प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.177
63	कुडापासी	39	185	नाकाफोडी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहेब प्रधान और अन्य	भागायत देई	0.062
64	कुडापासी	39	187	नाकाफोडी प्रधान सुपुत्र साहेब प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.043
65	कुडापासी	79	189	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.030
66	कुडापासी	79	190	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.045
67	कुडापासी	79	191	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.017
68	कुडापासी	79	192	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.017
69	कुडापासी	79	194	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.383
70	कुडापासी	79	195	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.197
71	कुडापासी	79	196	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.169

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
72	कुडापासी	75	197	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.018
73	कुडापासी	79	198	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान और अन्य	पतीता	0.014
74	कुडापासी	79	199	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान और अन्य	पतीता	0.014
75	कुडापासी	79	200	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.206
76	कुडापासी	79	201	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.315
77	कुडापासी	79	202	बेनुधर प्रधान सुपुत्र नारन प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.675
78	कुडापासी	23	204	चैतन्य नायक सुपुत्र बैश्नव नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.016
79	कुडापासी	114/65	206	बिश्वनाथ सेठी सुपुत्र कैलाश सेठी	तैला	0.145
80	कुडापासी	1	215	अभिराम नायक सुपुत्र बालक नायक	तैला	0.232
81	कुडापासी	75	222	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंसीधर प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.040
82	कुडापासी	74	224	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंसीधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.042
83	कुडापासी	74	225	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंसीधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.137
84	कुडापासी	75	226	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंसीधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.061
85	कुडापासी	75	227	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंसीधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.195
86	कुडापासी	75	229	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंसीधर प्रधान और अन्य	पतीता	0.030
87	कुडापासी	75	231	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंसीधर प्रधान और अन्य	पतीता	0.031
88	कुडापासी	75	232	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंसीधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.113
89	कुडापासी	75	233	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंसीधर प्रधान और अन्य	पतीता	0.016
90	कुडापासी	75	234	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंसीधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.066
91	कुडापासी	81	235	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंसीधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.040

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
92	कुडापासी	81	236	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंसीधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.039
93	कुडापासी	75	237	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंसीधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.044
94	कुडापासी	81	240	बैशनाबा प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.045
95	कुडापासी	81	241	बैशनाबा प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	पतीता	0.020
96	कुडापासी	81	242	बैशनाबा प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.150
97	कुडापासी	81	243	बैशनाबा प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	पपीता	0.008
98	कुडापासी	81	244	बैशनाबा प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.086
99	कुडापासी	81	245	बैशनाबा प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.098
100	कुडापासी	81	246	बैशनाबा प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	पतीता	0.012
101	कुडापासी	81	247	बैशनाबा प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	पतीता	0.010
102	कुडापासी	81	248	बैशनाबा प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.072
103	कुडापासी	81	249	बैशनाबा प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.079
104	कुडापासी	81	253	बैशनाबा प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.116
105	कुडापासी	81	254	बैशनाबा प्रधान सुपुत्र नित्यानंद प्रधान और अन्य	पतीता	0.025
106	कुडापासी	75	260	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंशीधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.181
107	कुडापासी	75	263	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंशीधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.151
108	कुडापासी	75	265	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंशीधर प्रधान और अन्य	पतीता	0.013
109	कुडापासी	75	266	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंशीधर प्रधान और अन्य	पतीता	0.012
110	कुडापासी	75	267	बिक्रम प्रधान सुपुत्र बंशीधर प्रधान और अन्य	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.160

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
111	कुडापासी	47	273	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.228
112	कुडापासी	47	274	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.233
113	कुडापासी	47	275	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.247
114	कुडापासी	47	276	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.075
115	कुडापासी	47	277	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.132
116	कुडापासी	47	278	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.106
117	कुडापासी	47	279	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.283
118	कुडापासी	47	280	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.285
119	कुडापासी	47	281	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.028
120	कुडापासी	47	282	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.019
121	कुडापासी	54	283	पडिया साहू सुपुत्र नहैया साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता देई	0.091
122	कुडापासी	54	284	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता देई	0.080
123	कुडापासी	114/16	289	हदीबंधु साहू सुपुत्र इकादुसिया साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता देई	0.021
124	कुडापासी	114/16	290	हदीबंधु साहू सुपुत्र इकादुसिया साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता देई	0.022
125	कुडापासी	114/16	291	हदीबंधु साहू सुपुत्र इकादुसिया साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता देई	0.046
126	कुडापासी	114/16	292	हदीबंधु साहू सुपुत्र इकादुसिया साहू	तैला	0.011
127	कुडापासी	114/16	293	हदीबंधु साहू सुपुत्र इकादुसिया साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता देई	0.010
128	कुडापासी	114/16	295	हदीबंधु साहू सुपुत्र इकादुसिया साहू	तैला	0.007
129	कुडापासी	54	297	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता देई	0.065
130	कुडापासी	114/33	298	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता देई	0.030
131	कुडापासी	54	299	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता देई	0.027

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
132	कुडापासी	114/33	300	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.014
133	कुडापासी	54	301	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता देई	0.029
134	कुडापासी	54	302	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता देई	0.089
135	कुडापासी	114/16	303	हदीबंधु साहू सुपुत्र इकादुसिया साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता देई	0.037
136	कुडापासी	114/16	304	हदीबंधु साहू सुपुत्र इकादुसिया साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता देई	0.042
137	कुडापासी	114/16	305	हदीबंधु साहू सुपुत्र इकादुसिया साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता देई	0.098
138	कुडापासी	54	306	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता देई	0.033
139	कुडापासी	54	307	पड़िया साहू सुपुत्र नहिया साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.044
140	कुडापासी	114/33	309	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.107
141	कुडापासी	114/86	317	राठी सेठी सुपुत्र आनंद सेठी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.036
142	कुडापासी	114/86	318	राठी सेठी सुपुत्र आनंद सेठी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.016
143	कुडापासी	114/73	319	सरोजिनी नायक पत्नी अजय नायक	पतीता	0.053
144	कुडापासी	114/33	320	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.255
145	कुडापासी	47	347	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.043
146	कुडापासी	47	348	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.106
147	कुडापासी	47	349	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.230
148	कुडापासी	47	350	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.065
149	कुडापासी	47	351	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.186
150	कुडापासी	47	352	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.018
151	कुडापासी	47	353	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.157
152	कुडापासी	47	354	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.143

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
153	कुडापासी	47	355	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.040
154	कुडापासी	47	356	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.121
155	कुडापासी	47	357	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.029
156	कुडापासी	47	358	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.127
157	कुडापासी	47	359	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.028
158	कुडापासी	47	360	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.116
159	कुडापासी	47	361	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.121
160	कुडापासी	114/24	364	बैशनब राउत सुपुत्र पूर्णा चन्द्र राउत	तैला	0.155
161	कुडापासी	114/24	365	बैशनब राउत सुपुत्र पूर्णा चन्द्र राउत	तैला	0.126
162	कुडापासी	99	367	सारथी प्रधान सुपुत्र सिबा प्रधान	तैला	0.182
163	कुडापासी	46	370	नुहुरा साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू	तैला	0.259
164	कुडापासी	114/79	371	मनोज कुमार साहू सुपुत्र गऊरा चन्द्रा साहू	तैला	0.082
165	कुडापासी	88	375	माही देहुरे सुपुत्र राम देहुरे	तैला	0.101
166	कुडापासी	10	377	कल्पतारू देहुरे सुपुत्र राम देहुरे और अन्य	तैला	0.114
167	कुडापासी	10	378	कल्पतारू देहुरे सुपुत्र राम देहुरे और अन्य	तैला	0.319
168	कुडापासी	114/29	379	जोगेन्द्र मलिक सुपुत्र नरोत्तम मलिक	तैला	0.251
169	कुडापासी	58	380	पलाऊ साहू सुपुत्र नाताबार साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.397
170	कुडापासी	23	381	चैतन्य नायक सुपुत्र बैश्नव नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.233
171	कुडापासी	57	382	पलाऊ साहू सुपुत्र नाता साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.211
172	कुडापासी	28	386	जंगली मतैरी सुपुत्र लखी धर मतैरी	तैला	0.049
173	कुडापासी	28	387	जंगली मतैरी सुपुत्र लखी धर मतैरी	तैला	0.081
174	कुडापासी	28	388	जंगली मतैरी सुपुत्र लखी धर मतैरी	तैला	0.201
175	कुडापासी	28	389	जंगली मतैरी सुपुत्र लखी धर मतैरी	तैला	0.207
176	कुडापासी	28	390	जंगली मतैरी सुपुत्र लखी धर मतैरी	तैला	0.051
177	कुडापासी	28	391	जंगली मतैरी सुपुत्र लखी धर मतैरी	तैला	0.067
178	कुडापासी	28	392	जंगली मतैरी सुपुत्र लखी धर मतैरी	तैला	0.102
179	कुडापासी	28	393	जंगली मतैरी सुपुत्र लखी धर मतैरी	तैला	0.099
180	कुडापासी	29	395	जंगली मतैरी सुपुत्र लखी धर मतैरी	तैला	0.110

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
181	कुडापासी	29	396	जंगली मतैरी सुपुत्र लखी धर मतैरी	तैला	0.193
182	कुडापासी	29	397	जंगली मतैरी सुपुत्र लखी धर मतैरी	तैला	0.050
183	कुडापासी	28	398	जंगली मतैरी सुपुत्र लखी धर मतैरी	तैला	0.046
184	कुडापासी	29	400	जंगली मतैरी सुपुत्र लखी धर मतैरी	तैला	0.109
185	कुडापासी	29	401	जंगली मतैरी सुपुत्र लखी धर मतैरी	तैला	0.089
186	कुडापासी	114/42	402	प्रदीप प्रधान सुपुत्र त्रिलोचन प्रधान	तैला	0.097
187	कुडापासी	114/43	404	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.164
188	कुडापासी	24	405	चैतन्य नायक सुपुत्र बैश्नव नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.176
189	कुडापासी	24	406	चैतन्य नायक सुपुत्र बैश्नव नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.081
190	कुडापासी	24	407	चैतन्य नायक सुपुत्र बैश्नव नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.038
191	कुडापासी	71	408	बनबिहारी साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.031
192	कुडापासी	71	409	बनबिहारी साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.016
193	कुडापासी	71	410	बनबिहारी साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.019
194	कुडापासी	24	411	चैतन्य नायक सुपुत्र बैशनव नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.040
195	कुडापासी	24	412	चैतन्य नायक सुपुत्र बैशनव नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.070
196	कुडापासी	24	413	चैतन्य नायक सुपुत्र बैशनव नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.029
197	कुडापासी	24	414	चैतन्य नायक सुपुत्र बैशनव नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.064
198	कुडापासी	24	415	चैतन्य नायक सुपुत्र बैशनव नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.087
199	कुडापासी	24	416	चैतन्य नायक सुपुत्र बैशनव नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.059
200	कुडापासी	71	417	बनबिहारी साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.057
201	कुडापासी	71	418	बनबिहारी साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.043
202	कुडापासी	11	420	कालांदी साहू सुपुत्र सधावा साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.229

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
203	कुडापासी	70	421	बनबिहारी साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.156
204	कुडापासी	70	422	बनबिहारी साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू	पतीता	0.020
205	कुडापासी	70	423	बनबिहारी साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.160
206	कुडापासी	70	424	बनबिहारी साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू	पतीता	0.027
207	कुडापासी	114/27	425	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.056
208	कुडापासी	114/27	426	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.162
209	कुडापासी	12	427	कुलमणि नायक सुपुत्र बैरागी नायक	तैला	0.149
210	कुडापासी	114/33	428	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.035
211	कुडापासी	114/33	429	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.032
212	कुडापासी	53	430	पतीतापाबन नायक सुपुत्र जयकृष्णा नायक	तैला	0.064
213	कुडापासी	12	431	कुलमणि नायक सुपुत्र बैरागी नायक	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.062
214	कुडापासी	12	432	कुलमणि नायक सुपुत्र बैरागी नायक	तैला	0.053
215	कुडापासी	114/48	434	धीरेन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र पबीतरा साहू	तैला	0.018
216	कुडापासी	114/50	435	प्रदीप साहू सुपुत्र जयकृष्णा साहू	तैला	0.037
217	कुडापासी	71	437	बनबिहारी साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.355
218	कुडापासी	71	438	बनबिहारी साहू सुपुत्र मगुनी साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.065
219	कुडापासी	114/48	439	धीरेन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र पबीतरा साहू	तैला	0.056
220	कुडापासी	114/48	440	धीरेन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र पबीतरा साहू	तैला	0.036
221	कुडापासी	114/50	441	प्रदीप साहू सुपुत्र जयकृष्णा साहू	तैला	0.070
222	कुडापासी	114/49	442	खितीस चन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र जयकृष्णा साहू	तैला	0.032
223	कुडापासी	114/49	443	खितीस चन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र जयकृष्णा साहू	तैला	0.023
224	कुडापासी	114/49	444	खितीस चन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र जयकृष्णा साहू	तैला	0.045
225	कुडापासी	114/49	445	खितीस चन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र जयकृष्णा साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.029
226	कुडापासी	114/45	446	मनगोविंदा साहू सुपुत्र दुरजयाधन साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.041

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
227	कुडापासी	109	447	सुखिला साहू सुपुत्र बैरा साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.023
228	कुडापासी	109	448	सुखिला साहू सुपुत्र बैरा साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.025
229	कुडापासी	109	449	सुखिला साहू सुपुत्र बैरा साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.015
230	कुडापासी	109	450	सुखिला साहू सुपुत्र बैरा साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.021
231	कुडापासी	43	452	नीलामणि साहू सुपुत्र हरि साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.041
232	कुडापासी	43	453	नीलामणि साहू सुपुत्र हरि साहू	तैला	0.018
233	कुडापासी	114/43	454	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरन साहू	तैला	0.050
234	कुडापासी	114/43	499	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरन साहू	तैला	0.018
235	कुडापासी	108	500	सुकांती नायक पत्नी चैतन्य नायक	तैला	0.141
236	कुडापासी	114/43	501	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	तैला	0.022
237	कुडापासी	114/43	502	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	तैला	0.037
238	कुडापासी	114/43	503	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	तैला	0.053
239	कुडापासी	24	504	चैतन्य नायक सुपुत्र बैश्नव नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.061
240	कुडापासी	114/43	505	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	तैला	0.058
241	कुडापासी	114/43	506	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	तैला	0.040
242	कुडापासी	114/43	507	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	तैला	0.072
243	कुडापासी	52	531	पथानी साहू सुपुत्र एकादुसई साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.050
244	कुडापासी	52	532	पथानी साहू सुपुत्र एकादुसई साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.077
245	कुडापासी	52	533	पथानी साहू सुपुत्र एकादुसई साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.014
246	कुडापासी	52	534	पथानी साहू सुपुत्र एकादुसई साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.062
247	कुडापासी	52	535	पथानी साहू सुपुत्र एकादुसई साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.103
248	कुडापासी	52	536	पथानी साहू सुपुत्र एकादुसई साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.119

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
249	कुडापासी	52	537	पथानी साहू सुपुत्र एकादुसई साहू	पतीता	0.025
250	कुडापासी	114/48	538	धीरेन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र पबीतरा साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.025
251	कुडापासी	114/45	539	मनगोविंदा साहू सुपुत्र दुरजयाधना साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.070
252	कुडापासी	50	540	पंचू साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.039
253	कुडापासी	77	541	बीरा साहू सुपुत्र गंगा साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.018
254	कुडापासी	77	542	बीरा साहू सुपुत्र गंगा साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.018
255	कुडापासी	77	543	बीरा साहू सुपुत्र गंगा साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.009
256	कुडापासी	50	544	पंचू साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.011
257	कुडापासी	95	545	लक्ष्मी साहू सुपुत्री कास्तु साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.012
258	कुडापासी	50	546	पंचू साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.015
259	कुडापासी	50	547	पंचू साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.012
260	कुडापासी	95	548	लक्ष्मी साहू सुपुत्री कास्तु साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.022
261	कुडापासी	95	549	लक्ष्मी साहू सुपुत्री कास्तु साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.022
262	कुडापासी	50	550	पंचू साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.084
263	कुडापासी	77	551	बीरा साहू सुपुत्र गंगा साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.081
264	कुडापासी	114	552	हादी साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.027
265	कुडापासी	50	553	पंचू साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.035
266	कुडापासी	114/26	554	धबई साहू सुपुत्र हादीबंधु साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.020
267	कुडापासी	61	555	परदेसी माझी सुपुत्र निमई माझी और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.094

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
268	कुडापासी	77	556	बीरा साहू सुपुत्र गंगा साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.097
269	कुडापासी	114	557	हादी साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.132
270	कुडापासी	77	558	बीरा साहू सुपुत्र गंगा साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.044
271	कुडापासी	114	559	हादी साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.013
272	कुडापासी	114	560	हादी साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.027
273	कुडापासी	61	561	परदेसी माझी सुपुत्र निमई माझी और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.041
274	कुडापासी	114	562	हादी साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.036
275	कुडापासी	77	563	बीरा साहू सुपुत्र गंगा साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.034
276	कुडापासी	114	564	हादी साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.046
277	कुडापासी	77	565	बीरा साहू सुपुत्र गंगा साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.094
278	कुडापासी	50	566	पांचू साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.077
279	कुडापासी	50	567	पांचू साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.060
280	कुडापासी	50	568	पांचू साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.016
281	कुडापासी	50	569	पांचू साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.016
282	कुडापासी	50	570	पांचू साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.011
283	कुडापासी	50	571	पांचू साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.021
284	कुडापासी	95	572	लक्ष्मी साहू सुपुत्री कस्तु साहू अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.032
285	कुडापासी	95	573	लक्ष्मी साहू सुपुत्री कस्तु साहू अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.016
286	कुडापासी	114/26	574	धुबई साहू सुपुत्र हादीबंधु साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.020

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
287	कुडापासी	95	575	लक्ष्मी साहू सुपुत्री कस्तु साहू अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.036
288	कुडापासी	95	576	लक्ष्मी साहू सुपुत्री कस्तु साहू अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.122
289	कुडापासी	95	577	लक्ष्मी साहू सुपुत्री कस्तु साहू अन्य	पतीता	0.007
290	कुडापासी	80	582	बैरागी साहू सुपुत्र बुधिया साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.055
291	कुडापासी	80	583	बैरागी साहू सुपुत्र बुधिया साहू और अन्य	पतीता	0.036
292	कुडापासी	80	584	बैरागी साहू सुपुत्र बुधिया साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.148
293	कुडापासी	80	585	बैरागी साहू सुपुत्र बुधिया साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.027
294	कुडापासी	80	586	बैरागी साहू सुपुत्र बुधिया साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.031
295	कुडापासी	80	587	बैरागी साहू सुपुत्र बुधिया साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.035
296	कुडापासी	17	594	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.052
297	कुडापासी	4	595	इन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र भगवान साहू	तैला	0.025
298	कुडापासी	4	596	इन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र भगवान साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.053
299	कुडापासी	4	597	इन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र भगवान साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.059
300	कुडापासी	4	598	इन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र भगवान साहू	तैला	0.048
301	कुडापासी	17	599	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू	तैला	0.091
302	कुडापासी	17	606	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.058
303	कुडापासी	17	607	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू	तैला	0.039
304	कुडापासी	4	616	इन्द्रा साहू सुपुत्र भगवान साहू	तैला	0.040
305	कुडापासी	17	617	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू	तैला	0.031
306	कुडापासी	22	618	चन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र भगबान साहू	तैला	0.031
307	कुडापासी	22	619	चन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र भगबान साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.072
308	कुडापासी	22	620	चन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र भगबान साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.034
309	कुडापासी	114	621	हादी साहू सुपुत्र गंगाधर साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.090

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
310	कुडापासी	17	622	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू	तैला	0.093
311	कुडापासी	4	623	इन्द्रा साहू सुपुत्र भगवान साहू	तैला	0.072
312	कुडापासी	22	624	चन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र भगबान साहू	तैला	0.018
313	कुडापासी	22	625	चन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र भगबान साहू	तैला	0.019
314	कुडापासी	17	626	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू	तैला	0.022
315	कुडापासी	17	627	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू	तैला	0.030
316	कुडापासी	4	628	इन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र भगवान साहू	तैला	0.022
317	कुडापासी	18	629	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.049
318	कुडापासी	18	630	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.018
319	कुडापासी	18	632	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.045
320	कुडापासी	33	633	दुखई साहू सुपुत्र महान साहू	तैला	0.072
321	कुडापासी	65	637	पलूनी साहू पत्नी मोहन साहू	तैला	0.119
322	कुडापासी	18	638	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.035
323	कुडापासी	18	639	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.066
324	कुडापासी	18	640	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.064
325	कुडापासी	18	641	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.070
326	कुडापासी	18	642	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.011
327	कुडापासी	18	643	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.012
328	कुडापासी	18	644	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.011
329	कुडापासी	18	645	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.008
330	कुडापासी	18	646	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.028
331	कुडापासी	18	647	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.013
332	कुडापासी	18	648	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.025
333	कुडापासी	18	649	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.031
334	कुडापासी	18	650	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.059
335	कुडापासी	18	651	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.042
336	कुडापासी	18	652	खिरा साहू सुपुत्र रघु साहू और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.043
337	कुडापासी	65	659	पलूनी साहू पत्नी मोहन साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.127
338	कुडापासी	65	660	पलूनी साहू पत्नी मोहन साहू	तैला	0.097
339	कुडापासी	33	661	दुखई साहू सुपुत्र मोहन साहू	तैला	0.109
340	कुडापासी	113	671	हरि माझी सुपुत्र दुआरी माझी	पतीता	0.042
341	कुडापासी	113	672	हरि माझी सुपुत्र दुआरी माझी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.040
342	कुडापासी	64	674	प्रहलाद साहू सुपुत्र पांचू साहू	तैला	0.445

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
343	कुडापासी	114/82	675	सुरेन्द्र चन्द्र माली सुपुत्र नीलय माली	तैला	0.167
344	कुडापासी	32	676	दहाना नायक सुपुत्र चन्दल नायक	तैला	0.387
345	कुडापासी	92	682	रासानंदा साहू सुपुत्र बुधई माझी और अन्य	तैला	0.090
346	कुडापासी	113	686	हरि माझी सुपुत्र दुआरी माझी	तैला	0.115
347	कुडापासी	113	688	हरि माझी सुपुत्र दुआरी माझी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.174
348	कुडापासी	113	689	हरि माझी सुपुत्र दुआरी माझी	तैला	0.020
349	कुडापासी	78	692	बुधई साहू सुपुत्र आनंद साहू	तैला	0.001
350	कुडापासी	4	738	इन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र भगवान साहू	तैला	0.023
351	कुडापासी	22	739	चन्द्र साहू सुपुत्र भगवान साहू	तैला	0.031
352	कुडापासी	15	955	केश्ब साहू सुपुत्र जगई साहु और अन्य	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.011
353	कुडापासी	114/31	956	भागीरथी बहेरा सुपुत्र नाबाघाना बहेरा	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.001
354	कुडापासी	97	1227	लोचन साहू सुपुत्र जाडु साहू	तैला	0.184
355	कुडापासी	53	1233	पतीतापबन नायक सुपुत्र जयकृष्णा नायक	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.040
356	कुडापासी	103	1234	श्रीनिबास नायक सुपुत्र बालक नायक	तैला	0.247
357	कुडापासी	114/33	1235	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	तैला	0.337
358	कुडापासी	1	1236	अभिराम नायक सुपुत्र बालक नायक	तैला	0.241
359	कुडापासी	114/71	1237	विनय कुमार बहेरा सुपुत्र सुशांत कुमार बहेरा और अन्य	तैला	0.320
360	कुडापासी	114/25	1238	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	तैला	0.161
361	कुडापासी	67	1239	पिताम्बर नायक सुपुत्र बालक नायक	तैला	0.147
362	कुडापासी	67	1240	पिताम्बर नायक सुपुत्र बालक नायक	तैला	0.102
363	कुडापासी	67	1243	पिताम्बर नायक सुपुत्र बालक नायक	तैला	0.015
364	कुडापासी	114/84	1244	सत्यभामा सेठी पत्नी घनश्याम सेठी	तैला	0.082
365	कुडापासी	1	1246	अभिराम नायक सुपुत्र बालक नायक	तैला	0.132
366	कुडापासी	1	1247	अभिराम नायक सुपुत्र बालक नायक	तैला	0.031
367	कुडापासी	1	1248	अभिराम नायक सुपुत्र बालक नायक	तैला	0.230
368	कुडापासी	5	1249	उछाबा नायक सुपुत्र बालक नायक और अन्य	तैला	0.158
369	कुडापासी	114/41	1250	चैतन्य नायक सुपुत्र बैशनब नायक	तैला	0.088
370	कुडापासी	5	1251	उछाबा नायक सुपुत्र बालक नायक और	तैला	0.075

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				अन्य		
371	कुडापासी	114/18	1253	मनोरंजन नायक सुपुत्र चैतन्य नायक	तैला	0.091
372	कुडापासी	114/33	1254	श्रीमांता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरन साहू	तैला	0.036
373	कुडापासी	114/33	1255	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.057
374	कुडापासी	114/33	1256	श्रीमांता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरन साहू	तैला	0.193
375	कुडापासी	13	1258	केश्बा साहू सुपुत्र कुंगई साहू	तैला	0.206
376	कुडापासी	89	1259	रमेश साहू सुपुत्र नबई साहू	तैला	0.074
377	कुडापासी	89	1260	रमेश साहू सुपुत्र नबई साहू	तैला	0.094
378	कुडापासी	40	1261	नालू साहू सुपुत्र बौरी साहू	तैला	0.164
379	कुडापासी	114/29	1262	जोगेन्द्र मलिक सुपुत्र नरोत्तम मलिक	तैला	0.350
380	कुडापासी	114/69	1263	दुर्गा चरन नायक सुपुत्र पतीतापावन नायक	घाराबड़ी	0.025
381	कुडापासी	93	1265	राम सेथे सुपुत्र दुखु सेथे	घाराबड़ी	0.020
382	कुडापासी	63	1266	प्रहलाद माझी सुपुत्र बौरी माझी	घाराबड़ी	0.022
383	कुडापासी	86	1268	भोलेश्वर साहू सुपुत्र बंगुरू साहू	घाराबड़ी	0.020
384	कुडापासी	42	1269	निरंजन माझी सुपुत्र बीरा साहू	घाराबड़ी	0.017
385	कुडापासी	41	1271	निमई माझी सुपुत्र ठाका माझी	घाराबड़ी	0.020
386	कुडापासी	114/77	1272	बल्लभ चन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र हल्धर प्रधान	घाराबड़ी	0.019
387	कुडापासी	6	1274	कास्तु बाघा सुपुत्र कमार बाघा	घाराबड़ी	0.015
388	कुडापासी	96	1275	लक्ष्मण साहू सुपुत्र पांछू साहू	घाराबड़ी	0.018
389	कुडापासी	90	1277	रमेश नायक सुपुत्र कालिया नायक	घाराबड़ी	0.017
390	कुडापासी	35	1282	दोलागोविंदा साहू सुपुत्र नेबई साहू	घाराबड़ी	0.020
391	कुडापासी	89	1284	रमेश साहू सुपुत्र नबई साहू	घाराबड़ी	0.019
392	कुडापासी	114/22	1286	पंचाली बारिक पत्नी प्रभात किरण बारिक	घाराबड़ी	0.015
393	कुडापासी	114/37	1288	कौश्ल्या बहेरा पत्नी सुजीत बहेरा	घाराबड़ी	0.016
394	कुडापासी	55	1291	पाबित्रा साहू सुपुत्र पथानी साहू	घाराबड़ी	0.014
395	कुडापासी	34	1293	दुरजु साहू सुपुत्र पथारनी साहू	घाराबड़ी	0.012
396	कुडापासी	98	1295	सरबेश्वर मतैरी सुपुत्र लक्ष्मी धन मतैरी	घाराबड़ी	0.021
397	कुडापासी	112	1297	सुशांत प्रधान सुपुत्र निमई प्रधान और अन्य	घाराबड़ी	0.018
398	कुडापासी	114/6	1300	चितन्या नायक सुपुत्र विश्नबा नायक	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.123
399	कुडापासी	114/7	1301	खिरोदा कुमार महाराना सुपुत्र जतैया	तैला	0.081

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				महाराना		
400	कुडापासी	114/8	1302	पंचाली बारिक पत्नी प्रभात किरन बारिक	तैला	0.061
401	कुडापासी	114/41	1303	चैतन्य नायक सुपुत्र बैश्नब नायक	तैला	0.090
402	कुडापासी	114/11	1304	धर्मापाड़ा साहू सुपुत्र पांचू साहू	तैला	0.138
403	कुडापासी	114/11	1305	धर्मापाड़ा साहू सुपुत्र पांचू साहू	तैला	0.114
404	कुडापासी	114/12	1306	पतीतापाबन नायक सुपुत्र जयकृष्णा नायक	तैला	0.081
405	कुडापासी	114/13	1307	मनमोहन साहू सुपुत्र सुकरू साहू	तैला	0.057
406	कुडापासी	114/16	1308	हादीबंधु साहू सुपुत्र इकदुसिया साहू	तैला	0.045
407	कुडापासी	114/33	1309	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	तैला	0.231
408	कुडापासी	114/30	1314	बिश्नबा राउता सुपुत्र पूर्णा चन्द्र राउता	तैला	0.093
409	कुडापासी	114/33	1316	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	तैला	0.164
410	कुडापासी	114/33	1317	श्रीमंता कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्रु चरण साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.129
411	कुडापासी	114/30	1318	विश्नबा राउता सुपुत्र पूर्णा चन्द्र राउता	तैला	0.201
412	कुडापासी	114/34	1319	प्रमीला नायक सुपुत्र कीर्तन नायक	तैला	0.237
413	कुडापासी	114/38	1320	बिनोद कुमार साहू सुपुत्र मधुसुदन साहू	तैला	0.090
414	कुडापासी	114/19	1321	गनया रंजन नायक सुपुत्र चितन्या नायक	तैला	0.158
415	कुडापासी	114/39	1322	आलोक कुमार साहू सुपुत्र रूद्रमोहन साहू	तैला	0.066
416	कुडापासी	114/45	1324	मनगोविंदा साहू सुपुत्र दुरजयाधना साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.036
417	कुडापासी	114/46	1325	नारायण साहू सुपुत्र प्रणाबंधु साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.049
418	कुडापासी	114/46	1326	नारायण साहू सुपुत्र प्रणाबंधु साहू	तैला	0.017
419	कुडापासी	114/47	1327	सिबरामा साहू सुपुत्र पबीत्रा साहू	तैला	0.010
420	कुडापासी	114/47	1328	सिबरामा साहू सुपुत्र पबीत्रा साहू	तैला	0.005
421	कुडापासी	114/51	1329	ससमितरानी प्रधान पत्नी उमाकांत माझी	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.184
422	कुडापासी	114/53	1330	रश्मीता साहू पत्नी प्रदीप कुमार प्रधान	तैला	0.074
423	कुडापासी	114/54	1331	अजित कुमार देहुरी सुपुत्र दुर्योधन देहुरी	तैला	0.045
424	कुडापासी	114/57	1333	पिताबस साहू सुपुत्र गौरा साहू	तैला	0.049

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
425	कुडापासी	114/58	1334	सारथी साहू सुपुत्र गौरा साहू	तैला	0.046
426	कुडापासी	114/59	1335	श्रीनिबास साहू सुपुत्र गौरा साहू	तैला	0.048
427	कुडापासी	114/60	1336	सुरथ साहू सुपुत्र गौरा साहू	तैला	0.038
428	कुडापासी	114/61	1337	राकेश रंजन रऊता सुपुत्र प्रफूल्ल कुमार रऊता	तैला	0.101
429	कुडापासी	114/63	1338	राजकिशोर देहुरी सुपुत्र महेश्वर देहुरी	तैला	0.159
430	कुडापासी	114/81	1339	प्रशांत कुमार साहू सुपुत्र नेत्रनंदा साहू	तैला	0.120
431	कुडापासी	114/64	1340	संतवोना मिश्रा पत्नी नरेन्द्र कुमार मिश्रा	तैला	0.048
432	कुडापासी	114/66	1341	देबा साहू सुपुत्र बुधई साहू	तैला	0.056
433	कुडापासी	114/66	1342	देबा साहू सुपुत्र बुधई साहू	तैला	0.018
434	कुडापासी	114/66	1343	देबा साहू सुपुत्र बुधई साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.060
435	कुडापासी	114/67	1344	चिनमया कुमार बहेरा सुपुत्र सुशांत कुमार बहेरा	तैला	0.159
436	कुडापासी	114/68	1345	बिनय कुमार बहेरा सुपुत्र सुशांत कुमार बहेरा	तैला	0.119
437	कुडापासी	114/68	1346	बिनय कुमार बहेरा सुपुत्र सुशांत कुमार बहेरा	तैला	0.014
438	कुडापासी	114/68	1347	बिनय कुमार बहेरा सुपुत्र सुशांत कुमार बहेरा	तैला	0.067
439	कुडापासी	114/70	1348	सुशांत कुमार साहू सुपुत्र रूद्रमोहन साहू	तैला	0.035
440	कुडापासी	114/39	1349	आलोक कुमार साहू सुपुत्र रूद्रमोहन साहू	तैला	0.042
441	कुडापासी	114/73	1350	सरोजिनी नायक पत्नी अजया नायक	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.015
442	कुडापासी	114/74	1351	तिकान साहू सुपुत्र दुजयाधन साहू	तैला	0.111
443	कुडापासी	114/75	1352	टूना बहेरा सुपुत्र प्रकाश बहेरा	तैला	0.096
444	कुडापासी	114/76	1353	बिनय कुमार बहेरा सुपुत्र सुसांत कुमार बहेरा और अन्य	तैला	0.385
445	कुडापासी	114/78	1354	अरूण प्रधान सुपुत्र मोहन प्रधान	तैला	0.105
446	कुडापासी	114/85	1356	करूणाकर महापात्रा सुपुत्र चन्द्रमणि महापात्रा	तैला	0.097

(4) ग्राम – कुडापासी गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
447	कुडापासी	84	1357	भागीरथी माझी सुपुत्र बीरा माझी और अन्य	घाराबड़ी	0.011
448	कुडापासी	114/87	1358	सत्येन्द्र प्रधान सुपुत्र घनश्याम प्रधान और अन्य	तैला	0.125
449	कुडापासी	114/88	1359	उधाब साहू और नरौत्तम साहू	सारदा अंजलासाचिता तिनी	0.009
450	कुडापासी	114/89	1360	रंजन बहेरा सुपुत्र रमेश बहेरा	तैला	0.036
451	कुडापासी	114/90	1361	रजत कुमार बहेरा सुपुत्र रमेश बहेरा	तैला	0.035
जोड़ :		**ग्राम – कुडापासी**				**42.488**

(5) ग्राम – धौराखमान गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	धौराखमान	11	5	घुरूदु साहू सुपुत्र बुटु साहू	तैला	0.441
2	धौराखमान	43	10	सुदई सेठी सुपुत्र नंदा सेठी	तैला	0.237
3	धौराखमान	43	11	सुदई सेठी सुपुत्र नंदा सेठी	तैला	0.340
4	धौराखमान	43	12	सुदई सेठी सुपुत्र नंदा सेठी	तैला	0.194
5	धौराखमान	45/17	13	मानस रंजन स्वैन सुपुत्र प्रहलाद स्वैन	तैला	0.771
6	धौराखमान	45/12	15	ग्राम कम्यूनिटी भूमि अध्यक्ष, ब्रहमनबिल के नाम	तैला	0.748
7	धौराखमान	8	16	कस्तूरी साहू सुपुत्र अरखिता देहुरी और अन्य	तैला	0.071
8	धौराखमान	45/19	17	बैसनाबा राउत सुपुत्र पूर्ण चन्द्र राउत	तैला	0.149

(5) ग्राम – धौराखमान गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
9	धौराखमान	45/19	18	बैसनाबा राउत सुपुत्र पूर्ण चन्द्र राउत	तैला	0.121
10	धौराखमान	45/42	20	सुदर्शन देहुरी सुपुत्र दांगी देहुरी	तैला	0.375
11	धौराखमान	24	21	बालकृष्णा साहू सुपुत्र भागबत साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.358
12	धौराखमान	28	40	बैरागी साहू सुपुत्री सबर साहू	तैला	0.479
13	धौराखमान	24	53	बालकृष्ण साहू सुपुत्र भागबत साहू और अन्य	तैला	1.637
14	धौराखमान	45/12	54	ग्राम कम्यूनिटी भूमि अध्यक्ष, ब्रहमनबिल के नाम	तैला	0.022
15	धौराखमान	45/17	84	मानस रंजन स्वैन सुपुत्र प्रहलाद स्वैन	तैला	0.267
16	धौराखमान	35	85	मिलू बहेरा सुपुत्र हल्धर बहेरा	तैला	0.072
17	धौराखमान	45/45	86	प्रफुल्ल कुमार सेठी सुपुत्र बौरी बंधु सेठी	तैला	0.101
18	धौराखमान	45/41	87	विनय कुमार बहेरा सुपुत्र सुशांत कुमार बहेरा और अन्य	तैला	0.307
19	धौराखमान	45/12	92	ग्राम कम्यूनिटी भूमि अध्यक्ष, ब्रहमनबिल के नाम	तैला	0.622
20	धौराखमान	45/12	93	ग्राम कम्यूनिटी भूमि अध्यक्ष, ब्रहमनबिल के नाम	तैला	0.065
21	धौराखमान	2	94	अंतरयामी साहू सुपुत्र धानी साहू	तैला	0.079
22	धौराखमान	20	98	पुनिया साहू सुपुत्री दामबुरू साहू	तैला	0.136
23	धौराखमान	22	99	बौरीबंधु देहुरी सुपुत्र पानू देहुरी	तैला	0.101
24	धौराखमान	20	103	पुनिया साहू सुपुत्री दमबुरू साहू	तैला	0.170
25	धौराखमान	45/17	104	मानव रंजन स्वैन सुपुत्र प्रहलाद स्वैन	तैला	0.245

(5) ग्राम – धौराखमान गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
26	धौराखमान	45/50	105	विश्नु बेहरा सुपुत्र दीनबंधु बहेरा	तैला	0.039
27	धौराखमान	14	224	जयकृष्णा प्रधान सुपुत्र दैतरी प्रधान	सारदा जलसाचिता एक फसली	0.153
28	धौराखमान	45/2	563	भागीरती साहू सुपुत्र नेपाला साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.037
29	धौराखमान	45/3	566	परनाती बारिक पत्नी नारायण बारिक	तैला	0.096
30	धौराखमान	45/4	567	पावर्ती बारिक पत्नी अक्ष्य कुमार बारिक	तैला	0.036
31	धौराखमान	45/8	571	पर्वत किरन बारिक सुपुत्र अनुकूल बारिक	तैला	0.036
32	धौराखमान	45/11	574	पंचाली बारिक पत्नी पर्वत किरन बारिक	तैला	0.026
33	धौराखमान	45/12	575	ग्राम की कम्यूनिटी भूमि अध्यक्ष, ब्रहमनबिल के नाम	तैला	0.347
34	धौराखमान	45/12	578	ग्राम कम्यूनिटी भूमि अध्यक्ष, ब्रहमनबिल के नाम	तैला	0.521
35	धौराखमान	45/12	579	ग्राम कम्यूनिटी भूमि अध्यक्ष, ब्रहमनबिल के नाम	तैला	0.129
36	धौराखमान	45/13	580	बिनोदिनी राऊता पत्नी विश्नाबा राऊता	तैला	0.151
37	धौराखमान	45/14	581	बसंत कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिध्याधर साहू	तैला	0.049
38	धौराखमान	45/15	582	तिकली बेहरा पत्नी दामबारू बहेरा	तैला	0.142
39	धौराखमान	45/17	584	मानस रंजन स्वैन सुपुत्र प्रहलाद स्वैन	तैला	0.343
40	धौराखमान	45/19	587	बैसनाबा राऊत सुपुत्र पूर्ण चन्द्र राऊत	तैला	0.120
41	धौराखमान	45/21	588	ग्राम कम्यूनिटी भूमि अध्यक्ष, ब्रहमनबिल के नाम	तैला	0.595
42	धौराखमान	45/23	589	श्रीमंत कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.102
43	धौराखमान	45/23	590	श्रीमंत कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.194
44	धौराखमान	45/23	591	श्रीमंत कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण	तैला	0.101

(5) ग्राम – धौराखमान गैर-वन भूमि (निजी)						
क्र. सं.	आर.I सर्किल/ ग्राम	खाता संख्या	प्लाट संख्या	काश्तकारी का नाम	किस्म	परियोजना के लिए अपेक्षित क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर में)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				साहू		
45	धौराखमान	45/23	592	श्रीमंत कुमार साहू सुपुत्र बिश्नु चरण साहू	तैला	0.194
46	धौराखमान	45/29	598	दीपन्दु कुमार प्रधान सुपुत्र जडुमणि प्रधान	तैला	0.114
47	धौराखमान	45/31	600	धीरेन्द्र कुमार साहू सुपुत्र भास्क साहू और अन्य	तैला	0.440
48	धौराखमान	45/49	612	दीपतिरनी बारिक पत्नी बरूण कुमार बारिक	तैला	0.024
जोड़ :		**ग्राम – धौराखमान**				**12.099**

सीमा-विवरण:

नैनी कोयला ब्लॉक की सीमा बिंदु संख्या 1 से शुरू होती है, जो स्टेट हाईवे संख्या 63 के किनारे और गौदूनी नाला के दक्षिणी किनारे पर स्थित है। जहां से सीमा बिंदु संख्या -29 तक जाने के लिए स्टेट हाइवे संख्या 63 (अंगुल टाउन की ओर) के साथ दक्षिण-पूर्व दिशा में आगे बढ़ती है। बिंदु संख्या 29 से बिंदु संख्या 136 तक सीमा बाएं मुड़के उत्तर-पूर्व दिशा की ओर बढ़ती है, और छेंड़ीपड़ा आरक्षित वन पर चलती हुई बिंदु संख्या 136 तक पहुँचती है । बिंदु संख्या 136 से बिंदु संख्या 'क' तक सीमा उत्तर-पूर्व की ओर मुड़के गाँव तेंतुलोइ गोपीनाथपुर होकर आगे सर्वे नंबर 195, 194, 193, 201, 200 से होकर गुजरती है। बिंदु संख्या ए से बिंदु संख्या सी तक सीमा उत्तर-पूर्व की ओर मुड़के गाँव कसीडीहा होकर आगे सर्वे नंबर 36, 34, 33, 3092, 32, 30, 24, 23, 20, 17 से होकर गुजरती है । बिंदु संख्या 'ग' से बिंदु संख्या 'ड.' तक सीमा आगे बढ़ कर उत्तर-पूर्व की दिशा में गाँव थलीपसि से होकर गुज़रती है, जो सर्वे नंबर 20, 19, 18, 66, 68, 64, 63, 52, 119, 120, 229, 233, 234, 237, 238, 241, 242, 245, 247, 250, 437, 436, 432, 433, 434, 449, 471, 472, 476, 561, 551, 552, 553, 550, 548, 547, 546 से होकर गुज़रती है । बिंदु संख्या 'ड.' से बिंदु संख्या 'झ' तक सीमा आगे बढ़ कर उत्तर-पूर्व की दिशा में गाँव कुदापसी से होकर गुज़रती है, जो सर्वे नंबर 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 685, 686, 687, 689, 692, 691, 635, 634, 633, 632, 631, 737, 741, 769, 809, 807, 802, 803, 797, 796, 795, 784, 955, 956, 531, 530, 529, 527, 523, 499, 500, 492, 467, 1224, 462, 461 से होकर गुज़रती है । बिंदु संख्या 'झ' से बिंदु संख्या 30 तक सीमा आगे बढ़ कर उत्तर-पूर्व की दिशा में गाँव धौराखमान से होकर गुज़रती है, जो सर्वे नंबर 222, 223, 224, 226, 225, 213, 226, 257, 256, 255, 260, 268, 269 से होकर गुज़रती है । बिंदु संख्या 30 से बिंदु संख्या 31 तक सीमा बायीं की ओर मुड़के उत्तर-पश्चिम दिशा में जाती है, जो कि धौराखमान से होकर गुजरती है जो सर्वे नंबर 269, 268, 270, 266, 265, 262, 181, 180, 178, 179, 176, 105, 566, 567, 102, 85, 84, 54, 53, 21, 20 से होकर गुज़रती है और छेंड़ीपड़ा आरक्षित वन सीमा पर बिंदु संख्या 31 को छूती है। बिंदु संख्या 31 से लेकर बिंदु संख्या 35 तक सीमा उत्तर-पश्चिम दिशा में आगे बढ़ कर छेंदीपादा आरक्षित वन पर स्थित बिंदु संख्या 35 से मिलती है । बिंदु संख्या 35 से बिंदु संख्या 1 तक की सीमा बायीं ओर मुड़के दक्षिण-पश्चिम दिशा में गौदुनी नाला के दक्षिण किनारे से धारा के साथ बिंदु संख्या 1 से मिलती है जहां से सीमा शुरू हुई थी।

(1) ग्राम: तन्तुलोई गोपीनाथपुर : सीमा बिंदु संख्या -136, 'क' और 'ख' से घिरी है।

ग्राम की सीमा बिंदु संख्या -136 से शुरू होती है, जो कि त्रिकोणीय जंक्शन बिंदु के पास स्थित है और सर्वे नंबर 195 पर छेंड़ीपड़ा आरक्षित वन सीमा और ब्लॉक सीमा को छूती है। बिंदु संख्या -136 से बिंदु संख्या 'क' तक, ब्लॉक सीमा उत्तर पूर्व दिशा में गाँव तेंतुलोइ गोपीनाथपुर से होते हुए सर्वे नंबर -195, 194, 193, 201, 200 से गुजर कर सर्वे नंबर -200 पर

उत्तर पूर्वी छोर पर गाँव की सीमा को छूती है। बिंदु संख्या 'क' से बिंदु संख्या 'ख' तक गांव की सीमा सर्वे नंबर -200, 199, 195 से होकर जाती हुई बिंदु संख्या 'ख' पर छेंड़ीपड़ा आरक्षित वन सीमा को उत्तर- पूर्व दिशा में छूती है। बिंदु संख्या 'ख' से बिंदु संख्या -136 तक यह तेंतुलोई गोपीनाथपुर गांव और छेंड़ीपड़ा आरक्षित वन के बीच सामान्य सीमा है।

(2) ग्राम: कासीडीहा : सीमा बिंदु संख्या 'क', 'ग', 'घ', और 'ख' से घिरी है।

ग्राम की सीमा रेखा बिंदु संख्या 'क', जो कि सर्वे नंबर -36 और सर्वे नंबर 34 के लिए आम बिंदु पर स्थित है, से शुरू होती है। बिंद संख्या 'क' से बिंद संख्या 'ग' तक, ब्लॉक सीमा उत्तर-पूर्व दिशा की ओर जाती है, जो कसीडीहा गाँव से होते हुए सर्वे नंबर 36, 34, 33, 3092, 32, 30, 24, 23, 20, 17 को छूती हुई उत्तर-पूर्व की ओर गाँव की सीमा सर्वे नंबर 17 पर समाप्त होती है। बिंदु संख्या 'ग' से बिंदु संख्या 'घ' तक गांव की सीमा सर्वे नंबर 17, 16, 15, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 3176, 5 को संवेशित करते हुए उत्तर-पश्चिम दिशा में चली गई और त्रिकोणीय जंक्शन बिंदु से गुजरती है, और सर्वे नंबर 2, 1 के साथ आगे बढ़ कर छेंड़ीपड़ा आरक्षित वन सीमा को बिंद संख्या 'घ' पर छूती है। बिंदु संख्या 'घ' से बिंदु संख्या 'ख' तक यह कसीडीहा गांव और छेंड़ीपड़ा आरक्षित वन के बीच आम सीमा है। बिंदु संख्या 'ख' से बिंदु संख्या 'क' तक की गांव की सीमा दक्षिण-पश्चिम दिशा में सर्वे नंबर 1, 30, 31, 32, 36 को समावेश करती है और बिंदु संख्या 'क' पर सीमा बंद होती है।

(3) ग्राम : थलीपसी: सीमा बिंदु संख्या 'ग', 'ड.', 'च', 'छ', और 'घ' से घिरी है।

ग्राम की सीमा रेखा बिंदु संख्या 'ग' से शुरू होती है, जो सर्वे नंबर 20 पर स्थित है। बिंदु संख्या 'ग' से बिंदु संख्या 'ड.' तक, ब्लॉक सीमा उत्तर-पूर्व की दिशा में गांव थलीपसि के बीच से सर्वे नंबर 20, 19, 18, 66, 68, 64, 63, 52, 119, 120, 229 , 233, 234, 237, 238, 241, 242, 245, 247, 250, 437, 436, 432, 433, 434, 449, 471, 472, 476, 561, 551, 552, 553, 550, 548, 547 और 546 से गुजरकर उत्तर-पूर्व दिशा में गाँव की सीमा सर्वे नं 546 को छूती है । बिंदु संख्या 'ड.' से लेकर बिंदु संख्या 'च' तक, गाँव की सीमा उत्तर-पश्चिम दिशा में सर्वे नं 546, 545, 542, 541, 538, 537, 536, 533, 532, 531, 530, 528, 527, 526, 525, 524, 523 को संवेशित करते हुए जाती है। बिंदु संख्या 'च' से बिंदु संख्या 'छ' तक गांव की सीमा सर्वे नंबर -510, 509, 498, 414, 413, 412, 411, 410, 409, 408, 407, 406, 403, 402, 401, 119, 96, 93, 89, 86, 85, और 1 को संवेशित करते हुए पश्चिम दिशा में बिंदु संख्या 'छ' पर छेंड़ीपड़ा आरक्षित वन सीमा को छूती है। बिंदु संख्या 'छ' से बिंदु संख्या 'घ' तक यह थलीपसि गांव और छेंड़ीपड़ा आरक्षित वन के बीच आम सीमा है। बिंदु संख्या 'घ' से बिंदु संख्या 'ग' तक गांव की सीमा दक्षिण-पूर्व दिशा में सर्वे नंबर 1, 2, 3, 578, 577, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20 को संवेशित करते हुए बिंदु संख्या 'ग' पर बंद होती है।

(4) ग्राम: बिम्बाधरपुर : सीमा बिंदु संख्या 'छ', 'च', और 'ज' से घिरी है।

ग्राम की सीमा रेखा बिंदु संख्या 'छ' से शुरू होती है जो त्रिकोणीय जंक्शन बिंदु के करीब है और छेंदीपादा आरक्षित वन सीमा को छूती है। बिंदु संख्या 'छ' से बिंदु संख्या 'च' तक, गांव की सीमा सर्वे नंबर 1, 162, 163, 164, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 367, 368, 369, 370, 372, 373, 378, 379 को संवेशित करते हुए पूर्व दिशा में जाती है। बिंदु संख्या 'च' से बिंदु संख्या 'ज' तक गांव की सीमा सर्वे नंबर 387, 379, 378, 377, 376, 375, 364, त्रिकोणीय जंक्शन बिंदु, 363, 362, 353, 415, 412, 352, 47, 44, 34, 392, 399, 33, 389, 430, 32, 19, 17, 4 को संवेशित करते हुए उत्तर -पश्चिम दिशा में बिंदु संख्या 'ज' पर छेंदीपादा आरक्षित वन सीमा को छूती है। बिंदु संख्या 'ज' से बिंदु संख्या 'छ' तक यह बिम्बाधरपुर गांव और छेंदीपादा आरक्षित वन के बीच आम सीमा है, जो कि बिंदु संख्या 'छ' पर बंद होती है।

(5) ग्राम: कुडापासी: सीमा बिंदु संख्या 'ड.', 'झ', 'ञ', 'ज' और 'च' से घिरी है।

ग्राम की सीमा रेखा बिंदु संख्या 'ड.' से शुरू होती है, जो कि सर्वे नंबर 678 पर त्रिकोणीय जंक्शन बिंदु के करीब स्थित है। बिंदु संख्या 'ड.' से बिंदु संख्या 'झ' तक ब्लॉक की सीमा उत्तर-पूर्व दिशा की ओर कुडापासी के बीच सर्वे नं 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 685, 686, 687, 689, 692, 691, 635, 634, 633, 632, 631, 737, 741, 769, 809, 807, 802, 803, 797, 796, 795, 784, 955, 956, 531, 530, 529, 527, 523, 499, 500, 492, 467, 1224, 462, 461 के मध्य से गुजर कर जाती है। बिंदु संख्या 'झ' से बिंदु संख्या 'ञ' तक गाँव की सीमा उत्तर-पश्चिम दिशा की ओर सर्वे नं 461, त्रिकोणीय जंक्शन बिंदु, 393, 392, 391, 390, 389, 388, 1318, 380, 379, 365, 364, त्रिकोणीय जंक्शन बिंदु, 47, 46, 44, 1230, 1229, 1228, 27 को संवेशित करते हुए छेंदीपादा आरक्षित वन सीमा को बिंदु संख्या 'ञ' पर छूती है। बिंदु संख्या 'ञ' से बिंदु संख्या एच तक यह कुडापासी गांव और छेंदीपादा आरक्षित वन के बीच आम सीमा है। बिंदु संख्या 'ज' से बिंदु संख्या 'च' और बिंदु संख्या 'ड.', गाँव की सीमा दक्षिण-पूर्व दिशा में सर्वे नंबर 1, 1316, 1234, 1235, 1353,

1236, 1237, 1, 2, 112, 113, 127, 134, 1351, त्रिकोणीय जंक्शन बिंदु, 1351, 1307, 138, 1322, 139, 1223, 184, 185, त्रिकोणीय जंक्शन बिंदु, 186, 188, 202, 1309, 204, 673, 676, 677, 678, को संवेशित करते हुए बिंदु संख्या 'ड.' पर बंद होती है।

(6) ग्राम: धौराखमान: बिंदु संख्या 'झ', 30, 31 और 'ञ' से घिरी है।

ग्राम की सीमा रेखा बिंदु संख्या 'झ' से शुरू होती है, जो कि सर्वे नंबर 222 पर स्थित है। बिंदु संख्या 'झ' से बिंदु संख्या 30 तक ब्लॉक की सीमा गाँव धौराखमान और सर्वे नंबर 222, 223, 224, 226, 225, 213, 226, 257, 256, 255, 260, 268, 269 से गुजर कर उत्तर-पूर्व दिशा की ओर जाती है। बिंदु संख्या 30 से बिंदु संख्या 31 तक ब्लॉक सीमा सर्वे नंबर 269, 268, 270, 266, 265, 262, 181, 180, 178, 179, 176, 105, 566, 567, 102, 85, 84, 54, 53, 21, 20 को संवेशित करते हुए उत्तर-पश्चिम दिशा में छेंदीपादा आरक्षित वन सीमा को बिंदु संख्या 31 पर छूती है। बिंदु संख्या 31 से बिंदु संख्या 'ञ' तक, यह धौराखमान गांव और छेंदीपादा आरक्षित वन के बीच आम सीमा है। बिंदु संख्या 'ञ' से बिंदु संख्या 'झ' तक, ग्राम की सीमा सर्वे नंबर 1, 2, 4, 558, 551, 7, 553, 552, 553, 34, 35, 36, 37, त्रिकोणीय जंक्शन बिंदु, 90, 91, 92, 95, 200, त्रिकोणीय जंक्शन बिंदु, 201, 202, 203, 219, 220, 221, त्रिकोणीय जंक्शन बिंदु, 222, को संवेशित करते हुए बिंदु संख्या 'झ' पर बंद होती है ।

[फा. सं. 43015/18/2015-एलए एण्ड आईआर]

राम शिरोमणि सरोज, उप सचिव

MINISTRY OF COAL

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 655.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Coal number S.O. 1704 (E), dated the 10th May, 2016 issued under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisitions and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), and published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, part II, section 3, sub-section (ii), dated the 11th May, 2016, the Central Government gave notice of its intention to prospect for coal in 912.7892 hectares (approximately) or 2255.502 acres (approximately) of the lands in the locality specified in the Schedule annexed to that notification and the said notice was extended for a further period of one year commencing from 10th May, 2018 vide notification number 1651(E), dated the 17th April, 2018;

And, whereas the Central Government is satisfied that coal is obtainable in a part of the said lands prescribed in the Schedule appended to this notification.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 7 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to acquire the land measuring 912.799 hectares (approximately) or 2255.575 acres (approximately) and all rights in or over the said lands described in the schedule appended hereto.

Note 1: The plan bearing number SCCL/Naini Area/Notification/2018/1, dated the 28th December, 2018 of the area covered by this notification may be inspected at the office of the General Manager, Naini Area, The Singareni Collieries Company Limited (A Government Company), H. No. 129 of NAC, Mishrapada, District Angul -759122, Odisha or at the office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Kolkata-700 001 or at the office of Collector and the District Magistrate, District Angul, Odisha.

Note 2 : Attention is hereby invited to the provisions of the section 8 of the said Act, relating to objection to acquisition, which provides as follows:-

"8. (1) Any person interested in any land in respect of which a notification under section 7 has been issued, may, within thirty days of the issue of the notification, object to the acquisition of the whole or any part of the land or of any rights in or over such land.

Explanation.- It shall not be an objection within the meaning of this section for any person to say that he himself desires to undertake mining operations in the land for the production of coal and that such operations should not be undertaken by the Central Government or by any other person.

(2) Every objection under sub-section (1) shall be made to the competent authority in writing, and the competent authority shall give the objector an opportunity of being heard either in person or by a legal practitioner and shall, after hearing all such objections and after making such further inquiry, if any, as he thinks necessary, either make a report in respect of the land which has been notified under sub-section (1) of section 7 or of rights in or over such land, or make different reports in respect of different parcels of such land or of rights in or over such land, to the Central Government, containing his recommendations on the objections, together with the record of the proceedings held by him, for the decision of that Government.

(3) For the purposes of this section, a person shall be deemed to be interested in land who would be entitled to claim an interest in compensation if the land or any rights in or over such land were acquired under this Act."

Note 3: The Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Kolkata 700001 has been appointed by the Central Government as the competent authority under section 3 of the said Act, vide notification number S.O.3347(E), dated the 9th December, 2015, published in part II, section 3, sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India, dated the 10th December, 2015.

SCHEDULE

THE SINGARENI COLLIERIES COMPANY LIMITED

Naini Opencast Project, Naini Area

District-Angul, Odisha

[Plan bearing number SCCL/Naini Area/Notification/2018/1, dated the 28th December, 2018]

All Rights:

(I) Table

Sl. No.	Name of villages	Thana	Thana number	District	Type of land in hectares			Total in hectares	Total in acres	Remarks
					Tenancy	Forest	Non-Forest Govt.			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
1	Kasidiha	Chhendipada	95	Angul	0.961	9.805	0.750	11.516	28.457	Part
2	Tentuloi gopinathapur	Chhendipada	94	Angul	-	1.461	0.654	2.115	5.226	Part
3	Thalipasi	Chhendipada	101	Angul	20.555	4.207	3.052	27.814	68.730	Part
4	Bimbadharapur	Chhendipada	102	Angul	35.724	51.101	8.873	95.698	236.475	Full
5	Kudapasi	Chhendipada	103	Angul	42.488	56.744	1.716	100.948	249.448	Part
6	Dhaurakhaman	Chhendipada	104	Angul	12.099	16.862	2.652	31.613	78.117	Part
7	Chhendipada Reserve forest	Chhendipada	-	Angul	-	643.095	0.000	643.095	1589.122	Part
Total:					111.827	783.275	17.697	912.799	2255.575	
Total : 912.799 hectares (approximately) or 2255.575 acres (approximately)										

1. Plot numbers to be acquired in Village Kasidiha :

1 to 16, 17(P), 20(P), 23(P), 24(P), 25 to 29, 30(P), 31, 32(P), 33(P), 34(P), 36(P), 3092(P), 3138, 3176.

2. Plot numbers to be acquired in Village Tentuloi Gopinathpur :

193(P), 194(P), 195(P), 196 to 199, 200(P), 201(P).

3. Plot numbers to be acquired in Village Thalipasi :

1 to 17, 18(P), 19(P), 20(P), 52(P), 53 to 62, 63(P), 64(P), 66(P), 67, 68(P), 69 to 118, 119(P), 120(P), 229(P), 233(P), 234(P), 235, 236, 237(P), 238(P), 239, 240, 241(P), 242(P), 243, 244, 245(P), 247(P), 250(P), 251 to 431, 432(P), 433(P), 434(P), 436(P), 437(P), 449(P), 471(P), 472(P), 473, 474, 475, 476(P), 477 to 545, 546(P), 547(P), 548(P), 549, 550(P), 551(P), 552(P), 553(P), 561(P), 565, 566, 568, 569, 570, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583.

4. Plot numbers to be acquired in Village Bimbadharapur :

1 to 437.

5. Plot numbers to be acquired in Village Kudapasi :

1 to 460, 461(P), 462(P), 463 to 466, 467(P), 492(P), 499(P), 500(P), 501 to 522, 523(P), 527(P), 528, 529(P), 530(P), 531(P), 532 to 630, 631(P), 632(P), 633(P), 634(P), 635(P), 636 to 677, 678(P), 679(P), 680(P), 681(P), 682(P), 685(P), 686(P), 687(P), 688, 689(P), 690, 691(P), 692(P), 737(P), 738, 739, 740, 741(P), 769(P), 770 to 783, 784(P), 795(P), 796(P), 797(P), 802(P), 803(P), 804, 805, 806, 807(P), 809(P), 955(P), 956(P), 1223, 1224(P), 1225 to 1231, 1233 to 1297, 1300 to 1311, 1314, 1316 to 1322, 1324 to 1331, 1333 to 1363.

6. Plot numbers to be acquired in Village Dhaurakhaman:

1 to 19, 20(P), 21(P), 22 to 52, 53(P), 54(P), 84(P), 85(P), 86 to 101, 102(P), 103, 104, 105(P), 176(P), 178(P), 179(P), 180(P), 181(P), 182 to 212, 213(P), 214 to 221, 222(P), 223(P), 224(P), 225(P), 226(P), 255(P), 256(P), 257(P), 258, 259, 260(P), 261, 262(P), 265(P), 266(P), 267, 268(P), 269(P), 270(P), 550 to 553, 558 to 563, 566, 567, 570 to 572, 574, 575, 578 to 582, 584, 587 to 592, 595, 596, 598, 599, 600, 605, 607, 612, 614.

7. Chhendipada Reserve forest: 643.095 hectares or 1589.122 acres.

The details of land to be acquired are given in the table below:—

(II) Table

(1) Village –Kasidiha Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectares
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	Kasidiha	44	3	Choudhuri Pradhan S/o Lakhia Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.136
2	Kasidiha	73	4	Dasarathi Sahu S/o Padmalava Sahu and Others	Taila	0.176
3	Kasidiha	167	5	Maheswar sahu S/o Dasarathi Sahu and Others	Taila	0.201
4	Kasidiha	44	9	Choudhuri Pradhan S/o Lakhia Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.090
5	Kasidiha	44	10	Choudhuri Pradhan S/o Lakhia Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.041
6	Kasidiha	44	11	Choudhuri Pradhan S/o Lakhia Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.317
Total :		**Village : Kasidiha**				**0.961**

(2) Village – Thalipasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectares
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	Thalipasi	8	2	Khiramohan Sahoo S/o Jogendar Sahoo and Others	Taila	0.607
2	Thalipasi	46/5	3	Srimanta Kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan sahu	Taila	0.133

(2) Village – Thalipasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectares
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
3	Thalipasi	45	4	Sudarshan Nayak S/o Dibakar and others	Patita	0.061
4	Thalipasi	45	5	Sudarshan Nayak S/o Dibakar and Others	Taila	0.178
5	Thalipasi	21	6	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Patita	0.101
6	Thalipasi	21	7	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Patita	0.174
7	Thalipasi	21	8	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Taila	0.243
8	Thalipasi	21	9	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Taila	0.174
9	Thalipasi	21	10	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Patita	0.049
10	Thalipasi	43	12	Santhakumar Sahoo S/o Sukru Sahoo and Others	Patita	0.118
11	Thalipasi	43	13	Santhakumar Sahoo S/o Sukru Sahoo and Others	Patita	0.510
12	Thalipasi	43	14	Santhakumar Sahoo S/o Sukru Sahoo and Others	Patita	0.049
13	Thalipasi	14	15	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Do Fasali	0.542
14	Thalipasi	14	16	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Do Fasali	0.257
15	Thalipasi	14	17	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Patita	0.047
16	Thalipasi	14	18	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Do Fasali	0.198
17	Thalipasi	14	19	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Do Fasali	0.003
18	Thalipasi	21	52	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.067
19	Thalipasi	21	53	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.105
20	Thalipasi	21	54	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.105
21	Thalipasi	21	55	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.032
22	Thalipasi	21	56	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.026
23	Thalipasi	21	57	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.031
24	Thalipasi	21	58	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.039
25	Thalipasi	21	59	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.033
26	Thalipasi	21	60	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.040
27	Thalipasi	21	61	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.028

(2) Village – Thalipasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectares
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
28	Thalipasi	21	62	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.038
29	Thalipasi	21	63	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.028
30	Thalipasi	17	64	Dalagobonda Majhi S /o Maulamani majhi	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.106
31	Thalipasi	7	66	Kesaba Sahoo S/o Jogai Sahoo and Others	Taila	0.028
32	Thalipasi	7	67	Kesaba Sahoo S/o Jogai Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.122
33	Thalipasi	7	68	Kesaba Sahoo S/o Jogai Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.043
34	Thalipasi	7	69	Kesaba Sahoo S/o Jogai Sahoo and Others	Taila	0.046
35	Thalipasi	7	70	Kesaba Sahoo S/o Jogai Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.051
36	Thalipasi	7	71	Kesaba Sahoo S/o Jogai Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.091
37	Thalipasi	7	72	Kesaba Sahoo S/o Jogai Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.054
38	Thalipasi	7	73	Kesaba Sahoo S/o Jogai Sahoo and Others	Taila	0.040
39	Thalipasi	13	74	Jogi Sahoo S/o Banchha Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.091
40	Thalipasi	7	75	Kesaba Sahoo S/o Jogai Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.178
41	Thalipasi	7	76	Kesaba Sahoo S/o Jogai Sahoo and Others	Taila	0.091
42	Thalipasi	20	79	Nuhura Sahoo S/o Maguni Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.199
43	Thalipasi	20	80	Nuhura Sahoo S/o Maguni Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.059
44	Thalipasi	38	81	Budhia Majhi S/o Nabagana majhi	Taila	0.221
45	Thalipasi	20	87	Nuhura Sahoo S/o Maguni Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.460
46	Thalipasi	20	88	Nuhura Sahoo S/o Maguni Sahoo and Others	Patita	0.053
47	Thalipasi	6	91	Kanhu Sahoo S/o Aarata Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.040
48	Thalipasi	6	92	Kanhu Sahoo S/o Aarata Sahoo	Taila	0.247
49	Thalipasi	27	95	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o.Madhusudan Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.353
50	Thalipasi	32	96	Bikram Pradhan S/o Judunatha Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.412
51	Thalipasi	25	97	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.015
52	Thalipasi	25	98	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.012
53	Thalipasi	25	99	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.024

(2) Village – Thalipasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectares
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
54	Thalipasi	25	100	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.025
55	Thalipasi	46/6	101	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.072
56	Thalipasi	30	102	Bikram Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.190
57	Thalipasi	33	103	Bhagabat gosain S/o Jadunath Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.031
58	Thalipasi	46/4	105	Jasbant Pradhan S/o Joginath Pradhan and Others	Gharabari	0.117
59	Thalipasi	9	106	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Gharabari	0.119
60	Thalipasi	25	107	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Gharabari	0.103
61	Thalipasi	44	108	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.022
62	Thalipasi	46/6	109	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.022
63	Thalipasi	37	110	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.022
64	Thalipasi	30	111	Bikram Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.158
65	Thalipasi	6	112	Kanhu Sahoo S/o Aarata Sahoo	Gharabari	0.146
66	Thalipasi	22	115	Padia Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Gharabari	0.087
67	Thalipasi	6	116	Kanhu Sahoo S/o Aarata Sahoo	Gharabari	0.077
68	Thalipasi	21	117	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Gharabari	0.102
69	Thalipasi	21	118	Padiya Sahoo S/o Nahiya Sahoo and Others	Gharabari	0.090
70	Thalipasi	25	233	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.002
71	Thalipasi	39	234	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.111
72	Thalipasi	39	235	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.104
73	Thalipasi	15	236	Dibakar Pradhan S/o Nithyananda	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.052
74	Thalipasi	39	237	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.057
75	Thalipasi	39	238	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.037
76	Thalipasi	39	239	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.056
77	Thalipasi	39	240	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.026
78	Thalipasi	39	241	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.022
79	Thalipasi	39	242	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.013

(2) Village – Thalipasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectares
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
80	Thalipasi	39	243	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.052
81	Thalipasi	39	244	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.045
82	Thalipasi	15	245	Dibakar Pradhan S/o Nithyananda Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.003
83	Thalipasi	39	247	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.060
84	Thalipasi	46/3	250	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.026
85	Thalipasi	25	251	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.023
86	Thalipasi	25	252	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.039
87	Thalipasi	46/6	253	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.034
88	Thalipasi	46/6	254	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.017
89	Thalipasi	44	255	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.041
90	Thalipasi	9	256	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.027
91	Thalipasi	9	257	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.016
92	Thalipasi	37	258	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.048
93	Thalipasi	46/3	259	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.034
94	Thalipasi	25	260	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.018
95	Thalipasi	9	261	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.025
96	Thalipasi	25	262	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.028
97	Thalipasi	9	263	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.036
98	Thalipasi	25	264	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.022
99	Thalipasi	9	265	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.022
100	Thalipasi	46/3	266	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.030
101	Thalipasi	44	267	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.027
102	Thalipasi	46/6	268	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.041
103	Thalipasi	37	269	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.017
104	Thalipasi	44	270	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath	Sarada Jalasachita	0.014

(2) Village – Thalipasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectares
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				Pradhan	Ek Fasali	
105	Thalipasi	37	271	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.034
106	Thalipasi	46/6	272	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.061
107	Thalipasi	46/3	273	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.049
108	Thalipasi	46/3	274	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.020
109	Thalipasi	9	275	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.043
110	Thalipasi	46/3	276	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.050
111	Thalipasi	25	277	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.063
112	Thalipasi	9	278	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.044
113	Thalipasi	37	279	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.031
114	Thalipasi	46/6	280	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.030
115	Thalipasi	46/6	281	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.052
116	Thalipasi	37	282	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.070
117	Thalipasi	44	283	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.067
118	Thalipasi	46/6	284	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.022
119	Thalipasi	37	285	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.014
120	Thalipasi	37	286	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.029
121	Thalipasi	9	287	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.036
122	Thalipasi	25	288	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.039
123	Thalipasi	46/3	289	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.041
124	Thalipasi	37	290	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.053
125	Thalipasi	44	291	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.023
126	Thalipasi	44	292	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.028
127	Thalipasi	44	293	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.036
128	Thalipasi	46/6	294	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.035
129	Thalipasi	44	295	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath	Sarada Jalasachita	0.049

(2) Village – Thalipasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectares
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				Pradhan	Ek Fasali	
130	Thalipasi	44	296	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.038
131	Thalipasi	37	297	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.088
132	Thalipasi	37	298	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.040
133	Thalipasi	46/6	299	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.026
134	Thalipasi	46/6	300	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.041
135	Thalipasi	44	301	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.075
136	Thalipasi	37	302	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.068
137	Thalipasi	46/6	303	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.046
138	Thalipasi	46/6	304	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.036
139	Thalipasi	44	305	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.038
140	Thalipasi	25	306	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.034
141	Thalipasi	25	307	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.033
142	Thalipasi	25	308	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.041
143	Thalipasi	25	309	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.058
144	Thalipasi	25	310	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.044
145	Thalipasi	25	311	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.046
146	Thalipasi	25	312	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.058
147	Thalipasi	25	313	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.043
148	Thalipasi	25	314	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.045
149	Thalipasi	25	315	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.026
150	Thalipasi	25	316	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.023
151	Thalipasi	46/3	321	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.055
152	Thalipasi	9	322	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.026
153	Thalipasi	9	323	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.054
154	Thalipasi	9	324	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.049

(2) Village – Thalipasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectares
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
155	Thalipasi	9	325	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.060
156	Thalipasi	9	326	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.048
157	Thalipasi	9	327	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.047
158	Thalipasi	9	328	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.049
159	Thalipasi	9	329	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.039
160	Thalipasi	9	330	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.031
161	Thalipasi	46/6	331	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.030
162	Thalipasi	36	332	Birabar Pradhan S/o Madhusudan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.019
163	Thalipasi	46/3	333	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.015
164	Thalipasi	46/3	334	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.033
165	Thalipasi	46/3	335	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.039
166	Thalipasi	46/3	336	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.044
167	Thalipasi	46/3	337	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.034
168	Thalipasi	46/3	338	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.119
169	Thalipasi	46/3	339	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.064
170	Thalipasi	46/3	340	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.045
171	Thalipasi	37	341	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.036
172	Thalipasi	37	342	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.021
173	Thalipasi	46/6	344	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.025
174	Thalipasi	46/6	345	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.035
175	Thalipasi	46/6	346	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.066
176	Thalipasi	37	347	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.102
177	Thalipasi	44	348	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.036
178	Thalipasi	44	349	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.039
179	Thalipasi	37	350	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.075

(2) Village – Thalipasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectares
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
180	Thalipasi	37	351	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.076
181	Thalipasi	44	352	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.145
182	Thalipasi	46/6	353	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.102
183	Thalipasi	46/6	354	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.083
184	Thalipasi	37	355	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.076
185	Thalipasi	46/6	356	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.032
186	Thalipasi	44	357	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.029
187	Thalipasi	44	358	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.028
188	Thalipasi	44	359	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.041
189	Thalipasi	37	360	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.018
190	Thalipasi	37	361	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.028
191	Thalipasi	37	362	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.035
192	Thalipasi	46/6	363	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.063
193	Thalipasi	46/6	364	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.038
194	Thalipasi	46/6	365	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.056
195	Thalipasi	46/3	367	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.026
196	Thalipasi	9	369	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.025
197	Thalipasi	9	370	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.020
198	Thalipasi	25	371	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.051
199	Thalipasi	46/3	372	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.083
200	Thalipasi	25	373	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.040
201	Thalipasi	9	374	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.043
202	Thalipasi	9	375	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.016
203	Thalipasi	25	376	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.012
204	Thalipasi	46/3	377	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.029

(2) Village – Thalipasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectares
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
205	Thalipasi	46/3	378	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.023
206	Thalipasi	25	379	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.036
207	Thalipasi	9	380	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.030
208	Thalipasi	9	381	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.023
209	Thalipasi	25	382	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.023
210	Thalipasi	46/3	383	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.019
211	Thalipasi	46/3	384	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.029
212	Thalipasi	25	385	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.024
213	Thalipasi	9	386	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.024
214	Thalipasi	9	387	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.023
215	Thalipasi	25	388	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.026
216	Thalipasi	46/3	389	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.021
217	Thalipasi	46/3	390	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.031
218	Thalipasi	25	391	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.031
219	Thalipasi	9	392	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.028
220	Thalipasi	9	393	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.030
221	Thalipasi	25	394	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.030
222	Thalipasi	46/3	395	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.034
223	Thalipasi	46/3	396	Jasobanta Pradhan S/o Jogeswar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.029
224	Thalipasi	25	397	Prabhakar Pradhan S/o Madhusudhan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.032
225	Thalipasi	9	398	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.030
226	Thalipasi	9	399	Girish Chandra Pradhan S/o Purendera Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.013
227	Thalipasi	37	400	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.028
228	Thalipasi	37	401	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.106
229	Thalipasi	44	402	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath	Sarada Jalasachita	0.064

(2) Village – Thalipasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectares
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				Pradhan	Ek Fasali	
230	Thalipasi	44	403	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.046
231	Thalipasi	44	404	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.047
232	Thalipasi	46/6	405	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.096
233	Thalipasi	46/6	406	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.078
234	Thalipasi	44	407	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.083
235	Thalipasi	46/6	408	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.108
236	Thalipasi	37	409	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.111
237	Thalipasi	37	410	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.100
238	Thalipasi	46/6	411	Chandra Sekhar Pradhan S/o Choudhary Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.105
239	Thalipasi	44	412	Sudarshan Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.088
240	Thalipasi	37	413	Biswanath Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.118
241	Thalipasi	14	417	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.128
242	Thalipasi	14	421	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.092
243	Thalipasi	14	422	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.042
244	Thalipasi	14	423	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.090
245	Thalipasi	14	424	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Jalasay Ek	0.014
246	Thalipasi	14	425	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Gharabari	0.086
247	Thalipasi	14	426	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.030
248	Thalipasi	14	427	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.054
249	Thalipasi	14	428	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.034
250	Thalipasi	14	429	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.020
251	Thalipasi	14	430	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.017
252	Thalipasi	14	431	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.076
253	Thalipasi	14	432	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.036
254	Thalipasi	14	433	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo	Sarada Jalasachita	0.026

(2) Village – Thalipasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectares
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				Sahoo and Others	Ek Fasali	
255	Thalipasi	14	434	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.027
256	Thalipasi	14	436	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.001
257	Thalipasi	14	437	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.005
258	Thalipasi	14	472	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Gharabari	0.009
259	Thalipasi	14	473	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Gharabari	0.022
260	Thalipasi	14	474	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Gharabari	0.006
261	Thalipasi	14	475	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Gharabari	0.026
262	Thalipasi	14	476	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Gharabari	0.012
263	Thalipasi	14	477	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Gharabari	0.043
264	Thalipasi	14	478	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Gharabari	0.013
265	Thalipasi	14	479	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Gharabari	0.019
266	Thalipasi	14	480	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Gharabari	0.016
267	Thalipasi	14	481	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Gharabari	0.021
268	Thalipasi	14	482	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Gharabari	0.024
269	Thalipasi	14	483	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Gharabari	0.056
270	Thalipasi	18	495	Nandakishor Sahoo S/o Shyam Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.034
271	Thalipasi	46/10	500	Digambar Sahu S/o Ratnakar Sahu	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.047
272	Thalipasi	2	501	Uchhab Sahoo S/o Kalyan Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.038
273	Thalipasi	3	502	Kamara Sahoo S/o Kalyan Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.044
274	Thalipasi	42	503	Mahan Sahoo S/o Kuhuru Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.055
275	Thalipasi	3	510	Kamara Sahoo S/o Kalyan Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.126
276	Thalipasi	2	511	Uchhab Sahoo S/o Kalyan Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.111
277	Thalipasi	42	512	Mahan Sahoo S/o Kuhuru Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.062
278	Thalipasi	40	513	Madan Sahoo S/o Kuhuru Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.048

(2) Village – Thalipasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectares
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
279	Thalipasi	23	514	Prakash Sahoo S/o Kuhuru Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.051
280	Thalipasi	3	515	Kamara Sahoo S/o Kalyan Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.063
281	Thalipasi	2	516	Uchhab Sahoo S/o Kalyan Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.092
282	Thalipasi	12	522	Gobardhan Sahoo S/o Anadi Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.190
283	Thalipasi	18	523	Nandakishor Sahoo S/o Shyam Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.080
284	Thalipasi	18	524	Nandakishor Sahoo S/o Shyam Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.086
285	Thalipasi	18	525	Nandakishor Sahoo S/o Shyam Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.088
286	Thalipasi	18	526	Nandakishor Sahoo S/o Shyam Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.050
287	Thalipasi	18	527	Nandakishor Sahoo S/o Shyam Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.058
288	Thalipasi	12	528	Gobardhan Sahoo S/o Anadi Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.080
289	Thalipasi	23	529	Prakash Sahoo S/o Kuhuru Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.023
290	Thalipasi	40	530	Madan Sahoo S/o Kuhuru Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.022
291	Thalipasi	3	531	Kamara Sahoo S/o Kalyan Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.040
292	Thalipasi	2	532	Uchhab Sahoo S/o kalyan Sahoo	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.043
293	Thalipasi	11	533	Gobardhan Sahoo S/o Anadi Sahoo and Others	Patita	0.024
294	Thalipasi	11	534	Gobardhan Sahoo S/o Anadi Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.044
295	Thalipasi	24	535	Prakash Sahoo S/o.kuhur Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.019
296	Thalipasi	24	536	Prakash Sahoo S/o.kuhur Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.027
297	Thalipasi	10	539	Guji Pradhan S/o Panu pradhan and others	Sarada Jalasachita Do Fasali	0.070
298	Thalipasi	10	540	Guji Pradhan S/o Panu pradhan and others	Sarada Jalasachita Do Fasali	0.051
299	Thalipasi	10	543	Guji Pradhan S/o Panu pradhan and others	Sarada Jalasachita Do Fasali	0.169
300	Thalipasi	10	544	Guji Pradhan S/o Panu pradhan and others	Sarada Jalasachita Do Fasali	0.171
301	Thalipasi	10	548	Guji Pradhan S/o Panu pradhan and others	Sarada Jalasachita Do Fasali	0.044
302	Thalipasi	14	549	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Do Fasali	0.050
303	Thalipasi	20	550	Nuhura Sahoo S/o Maguni Sahoo and	Patita	0.018

(2) Village – Thalipasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectares
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				Others		
304	Thalipasi	14	551	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Patita	0.096
305	Thalipasi	20	552	Nuhura Sahoo S/o Maguni Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Do Fasali	0.036
306	Thalipasi	20	553	Nuhura Sahoo S/o Maguni Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Do Fasali	0.015
307	Thalipasi	14	561	Dasarathi Sahoo S/o Padmalavo Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Do Fasali	0.001
308	Thalipasi	20	569	Nuhura Sahoo S/o Maguni Sahoo and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.019
309	Thalipasi	20	570	Nuhura Sahoo S/o Maguni Sahoo and Others	Taila	0.216
310	Thalipasi	46/5	576	Srimanta Kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan sahu	Taila	0.487
311	Thalipasi	46/5	577	Srimanta Kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan sahu	Taila	0.140
312	Thalipasi	46/5	578	Srimanta Kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan sahu	Patita	0.013
313	Thalipasi	30	579	Bikram Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan and Others	Gharabari	0.132
314	Thalipasi	30	580	Bikram Pradhan S/o Jadunath Pradhan and Others	Gharabari	0.121
315	Thalipasi	46/7	581	Manoj Kumar Pradhan S/o Balukeswar Pradhan	Taila	0.028
316	Thalipasi	46/8	582	Kumuda Pradhan S/o Balukeswar Pradhan	Taila	0.046
317	Thalipasi	46/9	583	Chabila Kumar Pradhan S/o Balukeswar Pradhan	Taila	0.039
Total :		**Village- Thalipasi**				**20.555**

(3) Village – Bimbadharpur Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	Bimbadharpur	22	6	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Narana Pradhan and Others	Taila	1.150
2	Bimbadharpur	16	7	Nisamani Sadangi W/o. Balkrishna Sadangi	Taila	0.387
3	Bimbadharpur	16	8	Nisamani Sadangi W/o. Balkrishna Sadangi	Taila	0.419
4	Bimbadharpur	22	12	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Narana Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.147
5	Bimbadharpur	22	13	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Narana Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.157

(3) Village – Bimbadharpur Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
6	Bimbadharpur	22	14	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Narana Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.456
7	Bimbadharpur	22	15	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Narana Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.132
8	Bimbadharpur	29	16	Saroj Naik S/o Sardar Naik and Others	Taila	0.104
9	Bimbadharpur	34/10	18	Srimanta Kumar Sahu S/o. Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.274
10	Bimbadharpur	34/10	20	Srimanta Kumar Sahu S/o. Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.226
11	Bimbadharpur	34/5	21	Srimanta Kumar Sahu S/o. Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.146
12	Bimbadharpur	34/34	22	Sisir Kumar Behera S/o Kalia Behera	Taila	0.139
13	Bimbadharpur	10	23	Trinath Naik S/o. Beda Naik and Others	Taila	0.056
14	Bimbadharpur	7	29	Chaitanya Naik S/o Baishtam Naik and Others	Taila	0.113
15	Bimbadharpur	7	30	Chaitanya Naik S/o Baishtam Naik and Others	Taila	0.232
16	Bimbadharpur	27	31	Ratana Naik S/o Kalia Naik and Others	Taila	0.584
17	Bimbadharpur	20	32	Bikala Bagh S/o. Mulia Bagh and Others	Taila	0.505
18	Bimbadharpur	34/9	33	Uma Naik W/o. Kalyan Naik	Taila	0.515
19	Bimbadharpur	17	39	Padia Sahu S/o Nahinha Sahu and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.030
20	Bimbadharpur	17	40	Padia Sahu S/o Nahinha Sahu and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.026
21	Bimbadharpur	17	41	Padia Sahu S/o Nahinha Sahu and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.051
22	Bimbadharpur	17	44	Padia Sahu S/o Nahinha Sahu and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.043
23	Bimbadharpur	17	45	Padia Sahu S/o Nahinha Sahu and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.028
24	Bimbadharpur	17	46	Padia Sahu S/o Nahinha Sahu and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.020
25	Bimbadharpur	17	47	Padia Sahu S/o Nahinha Sahu and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.091
26	Bimbadharpur	17	48	Padia Sahu S/o Nahinha Sahu and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.044
27	Bimbadharpur	17	49	Padia Sahu S/o Nahinha Sahu and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.063
28	Bimbadharpur	17	50	Padia Sahu S/o Nahinha Sahu and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.084
29	Bimbadharpur	17	51	Padia Sahu S/o Nahinha Sahu and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.082
30	Bimbadharpur	4	52	Khiramohan Sahu S/o Jogendra sahu and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.079
31	Bimbadharpur	4	53	Khiramohan Sahu S/o	Sarada Jalasachita	0.069

(3) Village – Bimbadharpur Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				Jogendra sahu and Others	Ek Fasali	
32	Bimbadharpur	4	54	Khiramohan Sahu S/o Jogendra sahu and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.038
33	Bimbadharpur	4	55	Khiramohan Sahu S/o Jogendra sahu and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.048
34	Bimbadharpur	4	56	Khiramohan Sahu S/o Jogendra sahu and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.052
35	Bimbadharpur	4	57	Khiramohan Sahu S/o Jogendra sahu and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.032
36	Bimbadharpur	4	58	Khiramohan Sahu S/o Jogendra sahu and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.057
37	Bimbadharpur	2	59	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.042
38	Bimbadharpur	15	60	Nakofodi Pradhan S/o Sahadeb Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.274
39	Bimbadharpur	8	61	Jadu Pradhan S/o Arakhita Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.123
40	Bimbadharpur	8	62	Jadu Pradhan S/o Arakhita Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.121
41	Bimbadharpur	34/8	63	Srimanta Kumar Sahu S/o. Bishnu Charan sahu	Taila	0.279
42	Bimbadharpur	8	64	Jadu Pradhan S/o Arakhita Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.105
43	Bimbadharpur	8	65	Jadu Pradhan S/o Arakhita Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.106
44	Bimbadharpur	8	66	Jadu Pradhan S/o Arakhita Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.109
45	Bimbadharpur	8	67	Jadu Pradhan S/o Arakhita Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.401
46	Bimbadharpur	1	78	Kapil Pradhan S/o. Suna pradhan	Taila	0.079
47	Bimbadharpur	1	80	Kapil Pradhan S/o. Suna pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.130
48	Bimbadharpur	1	81	Kapil Pradhan S/o. Suna pradhan	Taila	0.270
49	Bimbadharpur	31	82	Srinibas Naik S/o Balaka Naik	Taila	0.308
50	Bimbadharpur	34/17	83	Saroj Kumar Behera S/o Ragunath Behera and Others	Taila	0.220
51	Bimbadharpur	7	84	Chaitanya Naik S/o Baishtam Naik and Others	Taila	0.157
52	Bimbadharpur	34/7	105	Satyanarayana Sahu S/o. Bhajaman Sahu	Taila	0.342
53	Bimbadharpur	14	106	Durjodhana Sahu S/o.Pathani Sahu and Others	Taila	0.119
54	Bimbadharpur	14	107	Durjodhana Sahu S/o.Pathani Sahu and Others	Taila	0.180
55	Bimbadharpur	14	108	Durjodhana Sahu S/o.Pathani Sahu and Others	Taila	0.136

(3) Village – Bimbadharpur Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
56	Bimbadharpur	26	114	Maheswar Sahu S/o. Dasarathi Sahu and Others	Taila	0.517
57	Bimbadharpur	30	116	Sarangadhar Sahu S/o Banguru Sahu	Taila	0.294
58	Bimbadharpur	9	121	Damburu Pradhan, S/o.Nityananda Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.288
59	Bimbadharpur	34	123	Hrudanand Dehury S/o Kathi Dehury and Others	Taila	0.222
60	Bimbadharpur	34	128	Hrudanand Dehury S/o Kathi Dehury and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.220
61	Bimbadharpur	34	129	Hrudanand Dehury S/o Kathi Dehury and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.092
62	Bimbadharpur	34	130	Hrudanand Dehury S/o Kathi Dehury and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.043
63	Bimbadharpur	5	131	Khita Bagha S/o Kairu Bagha	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.188
64	Bimbadharpur	5	132	Khita Bagha S/o Kairu Bagha	Taila	0.163
65	Bimbadharpur	5	133	Khita Bagha S/o Kairu Bagha	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.064
66	Bimbadharpur	5	134	Khita Bagha S/o Kairu Bagha	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.016
67	Bimbadharpur	5	135	Khita Bagha S/o Kairu Bagha	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.071
68	Bimbadharpur	5	136	Khita Bagha S/o Kairu Bagha	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.092
69	Bimbadharpur	5	137	Khita Bagha S/o Kairu Bagha	Taila	0.434
70	Bimbadharpur	3	139	Kishori Pradhan S/o. Nata Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.614
71	Bimbadharpur	33	150	Hatua Behera S/o Madan Behera and Others	Taila	0.060
72	Bimbadharpur	33	151	Hatua Behera S/o Madan Behera and Others	Taila	0.045
73	Bimbadharpur	33	152	Hatua Behera S/o Madan Behera and Others	Taila	0.061
74	Bimbadharpur	33	153	Hatua Behera S/o Madan Behera and Others	Taila	0.037
75	Bimbadharpur	33	154	Hatua Behera S/o Madan Behera and Others	Taila	0.030
76	Bimbadharpur	33	156	Hatua Behera S/o Madan Behera and Others	Taila	0.322
77	Bimbadharpur	33	157	Hatua Behera S/o Madan Behera and Others	Taila	0.104
78	Bimbadharpur	33	158	Hatua Behera S/o Madan Behera and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.130
79	Bimbadharpur	33	159	Hatua Behera S/o Madan Behera and Others	Taila	0.160
80	Bimbadharpur	19	160	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and others	Taila	1.238
81	Bimbadharpur	22	166	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Narana Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.726

(3) Village – Bimbadharpur Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
82	Bimbadharpur	25	168	Bhaji Pradhan S/o Kanthia pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.095
83	Bimbadharpur	25	169	Bhaji Pradhan S/o Kanthia pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.085
84	Bimbadharpur	25	173	Bhaji Pradhan S/o Kanthia pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.019
85	Bimbadharpur	25	174	Bhaji Pradhan S/o Kanthia pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.048
86	Bimbadharpur	25	175	Bhaji Pradhan S/o Kanthia pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.099
87	Bimbadharpur	25	176	Bhaji Pradhan S/o Kanthia pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.124
88	Bimbadharpur	25	177	Bhaji Pradhan S/o Kanthia pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.033
89	Bimbadharpur	25	178	Bhaji Pradhan S/o Kanthia pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.019
90	Bimbadharpur	25	179	Bhaji Pradhan S/o Kanthia pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.018
91	Bimbadharpur	25	180	Bhaji Pradhan S/o Kanthia pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.037
92	Bimbadharpur	25	181	Bhaji Pradhan S/o Kanthia pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.026
93	Bimbadharpur	25	182	Bhaji Pradhan S/o Kanthia pradhan	Taila	0.062
94	Bimbadharpur	6	183	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.087
95	Bimbadharpur	15	184	Nakofodi Pradhan S/o Sahadeb Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.077
96	Bimbadharpur	21	185	Bira pradhan, S/o.Gouranga Pradhan	Taila	0.076
97	Bimbadharpur	2	186	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.100
98	Bimbadharpur	2	187	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.121
99	Bimbadharpur	11	188	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Taila	0.075
100	Bimbadharpur	11	189	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Taila	0.072
101	Bimbadharpur	11	190	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Taila	0.090
102	Bimbadharpur	23	191	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.100
103	Bimbadharpur	23	192	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.091
104	Bimbadharpur	18	193	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Taila	0.113

(3) Village – Bimbadharpur Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
105	Bimbadharpur	18	194	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Taila	0.133
106	Bimbadharpur	21	195	Bira pradhan, S/o.Gouranga Pradhan	Taila	0.214
107	Bimbadharpur	22	196	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Narana Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.008
108	Bimbadharpur	23	197	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.051
109	Bimbadharpur	23	198	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.050
110	Bimbadharpur	23	199	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.046
111	Bimbadharpur	23	200	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.046
112	Bimbadharpur	23	201	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.042
113	Bimbadharpur	23	202	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.045
114	Bimbadharpur	23	203	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.072
115	Bimbadharpur	23	204	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.029
116	Bimbadharpur	23	205	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.037
117	Bimbadharpur	23	206	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.069
118	Bimbadharpur	23	207	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.027
119	Bimbadharpur	23	208	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.033
120	Bimbadharpur	23	209	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.033
121	Bimbadharpur	23	210	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.028
122	Bimbadharpur	23	211	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.083

(3) Village – Bimbadharpur Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
123	Bimbadharpur	23	212	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.049
124	Bimbadharpur	23	213	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.036
125	Bimbadharpur	23	214	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.041
126	Bimbadharpur	23	215	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.033
127	Bimbadharpur	23	216	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.048
128	Bimbadharpur	23	217	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.037
129	Bimbadharpur	23	218	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.043
130	Bimbadharpur	23	219	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.021
131	Bimbadharpur	23	220	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.024
132	Bimbadharpur	23	221	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.029
133	Bimbadharpur	22	222	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Narana Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.060
134	Bimbadharpur	23	223	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.027
135	Bimbadharpur	23	224	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.045
136	Bimbadharpur	21	225	Bira pradhan, S/o.Gouranga Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.423
137	Bimbadharpur	21	226	Bira pradhan, S/o.Gouranga Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.037
138	Bimbadharpur	21	227	Bira pradhan, S/o.Gouranga Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.037
139	Bimbadharpur	21	228	Bira pradhan, S/o.Gouranga Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.037
140	Bimbadharpur	21	229	Bira pradhan, S/o.Gouranga Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.031
141	Bimbadharpur	21	230	Bira pradhan, S/o.Gouranga Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.034
142	Bimbadharpur	21	231	Bira pradhan, S/o.Gouranga Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.045

(3) Village – Bimbadharpur Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
143	Bimbadharpur	18	232	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.052
144	Bimbadharpur	18	233	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.041
145	Bimbadharpur	18	234	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.033
146	Bimbadharpur	18	235	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.032
147	Bimbadharpur	18	236	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.035
148	Bimbadharpur	18	237	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.153
149	Bimbadharpur	18	238	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.039
150	Bimbadharpur	18	239	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.041
151	Bimbadharpur	18	240	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.045
152	Bimbadharpur	18	241	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.035
153	Bimbadharpur	18	242	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.140
154	Bimbadharpur	15	243	Nakofodi Pradhan S/o Sahadeb Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.143
155	Bimbadharpur	15	244	Nakofodi Pradhan S/o Sahadeb Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.137
156	Bimbadharpur	15	245	Nakofodi Pradhan S/o Sahadeb Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.118
157	Bimbadharpur	15	246	Nakofodi Pradhan S/o Sahadeb Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.116
158	Bimbadharpur	15	247	Nakofodi Pradhan S/o Sahadeb Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.111
159	Bimbadharpur	15	248	Nakofodi Pradhan S/o Sahadeb Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.115
160	Bimbadharpur	15	249	Nakofodi Pradhan S/o Sahadeb Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.047
161	Bimbadharpur	15	250	Nakofodi Pradhan S/o Sahadeb Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.047
162	Bimbadharpur	6	251	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.092
163	Bimbadharpur	6	252	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.082
164	Bimbadharpur	6	253	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.074
165	Bimbadharpur	6	254	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.069
166	Bimbadharpur	6	255	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o	Sarada Jalasachita	0.111

(3) Village – Bimbadharpur Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Ek Fasali	
167	Bimbadharpur	34/21	256	Janak Dehury S/o Mulia Dehury	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.120
168	Bimbadharpur	6	257	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.249
169	Bimbadharpur	11	258	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.059
170	Bimbadharpur	11	259	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.015
171	Bimbadharpur	11	260	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.009
172	Bimbadharpur	11	261	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.016
173	Bimbadharpur	11	262	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.018
174	Bimbadharpur	2	263	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.053
175	Bimbadharpur	2	264	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.041
176	Bimbadharpur	2	265	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.227
177	Bimbadharpur	2	266	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.087
178	Bimbadharpur	2	267	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.101
179	Bimbadharpur	11	268	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.115
180	Bimbadharpur	11	269	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.105
181	Bimbadharpur	11	270	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.070
182	Bimbadharpur	11	271	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.038
183	Bimbadharpur	11	272	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.091
184	Bimbadharpur	11	273	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.098
185	Bimbadharpur	11	274	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.092
186	Bimbadharpur	2	275	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.070
187	Bimbadharpur	2	276	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.107
188	Bimbadharpur	2	277	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.024
189	Bimbadharpur	2	278	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.025
190	Bimbadharpur	2	279	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha	Sarada Jalasachita	0.023

(3) Village – Bimbadharpur Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				Pradhan and Others	Ek Fasali	
191	Bimbadharpur	2	280	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.078
192	Bimbadharpur	2	281	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.023
193	Bimbadharpur	11	282	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.023
194	Bimbadharpur	11	283	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.019
195	Bimbadharpur	11	284	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.023
196	Bimbadharpur	11	285	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.039
197	Bimbadharpur	11	286	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.033
198	Bimbadharpur	11	287	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.044
199	Bimbadharpur	11	288	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.049
200	Bimbadharpur	11	289	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.042
201	Bimbadharpur	2	290	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.052
202	Bimbadharpur	2	291	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.052
203	Bimbadharpur	11	292	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.042
204	Bimbadharpur	11	293	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.015
205	Bimbadharpur	11	294	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.018
206	Bimbadharpur	11	295	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.022
207	Bimbadharpur	11	296	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.020
208	Bimbadharpur	11	297	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.107
209	Bimbadharpur	11	298	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.110
210	Bimbadharpur	11	299	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.110
211	Bimbadharpur	11	300	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.062
212	Bimbadharpur	11	301	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.093
213	Bimbadharpur	11	302	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Taila	0.067
214	Bimbadharpur	11	303	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka pradhan and Others	Taila	0.051
215	Bimbadharpur	11	304	Tripura Pradhan S/o Janaka	Taila	0.160

(3) Village – Bimbadharpur Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				pradhan and Others		
216	Bimbadharpur	23	305	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.035
217	Bimbadharpur	23	306	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.129
218	Bimbadharpur	23	307	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.165
219	Bimbadharpur	23	308	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.159
220	Bimbadharpur	23	309	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.158
221	Bimbadharpur	18	312	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Taila	0.049
222	Bimbadharpur	18	313	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Taila	0.056
223	Bimbadharpur	18	314	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Taila	0.040
224	Bimbadharpur	18	315	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Taila	0.045
225	Bimbadharpur	18	316	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Taila	0.040
226	Bimbadharpur	18	317	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Taila	0.043
227	Bimbadharpur	18	318	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Taila	0.041
228	Bimbadharpur	18	319	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Taila	0.026
229	Bimbadharpur	18	320	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Taila	0.057
230	Bimbadharpur	18	321	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Taila	0.037
231	Bimbadharpur	18	322	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Taila	0.037
232	Bimbadharpur	18	323	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Taila	0.039
233	Bimbadharpur	18	324	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Taila	0.081
234	Bimbadharpur	6	325	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.078
235	Bimbadharpur	18	326	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Taila	0.070
236	Bimbadharpur	21	327	Bira pradhan, S/o.Gouranga Pradhan	Taila	0.210
237	Bimbadharpur	15	328	Nakofodi Pradhan S/o Sahadeb Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.171
238	Bimbadharpur	21	333	Bira pradhan, S/o.Gouranga Pradhan	Taila	0.161

(3) Village – Bimbadharpur Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
239	Bimbadharpur	6	334	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.123
240	Bimbadharpur	6	335	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.056
241	Bimbadharpur	6	336	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.119
242	Bimbadharpur	2	337	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.179
243	Bimbadharpur	2	338	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.171
244	Bimbadharpur	2	339	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.114
245	Bimbadharpur	2	340	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.086
246	Bimbadharpur	2	341	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.044
247	Bimbadharpur	2	342	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.028
248	Bimbadharpur	2	343	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.022
249	Bimbadharpur	2	344	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.022
250	Bimbadharpur	6	345	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.044
251	Bimbadharpur	6	346	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.034
252	Bimbadharpur	6	347	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.027
253	Bimbadharpur	6	348	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.030
254	Bimbadharpur	6	349	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.027
255	Bimbadharpur	6	350	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.029
256	Bimbadharpur	6	351	GopalChandra Pradhan S/o Benudhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.051
257	Bimbadharpur	4	352	Khiramohan Sahu S/o Jogendra sahu and Others	Taila	0.112
258	Bimbadharpur	9	353	Damburu Pradhan, S/o.Nityananda Pradhan	Taila	0.146

(3) Village – Bimbadharpur Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
259	Bimbadharpur	18	354	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.042
260	Bimbadharpur	18	355	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.065
261	Bimbadharpur	18	356	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.027
262	Bimbadharpur	18	357	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.076
263	Bimbadharpur	23	358	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.071
264	Bimbadharpur	23	359	Baishnab Pradhan S/o Nityanand Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.099
265	Bimbadharpur	9	360	Damburu Pradhan, S/o.Nityananda Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.013
266	Bimbadharpur	9	361	Damburu Pradhan, S/o.Nityananda Pradhan	Taila	0.049
267	Bimbadharpur	15	366	Nakofodi Pradhan S/o Sahadeb Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.065
268	Bimbadharpur	24	367	Baishnab Pradhan S/o. Nityananda Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.394
269	Bimbadharpur	24	368	Baishnab Pradhan S/o. Nityananda Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.022
270	Bimbadharpur	4	369	Khiramohan Sahu S/o Jogendra sahu and Others	Taila	0.277
271	Bimbadharpur	4	370	Khiramohan Sahu S/o Jogendra sahu and Others	Taila	0.079
272	Bimbadharpur	4	371	Khiramohan Sahu S/o Jogendra sahu and Others	Taila	0.066
273	Bimbadharpur	4	372	Khiramohan Sahu S/o Jogendra sahu and Others	Taila	0.158
274	Bimbadharpur	21	377	Bira pradhan, S/o.Gouranga Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.172
275	Bimbadharpur	21	378	Bira pradhan, S/o.Gouranga Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.203
276	Bimbadharpur	21	379	Bira pradhan, S/o.Gouranga Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.048
277	Bimbadharpur	18	381	Balabhadra Pradhan S/o Maguni pradhan and Others	Taila	0.403
278	Bimbadharpur	2	382	Kandur Pradhan S/o Kandha Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.308
279	Bimbadharpur	34/5	395	Srimanta Kumar Sahu S/o. Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.769
280	Bimbadharpur	34/2	396	Bholaswar Sahu S/o Baguru Sahu	Taila	0.362
281	Bimbadharpur	34/4	397	Binodini Rauta W/o Vaishnab Rauta	Taila	0.320

(3) Village – Bimbadharpur Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
282	Bimbadharpur	34/6	398	Srimant Kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.345
283	Bimbadharpur	34/8	399	Srimanta Kumar Sahu S/o. Bishnu Charan sahu	Taila	0.240
284	Bimbadharpur	34/11	401	Gandharba Naik S/o Kurtartha Naik	Taila	0.267
285	Bimbadharpur	34/11	402	Gandharba Naik S/o Kurtartha Naik	Taila	0.151
286	Bimbadharpur	34/12	403	Ranjan Kumar Behera S/o Kandarpa Behera	Taila	0.159
287	Bimbadharpur	34/10	404	Srimanta Kumar Sahu S/o. Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.051
288	Bimbadharpur	34/10	405	Srimanta Kumar Sahu S/o. Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.053
289	Bimbadharpur	34/10	406	Srimanta Kumar Sahu S/o. Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.038
290	Bimbadharpur	34/10	407	Srimanta Kumar Sahu S/o. Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.029
291	Bimbadharpur	34/10	408	Srimanta Kumar Sahu S/o. Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.040
292	Bimbadharpur	34/10	409	Srimanta Kumar Sahu S/o. Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.081
293	Bimbadharpur	34/10	410	Srimanta Kumar Sahu S/o. Bishnu Charan Sahu	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.110
294	Bimbadharpur	34/13	411	Arun Kumar Naik S/o Harihara Naik	Taila	0.090
295	Bimbadharpur	34/14	412	Sabita Sahu W/o Jagabandhu Sahu	Taila	0.055
296	Bimbadharpur	34/15	413	Hatakisora Pradhan S/o Dayanidhi Pradhan	Taila	0.019
297	Bimbadharpur	34/16	414	Sanatana Baga S/o Khiti Baga	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.077
298	Bimbadharpur	34/18	415	Sarathi Sahu S/o Goura Sahu	Taila	0.038
299	Bimbadharpur	34/19	416	Bhagabat Sahu S/o Bala Sahu	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.016
300	Bimbadharpur	34/20	417	Binya Kumar Behera S/o Susant Kumar Behera and Others	Taila	0.116
301	Bimbadharpur	34/22	418	Dharmendra Sahu S/o Rabindra Sahu	Taila	0.108
302	Bimbadharpur	34/23	419	Manoj Kumar Sahu S/o Raj Sahu	Taila	0.062
303	Bimbadharpur	34/24	420	Ranjita Pradhan S/o Haramohan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.011
304	Bimbadharpur	34/24	421	Ranjita Pradhan S/o Haramohan Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.014
305	Bimbadharpur	34/25	422	Binya Kumar Behera S/o Susant Kumar Behera and Others	Taila	0.183
306	Bimbadharpur	34/25	423	Binya Kumar Behera S/o Susant Kumar Behera and	Taila	0.106

(3) Village – Bimbadharpur Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				Others		
307	Bimbadharpur	34/25	424	Binya Kumar Behera S/o Susant Kumar Behera and Others	Taila	0.044
308	Bimbadharpur	34/28	425	Tukuna Naik S/o Dilaswar Naik	Taila	0.047
309	Bimbadharpur	34/26	426	Praful Kumar Sethi S/o Bauribandhu Sethi	Taila	0.049
310	Bimbadharpur	34/27	427	Kuntala Behera W/o Sachidananda Behera	Taila	0.033
311	Bimbadharpur	34/29	428	Binapani Pradhan W/o Kalpaswar Sahu	Taila	0.179
312	Bimbadharpur	34/30	429	Gangadhar Baga S/o Bikal Baga	Taila	0.017
313	Bimbadharpur	34/31	430	Dharanidhar Baga S/o Bikal Baga	Taila	0.017
314	Bimbadharpur	34/32	431	Sulochana Mahakuda W/o Bidyadhar Mahakuda	Taila	0.020
315	Bimbadharpur	34/33	432	Rebati Rauta W/o TanuChandra Rauta	Taila	0.020
Total :		**Village – Bimbadharpur**				**35.724**

(4) Village – Kudapasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	Kudapasi	114/27	3	Srimata kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.291
2	Kudapasi	12	4	Kulamani Naik S/o Bairagi Naik	Taila	0.279
3	Kudapasi	111	6	Sudam Sethy S/o Bashu Sethy	Taila	0.181
4	Kudapasi	111	7	Sudam Sethy S/o Bashu Sethy	Taila	0.072
5	Kudapasi	111	8	Sudam Sethy S/o Bashu Sethy	Taila	0.105
6	Kudapasi	111	9	Sudam Sethy S/o Bashu Sethy	Taila	0.122
7	Kudapasi	107	23	Shriram Sethy S/o Dukhu Sethy	Taila	0.088
8	Kudapasi	94	41	Ruma Majhi D/o Bhagaban Majhi	Taila	0.123
9	Kudapasi	94	42	Ruma Majhi D/o Bhagaban Majhi	Taila	1.011
10	Kudapasi	102	43	Shyamaghana Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Taila	0.161
11	Kudapasi	102	44	Shyamaghana Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Taila	0.107
12	Kudapasi	102	45	Shyamaghana Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Taila	0.081
13	Kudapasi	102	46	Shyamaghana Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Patita	0.043

(4) Village – Kudapasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
14	Kudapasi	68	48	Fakira Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	patita	0.032
15	Kudapasi	68	49	Fakira Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.086
16	Kudapasi	68	50	Fakira Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.022
17	Kudapasi	68	51	Fakira Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.040
18	Kudapasi	68	57	Fakira Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.022
19	Kudapasi	68	58	Fakira Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.022
20	Kudapasi	68	59	Fakira Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.022
21	Kudapasi	68	60	Fakira Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.028
22	Kudapasi	68	61	Fakira Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.026
23	Kudapasi	68	64	Fakira Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.057
24	Kudapasi	68	65	Fakira Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.026
25	Kudapasi	68	66	Fakira Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.068
26	Kudapasi	68	67	Fakira Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Patita	0.052
27	Kudapasi	68	76	Fakira Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.038
28	Kudapasi	68	77	Fakira Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.082
29	Kudapasi	68	78	Fakira Majhi S/o Bhagaban Majhi	Patita	0.145
30	Kudapasi	16	79	Khageswar Mahji S/o Bagaban Majhi	Taila	0.695
31	Kudapasi	16	80	Khageswar Mahji S/o Bagaban Majhi	Taila	0.227
32	Kudapasi	77	81	Bira Sahu S/o Ganga Sahu	Taila	0.308
33	Kudapasi	50	83	Panchu Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Taila	0.307
34	Kudapasi	114	84	Hadi Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Taila	0.315
35	Kudapasi	66	98	Pranabandhu Sahu S/o Panchu Sahu	Taila	0.071
36	Kudapasi	66	99	Pranabandhu Sahu S/o Panchu Sahu	Taila	0.101
37	Kudapasi	114/27	103	Srimata kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.420
38	Kudapasi	40	104	Nalu sahu S/o Bauri sahu	Taila	0.282
39	Kudapasi	13	107	Keshaba Sahu S/o Kungei Sahu	Taila	0.080
40	Kudapasi	40	109	Nalu sahu S/o Bauri sahu	Taila	0.205
41	Kudapasi	114/33	115	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.275
42	Kudapasi	114/40	116	Manmohan Pradhan S/o Gadadhar Pradhan	Taila	0.046
43	Kudapasi	114/8	117	Panchali Barik W/o Prabhat Kiran	Taila	0.085

(4) Village – Kudapasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				Barik		
44	Kudapasi	87	122	Mahan Shau S/o Kuhuru Sahu	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.075
45	Kudapasi	87	123	Mahan Shau S/o Kuhuru Sahu	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.157
46	Kudapasi	87	125	Mahan Shau S/o Kuhuru Sahu	Taila	0.164
47	Kudapasi	87	126	Mahan Shau S/o Kuhuru Sahu	Taila	0.143
48	Kudapasi	72	128	Balram Majhi S/o Chaitanya Majhi and Others	Taila	0.175
49	Kudapasi	73	133	Basudev Sahu S/o Nanda Sahu and Others	Taila	0.449
50	Kudapasi	43	136	Nilamani Sahu S/o Hari sahu	Taila	0.100
51	Kudapasi	25	137	Choudhary Sahu S/o Nityananda Sahu and Others	Taila	0.164
52	Kudapasi	43	138	Nilamani Sahu S/o Hari sahu	Taila	0.083
53	Kudapasi	30	142	Jogi Majhi S/o Bauri Majhi and Others	Taila	0.527
54	Kudapasi	30	143	Jogi Majhi S/o Bauri Majhi and Others	Taila	0.048
55	Kudapasi	84	144	Bhagirathi Majhi S/o Bira Majhi and Others	Taila	0.420
56	Kudapasi	81	166	Baishnaba Pradhan S/o Nityananda Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.756
57	Kudapasi	39	179	Nakaphodi Pradhan S/o Saheb Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.216
58	Kudapasi	39	180	Nakaphodi Pradhan S/o Saheb Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.024
59	Kudapasi	39	181	Nakaphodi Pradhan S/o Saheb Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.009
60	Kudapasi	39	182	Nakaphodi Pradhan S/o Saheb Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.131
61	Kudapasi	39	183	Nakaphodi Pradhan S/o Saheb Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.009
62	Kudapasi	39	184	Nakaphodi Pradhan S/o Saheb Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.177
63	Kudapasi	39	185	Nakaphodi Pradhan S/o Saheb Pradhan and Others	Bagayat Dei	0.062
64	Kudapasi	39	187	Nakaphodi Pradhan S/o Saheb Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.043
65	Kudapasi	79	189	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.030
66	Kudapasi	79	190	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.045
67	Kudapasi	79	191	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.017
68	Kudapasi	79	192	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.017
69	Kudapasi	79	194	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.383

(4) Village – Kudapasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
70	Kudapasi	79	195	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.197
71	Kudapasi	79	196	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.169
72	Kudapasi	75	197	Bikram Pradhan S/o Bansidhar Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.018
73	Kudapasi	79	198	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.014
74	Kudapasi	79	199	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.014
75	Kudapasi	79	200	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.206
76	Kudapasi	79	201	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.315
77	Kudapasi	79	202	Benudhar Pradhan S/o Naran Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.675
78	Kudapasi	23	204	Chaitanya Naik S/o Baishnav Naik and Others	Taila	0.016
79	Kudapasi	114/65	206	Biswanath Sethi S/o Kailash Sethi	Taila	0.145
80	Kudapasi	1	215	Abhiram Naik S/o Balak Naik	Taila	0.232
81	Kudapasi	75	222	Bikram Pradhan S/o Bansidhar Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.040
82	Kudapasi	74	224	Bikram Pradhan S/o Bansidhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.042
83	Kudapasi	74	225	Bikram Pradhan S/o Bansidhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.137
84	Kudapasi	75	226	Bikram Pradhan S/o Bansidhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.061
85	Kudapasi	75	227	Bikram Pradhan S/o Bansidhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.195
86	Kudapasi	75	229	Bikram Pradhan S/o Bansidhar Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.030
87	Kudapasi	75	231	Bikram Pradhan S/o Bansidhar Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.031
88	Kudapasi	75	232	Bikram Pradhan S/o Bansidhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.113
89	Kudapasi	75	233	Bikram Pradhan S/o Bansidhar Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.016
90	Kudapasi	75	234	Bikram Pradhan S/o Bansidhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.066
91	Kudapasi	81	235	Baishnaba Pradhan S/o Nityananda Pradhan and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.040
92	Kudapasi	81	236	Baishnaba Pradhan S/o Nityananda Pradhan and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.039
93	Kudapasi	75	237	Bikram Pradhan S/o Bansidhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.044
94	Kudapasi	81	240	Baishnaba Pradhan S/o Nityananda Pradhan and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.045
95	Kudapasi	81	241	Baishnaba Pradhan S/o Nityananda Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.020
96	Kudapasi	81	242	Baishnaba Pradhan S/o Nityananda	Sarada	0.150

(4) Village – Kudapasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				Pradhan and Others	Anajalasachita Tini	
97	Kudapasi	81	243	Baishnaba Pradhan S/o Nityananda Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.008
98	Kudapasi	81	244	Baishnaba Pradhan S/o Nityananda Pradhan and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.086
99	Kudapasi	81	245	Baishnaba Pradhan S/o Nityananda Pradhan and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.098
100	Kudapasi	81	246	Baishnaba Pradhan S/o Nityananda Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.012
101	Kudapasi	81	247	Baishnaba Pradhan S/o Nityananda Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.010
102	Kudapasi	81	248	Baishnaba Pradhan S/o Nityananda Pradhan and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.072
103	Kudapasi	81	249	Baishnaba Pradhan S/o Nityananda Pradhan and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.079
104	Kudapasi	81	253	Baishnaba Pradhan S/o Nityananda Pradhan and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.116
105	Kudapasi	81	254	Baishnaba Pradhan S/o Nityananda Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.025
106	Kudapasi	75	260	Bikram Pradhan S/o Bansidhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.181
107	Kudapasi	75	263	Bikram Pradhan S/o Bansidhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.151
108	Kudapasi	75	265	Bikram Pradhan S/o Bansidhar Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.013
109	Kudapasi	75	266	Bikram Pradhan S/o Bansidhar Pradhan and Others	Patita	0.012
110	Kudapasi	75	267	Bikram Pradhan S/o Bansidhar Pradhan and Others	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.160
111	Kudapasi	47	273	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.228
112	Kudapasi	47	274	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.233
113	Kudapasi	47	275	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.247
114	Kudapasi	47	276	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Patita	0.075
115	Kudapasi	47	277	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.132
116	Kudapasi	47	278	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.106
117	Kudapasi	47	279	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.283
118	Kudapasi	47	280	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.285
119	Kudapasi	47	281	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Patita	0.028
120	Kudapasi	47	282	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Patita	0.019
121	Kudapasi	54	283	Padia Sahu S/o Nahia Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Dei	0.091

(4) Village – Kudapasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
122	Kudapasi	54	284	Padia Sahu S/o Nahia Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Dei	0.080
123	Kudapasi	114/16	289	Hadibandhu Sahu S/o Ekadusia Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Dei	0.021
124	Kudapasi	114/16	290	Hadibandhu Sahu S/o Ekadusia Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Dei	0.022
125	Kudapasi	114/16	291	Hadibandhu Sahu S/o Ekadusia Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Dei	0.046
126	Kudapasi	114/16	292	Hadibandhu Sahu S/o Ekadusia Sahu	Taila	0.011
127	Kudapasi	114/16	293	Hadibandhu Sahu S/o Ekadusia Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Dei	0.010
128	Kudapasi	114/16	295	Hadibandhu Sahu S/o Ekadusia Sahu	Taila	0.007
129	Kudapasi	54	297	Padia Sahu S/o Nahia Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Dei	0.065
130	Kudapasi	114/33	298	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Dei	0.030
131	Kudapasi	54	299	Padia Sahu S/o Nahia Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Dei	0.027
132	Kudapasi	114/33	300	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.014
133	Kudapasi	54	301	Padia Sahu S/o Nahia Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Dei	0.029
134	Kudapasi	54	302	Padia Sahu S/o Nahia Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Dei	0.089
135	Kudapasi	114/16	303	Hadibandhu Sahu S/o Ekadusia Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Dei	0.037
136	Kudapasi	114/16	304	Hadibandhu Sahu S/o Ekadusia Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Dei	0.042
137	Kudapasi	114/16	305	Hadibandhu Sahu S/o Ekadusia Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Dei	0.098
138	Kudapasi	54	306	Padia Sahu S/o Nahia Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Dei	0.033
139	Kudapasi	54	307	Padia Sahu S/o Nahia Sahu and Others	Taila	0.044
140	Kudapasi	114/33	309	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.107
141	Kudapasi	114/86	317	Rathi Sethi S/o Aanand Sethi	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.036
142	Kudapasi	114/86	318	Rathi Sethi S/o Aanand Sethi	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.016
143	Kudapasi	114/73	319	Sarojini Naik W/o Ajya Naik	Patita	0.053
144	Kudapasi	114/33	320	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.255
145	Kudapasi	47	347	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Taila	0.043
146	Kudapasi	47	348	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Taila	0.106
147	Kudapasi	47	349	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and	Sarada	0.230

(4) Village – Kudapasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				Others	Anajalasachita Tini	
148	Kudapasi	47	350	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.065
149	Kudapasi	47	351	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.186
150	Kudapasi	47	352	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Patita	0.018
151	Kudapasi	47	353	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.157
152	Kudapasi	47	354	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.143
153	Kudapasi	47	355	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Patita	0.040
154	Kudapasi	47	356	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.121
155	Kudapasi	47	357	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Patita	0.029
156	Kudapasi	47	358	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.127
157	Kudapasi	47	359	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Patita	0.028
158	Kudapasi	47	360	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.116
159	Kudapasi	47	361	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.121
160	Kudapasi	114/24	364	Baishnab Rout S/o Purna Chandra Rout	Taila	0.155
161	Kudapasi	114/24	365	Baishnab Rout S/o Purna Chandra Rout	Taila	0.126
162	Kudapasi	99	367	Sarthi Pradhan S/o Siba pradhan	Taila	0.182
163	Kudapasi	46	370	Nuhura Sahu S/o Maguni sahu	Taila	0.259
164	Kudapasi	114/79	371	Manoj Kumar Sahu S/o Gaura Chandra Sahu	Taila	0.082
165	Kudapasi	88	375	Mahi Dehury S/o Ram Dehury	Taila	0.101
166	Kudapasi	10	377	Kalpataru Dehury S/o Ram Dehury and Others	Taila	0.114
167	Kudapasi	10	378	Kalpataru Dehury S/o Ram Dehury and Others	Taila	0.319
168	Kudapasi	114/29	379	Jogendra Mallick S/o Narottam Mallick	Taila	0.251
169	Kudapasi	58	380	Palau Sahu S/o Natabar Sahu and Others	Taila	0.397
170	Kudapasi	23	381	Chaitanya Naik S/o Baishnav Naik and Others	Taila	0.233
171	Kudapasi	57	382	Palau Sahu S/o Nata Sahu	Taila	0.211
172	Kudapasi	28	386	Jangali Matiari S/o Lakhi Dhar Matiari	Taila	0.049
173	Kudapasi	28	387	Jangali Matiari S/o Lakhi Dhar Matiari	Taila	0.081
174	Kudapasi	28	388	Jangali Matiari S/o Lakhi Dhar	Taila	0.201

(4) Village – Kudapasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				Matiari		
175	Kudapasi	28	389	Jangali Matiari S/o Lakhi Dhar Matiari	Taila	0.207
176	Kudapasi	28	390	Jangali Matiari S/o Lakhi Dhar Matiari	Taila	0.051
177	Kudapasi	28	391	Jangali Matiari S/o Lakhi Dhar Matiari	Taila	0.067
178	Kudapasi	28	392	Jangali Matiari S/o Lakhi Dhar Matiari	Taila	0.102
179	Kudapasi	28	393	Jangali Matiari S/o Lakhi Dhar Matiari	Taila	0.099
180	Kudapasi	29	395	Jangali Matiari S/o Lakhi Dhar Matiari and Others	Taila	0.110
181	Kudapasi	29	396	Jangali Matiari S/o Lakhi Dhar Matiari and Others	Taila	0.193
182	Kudapasi	29	397	Jangali Matiari S/o Lakhi Dhar Matiari and Others	Taila	0.050
183	Kudapasi	28	398	Jangali Matiari S/o Lakhi Dhar Matiari	Taila	0.046
184	Kudapasi	29	400	Jangali Matiari S/o Lakhi Dhar Matiari and Others	Taila	0.109
185	Kudapasi	29	401	Jangali Matiari S/o Lakhi Dhar Matiari and Others	Taila	0.089
186	Kudapasi	114/42	402	Pradip Pradhan S/o Trilochan Pradhan	Taila	0.097
187	Kudapasi	114/43	404	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.164
188	Kudapasi	24	405	Chaitanya Naik S/o Baishnav Naik and Others	Taila	0.176
189	Kudapasi	24	406	Chaitanya Naik S/o Baishnav Naik and Others	Taila	0.081
190	Kudapasi	24	407	Chaitanya Naik S/o Baishnav Naik and Others	Taila	0.038
191	Kudapasi	71	408	Banabihari Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.031
192	Kudapasi	71	409	Banabihari Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.016
193	Kudapasi	71	410	Banabihari Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Taila	0.019
194	Kudapasi	24	411	Chaitanya Naik S/o Baishnav Naik and Others	Taila	0.040
195	Kudapasi	24	412	Chaitanya Naik S/o Baishnav Naik and Others	Taila	0.070
196	Kudapasi	24	413	Chaitanya Naik S/o Baishnav Naik and Others	Taila	0.029
197	Kudapasi	24	414	Chaitanya Naik S/o Baishnav Naik and Others	Taila	0.064
198	Kudapasi	24	415	Chaitanya Naik S/o Baishnav Naik and Others	Taila	0.087
199	Kudapasi	24	416	Chaitanya Naik S/o Baishnav Naik	Taila	0.059

(4) Village – Kudapasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				and Others		
200	Kudapasi	71	417	Banabihari Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Taila	0.057
201	Kudapasi	71	418	Banabihari Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Taila	0.043
202	Kudapasi	11	420	Kalandi Shau S/o Sadhaba Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.229
203	Kudapasi	70	421	Banabihari Sahu S/o Maguni sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.156
204	Kudapasi	70	422	Banabihari Sahu S/o Maguni sahu	Patita	0.020
205	Kudapasi	70	423	Banabihari Sahu S/o Maguni sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.160
206	Kudapasi	70	424	Banabihari Sahu S/o Maguni sahu	Patita	0.027
207	Kudapasi	114/27	425	Srimata kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.056
208	Kudapasi	114/27	426	Srimata kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.162
209	Kudapasi	12	427	Kulamani Naik S/o Bairagi Naik	Taila	0.149
210	Kudapasi	114/33	428	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.035
211	Kudapasi	114/33	429	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.032
212	Kudapasi	53	430	Patitapaban Naik S/o Jaykrushna Naik	Taila	0.064
213	Kudapasi	12	431	Kulamani Naik S/o Bairagi Naik	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.062
214	Kudapasi	12	432	Kulamani Naik S/o Bairagi Naik	Taila	0.053
215	Kudapasi	114/48	434	Dhirendra Sahu S/o Pabitra Sahu	Taila	0.018
216	Kudapasi	114/50	435	Pradeep Sahu S/o Jayakrishna Sahu	Taila	0.037
217	Kudapasi	71	437	Banabihari Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.355
218	Kudapasi	71	438	Banabihari Sahu S/o Maguni Sahu and Others	Taila	0.065
219	Kudapasi	114/48	439	Dhirendra Sahu S/o Pabitra Sahu	Taila	0.056
220	Kudapasi	114/48	440	Dhirendra Sahu S/o Pabitra Sahu	Taila	0.036
221	Kudapasi	114/50	441	Pradeep Sahu S/o Jayakrishna Sahu	Taila	0.070
222	Kudapasi	114/49	442	Khitis Chandra Sahu S/o Jayakishna Sahu	Taila	0.032
223	Kudapasi	114/49	443	Khitis Chandra Sahu S/o Jayakishna Sahu	Taila	0.023
224	Kudapasi	114/49	444	Khitis Chandra Sahu S/o Jayakishna Sahu	Taila	0.045
225	Kudapasi	114/49	445	Khitis Chandra Sahu S/o Jayakishna Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.029
226	Kudapasi	114/45	446	Managovinda Sahu S/o Durjyadhana Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.041

(4) Village – Kudapasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
227	Kudapasi	109	447	Sukhila Sahu S/o Baira Sahu and Others	Taila	0.023
228	Kudapasi	109	448	Sukhila Sahu S/o Baira Sahu and Others	Taila	0.025
229	Kudapasi	109	449	Sukhila Sahu S/o Baira Sahu and Others	Taila	0.015
230	Kudapasi	109	450	Sukhila Sahu S/o Baira Sahu and Others	Taila	0.021
231	Kudapasi	43	452	Nilamani Sahu S/o Hari sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.041
232	Kudapasi	43	453	Nilamani Sahu S/o Hari sahu	Taila	0.018
233	Kudapasi	114/43	454	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.050
234	Kudapasi	114/43	499	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.018
235	Kudapasi	108	500	Sukanti Naik W/o Chaitanya Naik	Taila	0.141
236	Kudapasi	114/43	501	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.022
237	Kudapasi	114/43	502	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.037
238	Kudapasi	114/43	503	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.053
239	Kudapasi	24	504	Chaitanya Naik S/o Baishnav Naik and Others	Taila	0.061
240	Kudapasi	114/43	505	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.058
241	Kudapasi	114/43	506	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.040
242	Kudapasi	114/43	507	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.072
243	Kudapasi	52	531	Pathani Sahu S/o Ekadusia Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.050
244	Kudapasi	52	532	Pathani Sahu S/o Ekadusia Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.077
245	Kudapasi	52	533	Pathani Sahu S/o Ekadusia Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.014
246	Kudapasi	52	534	Pathani Sahu S/o Ekadusia Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.062
247	Kudapasi	52	535	Pathani Sahu S/o Ekadusia Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.103
248	Kudapasi	52	536	Pathani Sahu S/o Ekadusia Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.119
249	Kudapasi	52	537	Pathani Sahu S/o Ekadusia Sahu	Patita	0.025
250	Kudapasi	114/48	538	Dhirendra Sahu S/o Pabitra Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.025
251	Kudapasi	114/45	539	Managovinda Sahu S/o Durjyadhana Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.070
252	Kudapasi	50	540	Panchu Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.039

(4) Village – Kudapasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
253	Kudapasi	77	541	Bira Sahu S/o Ganga Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.018
254	Kudapasi	77	542	Bira Sahu S/o Ganga Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.018
255	Kudapasi	77	543	Bira Sahu S/o Ganga Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.009
256	Kudapasi	50	544	Panchu Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.011
257	Kudapasi	95	545	Laxmi Sahu D/o Kastu Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.012
258	Kudapasi	50	546	Panchu Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.015
259	Kudapasi	50	547	Panchu Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.012
260	Kudapasi	95	548	Laxmi Sahu D/o Kastu Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.022
261	Kudapasi	95	549	Laxmi Sahu D/o Kastu Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.022
262	Kudapasi	50	550	Panchu Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.084
263	Kudapasi	77	551	Bira Sahu S/o Ganga Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.081
264	Kudapasi	114	552	Hadi Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.027
265	Kudapasi	50	553	Panchu Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.035
266	Kudapasi	114/26	554	Dhobei Sahu S/o Hadibandhu Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.020
267	Kudapasi	61	555	Pradeshi Majhi S/o Nimei Majhi and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.094
268	Kudapasi	77	556	Bira Sahu S/o Ganga Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.097
269	Kudapasi	114	557	Hadi Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.132
270	Kudapasi	77	558	Bira Sahu S/o Ganga Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.044
271	Kudapasi	114	559	Hadi Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.013
272	Kudapasi	114	560	Hadi Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.027
273	Kudapasi	61	561	Pradeshi Majhi S/o Nimei Majhi and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.041
274	Kudapasi	114	562	Hadi Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.036
275	Kudapasi	77	563	Bira Sahu S/o Ganga Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.034
276	Kudapasi	114	564	Hadi Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.046
277	Kudapasi	77	565	Bira Sahu S/o Ganga Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.094

(4) Village – Kudapasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
278	Kudapasi	50	566	Panchu Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.077
279	Kudapasi	50	567	Panchu Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.060
280	Kudapasi	50	568	Panchu Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.016
281	Kudapasi	50	569	Panchu Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.016
282	Kudapasi	50	570	Panchu Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.011
283	Kudapasi	50	571	Panchu Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.021
284	Kudapasi	95	572	Laxmi Sahu D/o Kastu Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.032
285	Kudapasi	95	573	Laxmi Sahu D/o Kastu Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.016
286	Kudapasi	114/26	574	Dhobei Sahu S/o Hadibandhu Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.020
287	Kudapasi	95	575	Laxmi Sahu D/o Kastu Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.036
288	Kudapasi	95	576	Laxmi Sahu D/o Kastu Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.122
289	Kudapasi	95	577	Laxmi Sahu D/o Kastu Sahu and Others	Patita	0.007
290	Kudapasi	80	582	Bairagi Sahu S/o Budhiya Sahu and Others	Taila	0.055
291	Kudapasi	80	583	Bairagi Sahu S/o Budhiya Sahu and Others	Patita	0.036
292	Kudapasi	80	584	Bairagi Sahu S/o Budhiya Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.148
293	Kudapasi	80	585	Bairagi Sahu S/o Budhiya Sahu and Others	Taila	0.027
294	Kudapasi	80	586	Bairagi Sahu S/o Budhiya Sahu and Others	Taila	0.031
295	Kudapasi	80	587	Bairagi Sahu S/o Budhiya Sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.035
296	Kudapasi	17	594	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.052
297	Kudapasi	4	595	Indra shau S/o Bagawan Sahu	Taila	0.025
298	Kudapasi	4	596	Indra shau S/o Bagawan Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.053
299	Kudapasi	4	597	Indra shau S/o Bagawan Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.059
300	Kudapasi	4	598	Indra shau S/o Bagawan Sahu	Taila	0.048
301	Kudapasi	17	599	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu Sahu	Taila	0.091
302	Kudapasi	17	606	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.058
303	Kudapasi	17	607	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu Sahu	Taila	0.039
304	Kudapasi	4	616	Indra shau S/o Bagawan Sahu	Taila	0.040
305	Kudapasi	17	617	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu Sahu	Taila	0.031
306	Kudapasi	22	618	Chandra Sahu S/o Bhagaban Sahu	Taila	0.031
307	Kudapasi	22	619	Chandra Sahu S/o Bhagaban Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.072

(4) Village – Kudapasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
308	Kudapasi	22	620	Chandra Sahu S/o Bhagaban Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.034
309	Kudapasi	114	621	Hadi Sahu S/o Gangadhar Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.090
310	Kudapasi	17	622	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu Sahu	Taila	0.093
311	Kudapasi	4	623	Indra shau S/o Bagawan Sahu	Taila	0.072
312	Kudapasi	22	624	Chandra Sahu S/o Bhagaban Sahu	Taila	0.018
313	Kudapasi	22	625	Chandra Sahu S/o Bhagaban Sahu	Taila	0.019
314	Kudapasi	17	626	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu Sahu	Taila	0.022
315	Kudapasi	17	627	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu Sahu	Taila	0.030
316	Kudapasi	4	628	Indra shau S/o Bagawan Sahu	Taila	0.022
317	Kudapasi	18	629	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Taila	0.049
318	Kudapasi	18	630	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Taila	0.018
319	Kudapasi	18	632	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Taila	0.045
320	Kudapasi	33	633	Dukhia Sahu S/o Mahan Sahu	Taila	0.072
321	Kudapasi	65	637	Paluni Sahu W/o Mohan Sahu	Taila	0.119
322	Kudapasi	18	638	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Taila	0.035
323	Kudapasi	18	639	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Taila	0.066
324	Kudapasi	18	640	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Taila	0.064
325	Kudapasi	18	641	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Taila	0.070
326	Kudapasi	18	642	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Taila	0.011
327	Kudapasi	18	643	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Taila	0.012
328	Kudapasi	18	644	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Taila	0.011
329	Kudapasi	18	645	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Taila	0.008
330	Kudapasi	18	646	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Taila	0.028
331	Kudapasi	18	647	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Taila	0.013
332	Kudapasi	18	648	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Taila	0.025
333	Kudapasi	18	649	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Taila	0.031
334	Kudapasi	18	650	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Taila	0.059
335	Kudapasi	18	651	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.042
336	Kudapasi	18	652	Khira Sahu S/o Raghu sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.043
337	Kudapasi	65	659	Paluni Sahu W/o Mohan Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.127
338	Kudapasi	65	660	Paluni Sahu W/o Mohan Sahu	Taila	0.097
339	Kudapasi	33	661	Dukhia Sahu S/o Mahan Sahu	Taila	0.109

(4) Village – Kudapasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
340	Kudapasi	113	671	Hari Mahji S/o Duari Mahji	Patita	0.042
341	Kudapasi	113	672	Hari Mahji S/o Duari Mahji	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.040
342	Kudapasi	64	674	Prahalad sahu S/o Panchu Sahu	Taila	0.445
343	Kudapasi	114/82	675	Surendra Chandra mali S/o Nilay mali	Taila	0.167
344	Kudapasi	32	676	Dahana Naik S/o Chandal Naik	Taila	0.387
345	Kudapasi	92	682	Rasananda Sahu S/o Buddhia Majhi and Others	Taila	0.090
346	Kudapasi	113	686	Hari Mahji S/o Duari Mahji	Taila	0.115
347	Kudapasi	113	688	Hari Mahji S/o Duari Mahji	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.174
348	Kudapasi	113	689	Hari Mahji S/o Duari Mahji	Taila	0.020
349	Kudapasi	78	692	Budhia Sahu S/o Anand Sahu	Taila	0.001
350	Kudapasi	4	738	Indra shau S/o Bagawan Sahu	Taila	0.023
351	Kudapasi	22	739	Chandra Sahu S/o Bhagaban Sahu	Taila	0.031
352	Kudapasi	15	955	Keshab Sahu S/o Jagei sahu and Others	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.011
353	Kudapasi	114/31	956	Bhaghirathi Behera S/o Nabaghana Behera	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.001
354	Kudapasi	97	1227	Lochan Sahu S/o Jadu Sahu	Taila	0.184
355	Kudapasi	53	1233	Patitapaban Naik S/o Jaykrushna Naik	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.040
356	Kudapasi	103	1234	Srinibas Naik S/o Balak Naik	Taila	0.247
357	Kudapasi	114/33	1235	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.337
358	Kudapasi	1	1236	Abhiram Naik S/o Balak Naik	Taila	0.241
359	Kudapasi	114/71	1237	Vinay Kumar Behera S/o Sushant Kumar Behera and Others	Taila	0.320
360	Kudapasi	114/25	1238	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.161
361	Kudapasi	67	1239	Pitambar Naik S/o Balak Naik	Taila	0.147
362	Kudapasi	67	1240	Pitambar Naik S/o Balak Naik	Taila	0.102
363	Kudapasi	67	1243	Pitambar Naik S/o Balak Naik	Taila	0.015
364	Kudapasi	114/84	1244	Satyabhama Sethi W/o Ghanashyam Sethi	Taila	0.082
365	Kudapasi	1	1246	Abhiram Naik S/o Balak Naik	Taila	0.132
366	Kudapasi	1	1247	Abhiram Naik S/o Balak Naik	Taila	0.031
367	Kudapasi	1	1248	Abhiram Naik S/o Balak Naik	Taila	0.230
368	Kudapasi	5	1249	Uchhaba Naik S/o Balak Naik and Others	Taila	0.158
369	Kudapasi	114/41	1250	Chaitanya Naik S/o Baishnab Naik	Taila	0.088
370	Kudapasi	5	1251	Uchhaba Naik S/o Balak Naik and Others	Taila	0.075
371	Kudapasi	114/18	1253	Manorajan Naik S/o Chaitanya Naik	Taila	0.091
372	Kudapasi	114/33	1254	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.036
373	Kudapasi	114/33	1255	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.057
374	Kudapasi	114/33	1256	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.193
375	Kudapasi	13	1258	Keshaba Sahu S/o Kungei Sahu	Taila	0.206

(4) Village – Kudapasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
376	Kudapasi	89	1259	Ramesh Sahu S/o Nabei Sahu	Taila	0.074
377	Kudapasi	89	1260	Ramesh Sahu S/o Nabei Sahu	Taila	0.094
378	Kudapasi	40	1261	Nalu sahu S/o Bauri sahu	Taila	0.164
379	Kudapasi	114/29	1262	Jogendra Mallick S/o Narottam Mallick	Taila	0.350
380	Kudapasi	114/69	1263	Durga Charan nayak S/o Patitapawan Nayak	Gharabari	0.025
381	Kudapasi	93	1265	Ram Sethy S/o Dukhu Sethy	Gharabari	0.020
382	Kudapasi	63	1266	Prahlad Majhi S/o Bauri Majhi	Gharabari	0.022
383	Kudapasi	86	1268	Bholeswar Sahu S/o Banguru Sahu	Gharabari	0.020
384	Kudapasi	42	1269	Niranjan Majhi S/o Bira Majhi	Gharabari	0.017
385	Kudapasi	41	1271	Nimei Majhi S/o Thaka Majhi	Gharabari	0.020
386	Kudapasi	114/77	1272	Bhallabh Chandra Pradhan S/o Haldhar Pradhan	Gharabari	0.019
387	Kudapasi	6	1274	Kastu Bagha S/o Kamar Bagha	Gharabari	0.015
388	Kudapasi	96	1275	Lachhaman Sahu S/o Panchu Sahu	Gharabari	0.018
389	Kudapasi	90	1277	Ramesh Naik S/o Kalia Naik	Gharabari	0.017
390	Kudapasi	35	1282	Dolagovinda Sahu S/o Nabei Sahu	Gharabari	0.020
391	Kudapasi	89	1284	Ramesh Sahu S/o Nabei Sahu	Gharabari	0.019
392	Kudapasi	114/22	1286	Panchali Barik W/o Prabhat Kiran Barik	Gharabari	0.015
393	Kudapasi	114/37	1288	Kaushalya Behera W/o Sujit Behera	Gharabari	0.016
394	Kudapasi	55	1291	Pabitra Sahu S/o Pathani Sahu	Gharabari	0.014
395	Kudapasi	34	1293	Duruju Sahu S/o Pathani Sahu	Gharabari	0.012
396	Kudapasi	98	1295	Sarbeswar Matiari S/o Laxmi Dhar Matiari	Gharabari	0.021
397	Kudapasi	112	1297	Sushant Pradhan S/o Nimei Pradhan and Others	Gharabari	0.018
398	Kudapasi	114/6	1300	Chitanya Naik S/o Vishnaba Naik	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.123
399	Kudapasi	114/7	1301	Khiroda Kumar Maharana S/o Jatia Maharana	Taila	0.081
400	Kudapasi	114/8	1302	Panchali Barik W/o Prabhat Kiran Barik	Taila	0.061
401	Kudapasi	114/41	1303	Chaitanya Naik S/o Baishnab Naik	Taila	0.090
402	Kudapasi	114/11	1304	Dharmapada Sahu S/o Panchu Sahu	Taila	0.138
403	Kudapasi	114/11	1305	Dharmapada Sahu S/o Panchu Sahu	Taila	0.114
404	Kudapasi	114/12	1306	Patitapaban Naik S/o Jayakrushna Naik	Taila	0.081
405	Kudapasi	114/13	1307	Manmohan Sahu S/o Sukru Sahu	Taila	0.057
406	Kudapasi	114/16	1308	Hadibandhu Sahu S/o Ekadusia Sahu	Taila	0.045
407	Kudapasi	114/33	1309	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.231
408	Kudapasi	114/30	1314	Vishnaba Rauta S/o Purna Chandra Rauta	Taila	0.093
409	Kudapasi	114/33	1316	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.164

(4) Village – Kudapasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
410	Kudapasi	114/33	1317	Srimanta kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.129
411	Kudapasi	114/30	1318	Vishnaba Rauta S/o Purna Chandra Rauta	Taila	0.201
412	Kudapasi	114/34	1319	Pramila Naik S/o Kirtan Naik	Taila	0.237
413	Kudapasi	114/38	1320	Binod Kumar Sahu S/o Madhusudhan Sahu	Taila	0.090
414	Kudapasi	114/19	1321	Ganya ranjan Naik S/o Chitanya Naik	Taila	0.158
415	Kudapasi	114/39	1322	Alok Kumar Sahu S/o Rudramohan Sahu	Taila	0.066
416	Kudapasi	114/45	1324	Managovinda Sahu S/o Durjyadhana Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.036
417	Kudapasi	114/46	1325	Narayana Sahu S/o Pranabandhu Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.049
418	Kudapasi	114/46	1326	Narayana Sahu S/o Pranabandhu Sahu	Taila	0.017
419	Kudapasi	114/47	1327	Sibarama Sahu S/o Pabitra Sahu	Taila	0.010
420	Kudapasi	114/47	1328	Sibarama Sahu S/o Pabitra Sahu	Taila	0.005
421	Kudapasi	114/51	1329	Sasmitarani Pradhan W/o Umakant Majhi	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.184
422	Kudapasi	114/53	1330	Rasmita Sahu W/o Pradip Kumar Pradhan	Taila	0.074
423	Kudapasi	114/54	1331	Ajit Kumar Dehuri S/o Duryadhan Dehuri	Taila	0.045
424	Kudapasi	114/57	1333	Pitabas Sahu S/o Gaura Sahu	Taila	0.049
425	Kudapasi	114/58	1334	Sarathi Sahu S/o Gaura Sahu	Taila	0.046
426	Kudapasi	114/59	1335	Srinibas Sahu S/o Gaura Sahu	Taila	0.048
427	Kudapasi	114/60	1336	Surath Sahu S/o Gaura Sahu	Taila	0.038
428	Kudapasi	114/61	1337	Rakesh Ranjan Rauta S/o Prafful Kumar Rauta	Taila	0.101
429	Kudapasi	114/63	1338	Rajkishor Dehuri S/o Maheswar Dehuri	Taila	0.159
430	Kudapasi	114/81	1339	Prasant Kumar Sahu S/o Netrananda Sahu	Taila	0.120
431	Kudapasi	114/64	1340	Santwona Mishra W/o Narendra Kumar Mishra	Taila	0.048
432	Kudapasi	114/66	1341	Deba Sahu S/o Budhia Sahu	Taila	0.056
433	Kudapasi	114/66	1342	Deba Sahu S/o Budhia Sahu	Taila	0.018
434	Kudapasi	114/66	1343	Deba Sahu S/o Budhia Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.060
435	Kudapasi	114/67	1344	Chinmaya Kumar Behera S/o Susant Kumar Behera	Taila	0.159
436	Kudapasi	114/68	1345	Binya Kumar Behera S/o Susant Kumar Behera	Taila	0.119
437	Kudapasi	114/68	1346	Binya Kumar Behera S/o Susant Kumar Behera	Taila	0.014
438	Kudapasi	114/68	1347	Binya Kumar Behera S/o Susant Kumar Behera	Taila	0.067
439	Kudapasi	114/70	1348	Susant Kumar Sahu S/o Rudramohan Sahu	Taila	0.035

(4) Village – Kudapasi Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
440	Kudapasi	114/39	1349	Alok Kumar Sahu S/o Rudramohan Sahu	Taila	0.042
441	Kudapasi	114/73	1350	Sarojini Naik W/o Ajya Naik	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.015
442	Kudapasi	114/74	1351	Tikan Sahu S/o Dujyadhan Sahu	Taila	0.111
443	Kudapasi	114/75	1352	Tuna Behera S/o Prakash Behera	Taila	0.096
444	Kudapasi	114/76	1353	Binya Kumar Behera S/o Susant Kumar Behera and Others	Taila	0.385
445	Kudapasi	114/78	1354	Arun Pradhan S/o Mohan Pradhan	Taila	0.105
446	Kudapasi	114/85	1356	Karunakar Mahapatra S/o Chandramani Mahapatra	Taila	0.097
447	Kudapasi	84	1357	Bhagirathi Majhi S/o Bira Majhi and Others	Gharabari	0.011
448	Kudapasi	114/87	1358	Satyananda Pradhan S/o Ghanashyama Pradhan and Others	Taila	0.125
449	Kudapasi	114/88	1359	Uddhab Sahu S/o Narottam Sahu	Sarada Anajalasachita Tini	0.009
450	Kudapasi	114/89	1360	Ranjan Behera S/o Ramesh Behera	Taila	0.036
451	Kudapasi	114/90	1361	Rajat Kumar Behera S/o Ramesh Behera	Taila	0.035
Total :		**Village – Kudapasi**				**42.488**

(5) Village – Dhaurakhaman Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	Dhaurakhaman	11	5	Ghurudu Sahu S/o Butu Sahu	Taila	0.441
2	Dhaurakhaman	43	10	Sudaie Sethi S/o Nanda Sethi	Taila	0.237
3	Dhaurakhaman	43	11	Sudaie Sethi S/o Nanda Sethi	Taila	0.340
4	Dhaurakhaman	43	12	Sudaie Sethi S/o Nanda Sethi	Taila	0.194
5	Dhaurakhaman	45/17	13	Manas Ranjan Swain S/o Prahlad Swain	Taila	0.771
6	Dhaurakhaman	45/12	15	Village community land in the name of President, Brahmanbil.	Taila	0.748
7	Dhaurakhaman	8	16	Kasturi Sahu S/o Arkhita Dehuri and Others	Taila	0.071
8	Dhaurakhaman	45/19	17	Baisnaba Rout S/o Purna Chandra Rout	Taila	0.149
9	Dhaurakhaman	45/19	18	Baisnaba Rout S/o Purna Chandra Rout	Taila	0.121
10	Dhaurakhaman	45/42	20	Sudarshan Dehuri S/o Dangi Dehuri	Taila	0.375
11	Dhaurakhaman	24	21	Balakrishna Sahu S/o Bhagabat Sahu and Others	Taila	0.358
12	Dhaurakhaman	28	40	Bairagi Sahu D/o Sabar Sahu	Taila	0.479
13	Dhaurakhaman	24	53	Balakrishna Sahu S/o Bhagabat Sahu and Others	Taila	1.637
14	Dhaurakhaman	45/12	54	Village community land in the name of President, Brahmanbil.	Taila	0.022
15	Dhaurakhaman	45/17	84	Manas Ranjan Swain S/o Prahlad Swain	Taila	0.267

(5) Village – Dhaurakhaman Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
16	Dhaurakhaman	35	85	Milu Behera S/o Haldhar behera	Taila	0.072
17	Dhaurakhaman	45/45	86	Prafulla Kumar Sethi S/o Bauri Bandhu Sethi	Taila	0.101
18	Dhaurakhaman	45/41	87	Vinay Kumar Behera S/o Sushant Kumar Behera and Others	Taila	0.307
19	Dhaurakhaman	45/12	92	Village community land in the name of President, Brahmanbil.	Taila	0.622
20	Dhaurakhaman	45/12	93	Village community land in the name of President, Brahmanbil.	Taila	0.065
21	Dhaurakhaman	2	94	Antaryami Sahu S/o Dhani Sahu	Taila	0.079
22	Dhaurakhaman	20	98	Punia Sahu D/o Damburu Sahu	Taila	0.136
23	Dhaurakhaman	22	99	Bauribandhu Dehuri s/o Panu Dehuri	Taila	0.101
24	Dhaurakhaman	20	103	Punia Sahu D/o Damburu Sahu	Taila	0.170
25	Dhaurakhaman	45/17	104	Manas Ranjan Swain S/o Prahlad Swain	Taila	0.245
26	Dhaurakhaman	45/50	105	Vishnu Behera S/o Deenbandhu Behera	Taila	0.039
27	Dhaurakhaman	14	224	Jay Krishna Pradhan S/o Daitari Pradhan	Sarada Jalasachita Ek Fasali	0.153
28	Dhaurakhaman	45/2	563	Bhagirati Sahoo S/o Nepala Sahoo and Others	Taila	0.037
29	Dhaurakhaman	45/3	566	Pranati Barik W/o Narayana Barik	Taila	0.096
30	Dhaurakhaman	45/4	567	Pravati Barik W/o Akhya Kumar Barik	Taila	0.036
31	Dhaurakhaman	45/8	571	Pravat Kiran Barik S/o Anukul Barik	Taila	0.036
32	Dhaurakhaman	45/11	574	Panchali Barik W/o Pravat Kiran Barik	Taila	0.026
33	Dhaurakhaman	45/12	575	Village community land in the name of President, Brahmanbil.	Taila	0.347
34	Dhaurakhaman	45/12	578	Village community land in the name of President, Brahmanbil.	Taila	0.521
35	Dhaurakhaman	45/12	579	Village community land in the name of President, Brahmanbil.	Taila	0.129
36	Dhaurakhaman	45/13	580	Binodini Rauta W/o Vishnaba Rauta	Taila	0.151
37	Dhaurakhaman	45/14	581	Basant Kumar Sahu S/o Bidyadhar Sahu	Taila	0.049
38	Dhaurakhaman	45/15	582	Tikili Behera W/o Dambaru Behera	Taila	0.142
39	Dhaurakhaman	45/17	584	Manas Ranjan Swain S/o Prahlad Swain	Taila	0.343
40	Dhaurakhaman	45/19	587	Baisnaba Rout S/o Purna Chandra Rout	Taila	0.120
41	Dhaurakhaman	45/21	588	Village community land in the name of President, Brahmanbil.	Taila	0.595
42	Dhaurakhaman	45/23	589	Srimant Kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.102
43	Dhaurakhaman	45/23	590	Srimant Kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.194
44	Dhaurakhaman	45/23	591	Srimant Kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu Charan Sahu	Taila	0.101
45	Dhaurakhaman	45/23	592	Srimant Kumar Sahu S/o Bishnu	Taila	0.194

(5) Village – Dhaurakhaman Non-Forest Land (Private)						
Sl. No.	R.I Circle/ village	Khata No.	Plot No.	Name of the Tenant	Kissam	Area Required for Project in hectare
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				Charan Sahu		
46	Dhaurakhaman	45/29	598	Dipendu Kumar Pradhan S/o Jadumani Pradhan	Taila	0.114
47	Dhaurakhaman	45/31	600	Dhirendra Kumar Sahu S/o Bhask Sahu and Others	Taila	0.440
48	Dhaurakhaman	45/49	612	Diptirani Barik W/o Barun Kumar Barik	Taila	0.024
Total:		**Village - Dhaurakhaman**				**12.099**

Boundary Description:

The Naini Coal Block Boundary starts from Point No.1 which is located by the side of State Highway No. 63 and on the south bank of Gauduni Nala. Whence the boundary moves forward in South-East direction along the State Highway No.63 (towards Angul Town) up to point No.29. From point No.29 to Point No.136 the boundary takes left turn towards North-East direction, moving across the CHHENDIPADA RF and to reach point No.136, located on the R F boundary. From Point No.136 to Point No.A the boundary moved further North-East direction across the village TENTULOI GOPINATHAPUR passing through Survey No.195, 194, 193, 201, 200. From Point No.A to Point No. C the boundary moved further North-East direction across the village KASIDIHA passing through Survey No.36, 34, 33, 3092, 32, 30, 24, 23, 20, 17. From Point No.C to Point No.E the boundary moved further North-East direction across the village THALIPASI passing through Survey No.20, 19, 18, 66, 68, 64, 63, 52, 119, 120, 229, 233, 234, 237, 238, 241, 242, 245, 247, 250, 437, 436, 432, 433, 434, 449, 471, 472, 476, 561, 551, 552, 553, 550, 548, 547, 546. From Point No.E to Point No.I the boundary moved further North-East direction across the village KUDAPASI passing through Survey. No. 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 685, 686, 687, 689, 692, 691, 635, 634, 633, 632, 631, 737, 741, 769, 809, 807, 802, 803, 797, 796, 795, 784, 955, 956, 531, 530, 529, 527, 523, 499, 500, 492, 467, 1224, 462, 461. From Point No.I to Point No.30 the boundary moved further North-East direction across the village DHAURAKHAMAN passing through Survey No. 222, 223, 224, 226, 225, 213, 226, 257, 256, 255, 260, 268, 269. From Point No.30 to Point No.31 the boundary takes left turn towards North-West direction across the village DHAURAKHAMAN passing through Survey. No. 269, 268, 270, 266, 265, 262, 181, 180, 178, 179, 176, 105, 566, 567, 102, 85, 84, 54, 53, 21, 20 and touching the CHHENDIPADA RF boundary at Point No.31. From Point No.31 to Point No.35 the boundary further moved in North-West direction across the CHHENDIPADA RF to meet the Point No.35 located on the RF boundary. From Point No.35 to point No.1 the Boundary takes left turn towards South-West direction along the south bank of Gauduni Nala towards upstream and closed at Point No.1 whence the boundary started.

(1) Village: TENTULOI GOPINATHAPUR : Boundary bounded by Point No.136, A and B.

The village boundary line starts from Point No.136, which is situated close to the tri-junction point, touching the CHHENDIPADA RF boundary and block boundary at Survey No.195. From Point No.136 to Point No. A, the block boundary moved towards North-East direction across the village TENTULOI GOPINATHAPUR passing through Survey No.195, 194, 193, 201, 200 touching the village boundary at North-East end at Survey No.200. From Point No.A to Point No.B the village boundary moved in North-East direction covering the Survey No.200, 199, 195 and touching the Chhendipada RF boundary at Point No.B. From Point No.B to Point No.136 it is common boundary between TENTULOI GOPINATHAPUR village and CHHENDIPADA RF.

(2) Village: KASIDIHA: Boundary bounded by Point No. A, C, D, and B.

The village boundary line starts from Point No. A, which is situated at common point for Survey No.36 and Survey No.34. From Point No.A to Point No.C, the block boundary moved towards North-East direction across the village KASIDIHA passing through Survey No. 36, 34, 33, 3092, 32, 30, 24, 23, 20, 17 touching the village boundary at North-East end at Survey No.17. From point No.C to Point No.D the village boundary moved in North-West direction covering the Survey No. 17, 16, 15, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 3176, 5 and passing through tri-junction point, and moved along Survey No. 2, 1, touching the CHHENDIPADA RF boundary at Point. No.D. From point No.D to Point No.B it is a common boundary between KASIDIHA village and CHHENDIPADA RF. The village boundary from Point No.B to Point No.A moves in South-West direction covering the Survey No.1, 30, 31, 32, 36 and closing the boundary at Point No.A.

(3) Village: THALIPASI : Boundary bounded by Point No. C, E, F, G and D.

The village boundary line starts from Point No. C, which is situated at Survey No.20. From Point No.C to Point No.E, the block boundary moved towards North-East direction across the village THALIPASI passing through Survey No.20, 19, 18, 66, 68, 64, 63, 52, 119, 120, 229, 233, 234, 237, 238, 241, 242, 245, 247, 250, 437, 436, 432, 433, 434, 449, 471, 472, 476, 561, 551, 552, 553, 550, 548, 547 and 546 touching the village boundary at North-East end at Survey No.546. From point No.E to Point No.F the village boundary moved in North-West direction covering the Survey No.546, 545, 542, 541, 538, 537, 536, 533, 532, 531, 530, 528, 527, 526, 525, 524, 523. From point No.F to Point No.G the village boundary moved in West direction covering Survey No.510, 509, 498, 414, 413, 412, 411, 410, 409, 408, 407, 406, 403,

402, 401, 119, 96, 93, 89, 86, 85, and 1 touching the CHHENDIPADA RF boundary at Point. No.G. From Point No.G to Point No.D it is common boundary between THALIPASI village and CHHENDIPADA RF. From Point No.D to Point No.C the village boundary moved in South-East direction covering the Survey No.1, 2, 3, 578, 577, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20 and closing the boundary at Point No. C.

(4) Village: BIMBADHARAPUR : Boundary bounded by Point No.G, F and H.

The village boundary line starts from Point No.G which is close to tri-junction point and touching the CHHENDIPADA RF BOUNDARY. From Point No.G to Point No.F the village boundary moved in East direction covering the Survey No. 1, 162, 163, 164, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 367, 368, 369, 370, 372, 373, 378, 379. From Point No.F to Point No.H the village boundary moved in North-West direction covering the Survey No.387, 379, 378, 377, 376, 375, 364, tri-junction point, 363, 362, 353, 415, 412, 352, 47, 44, 34, 392, 399, 33, 389, 430, 32, 19, 17, 4 and touching the CHHENDIPADA RF boundary at Point No.H. From Point No.H to Point No.G it is common boundary between BIMBADHARAPUR village and CHHENDIPADA RF closing the boundary at Point No.G.

(5) Village: KUDAPASI : Boundary bounded by Point No. E, I, J, H and F.

The village boundary line starts from Point No.E, which is situated close to the tri-junction point at Survey No.678. From Point No.E to Point No.I the block boundary moved towards North-East direction across the village KUDAPASI passing through Survey No.678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 685, 686, 687, 689, 692, 691, 635, 634, 633, 632, 631, 737, 741, 769, 809, 807, 802, 803, 797, 796, 795, 784, 955, 956, 531, 530, 529, 527, 523, 499, 500, 492, 467, 1224, 462, 461. From Point No.I to Point No.J the village boundary moved in North-West direction covering the Survey No.461, tri-junction point, 393, 392, 391, 390, 389, 388, 1318, 380, 379, 365, 364, tri-junction point, 47, 46, 44, 1230, 1229, 1228, 27 and touching the CHHENDIPADA RF boundary at Point No.J. From Point No.J to Point No.H it is common boundary between KUDAPASI village and CHHENDIPADA RF. From Point No.H to Point No.F and Point No.E. the village boundary moved in South-East direction covering the Survey No.1, 1316, 1234, 1235, 1353, 1236, 1237, 1, 2, 112, 113, 127, 134, 1351, tri-junction point, 1351, 1307, 138, 1322, 139, 1223, 184, 185, tri-junction point, 186, 188, 202, 1309, 204, 673, 676, 677, 678 and closing the boundary at Point No.E.

(6) Village: DHAURAKHAMAN: Boundary bounded by Point No. I, 30, 31 and J.

The village boundary line starts from Point No.I, which is situated at Survey No.222. From Point No.I to Point No.30 the block boundary moved towards North-East direction across the village DHAURAKHAMAN passing through Survey No. 222, 223, 224, 226, 225, 213, 226, 257, 256, 255, 260, 268, 269. From Point No.30 to Point No.31 the block boundary moved in North-West direction covering the Survey No. 269, 268, 270, 266, 265, 262, 181, 180, 178, 179, 176, 105, 566, 567, 102, 85, 84, 54, 53, 21, 20 and touching the CHHENDIPADA RF boundary at Point No.31. From Point No.31 to Point No.J it is common boundary between DHAURAKHAMAN village and CHHENDIPADA RF. From Point No.J to Point No.I the village boundary moved in South-East direction covering the Survey No.1, 2, 4, 558, 551, 7, 553, 552, 553, 34, 35, 36, 37, tri-junction point, 90, 91, 92, 95, 200, tri-junction point, 201, 202, 203, 219, 220, 221, tri-junction point, 222, and closing the boundary at Point No.I.

[F. No. 43015/18/2015- LA & IR]
RAM SHIROMANI SAROJ, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 2 मई, 2019

का.आ. 656.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि, इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में उल्लिखित परिक्षेत्र की भूमि में कोयला अभिप्राप्त किए जाने की संभावना है;

और, रेखांक संख्या एसईसीएल/बीएसपी/जीएम(पीएलजी)/भूमि/527, तारीख 19 मार्च, 2019 का जिसमें उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि क्षेत्र के ब्यौरे अन्तर्विष्ट है, निरीक्षण कलेक्टर, जिला सुरजपुर (छत्तीसगढ़) के कार्यालय में या कोयला नियंत्रक, 1, काउंसिल हाऊस स्ट्रीट, कोलकाता – 700001 के कार्यालय में या साऊथ ईस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लिमिटेड (राजस्व अनुभाग), सीपत रोड, बिलासपुर–495006 (छत्तीसगढ़) के कार्यालय में किया जा सकता है।

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 4 की उप–धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, पूर्वोक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में कोयले का पूर्वेक्षण करने के अपने आशय की सूचना देती है।

उपरोक्त उल्लिखित अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध कोई व्यक्ति –

(i) संपूर्ण भूमि या उसके किसी भाग या ऐसी भूमि में या उसके ऊपर किसी अधिकार के अर्जन पर आक्षेप कर सकेगा; अथवा

(ii) उक्त अधिसूचना की धारा 4 की उप–धारा (3) के अधीन की गई किसी कार्यवाई से हुई या सम्भवतः होने वाली किसी क्षति के लिये अधिनियम की धारा 6 के अधीन प्रतिकर का दावा कर सकेगा; अथवा

(iii) उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 13 की उप–धारा (1) के अधीन पूर्वेक्षण अनुज्ञप्तियों के प्रभावहीन होने के संबंध में या उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 13 की उप–धारा (4) के अधीन खनन पट्टे प्रभावहीन होने के लिये प्रतिकर का

दावा कर सकेगा और उसे उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 13 की उप–धारा (1) के खंड (i) से (iv) में विनिर्दिष्ट मदों की बाबत उपगत व्यय को उपदर्शित करने के लिये पूर्वोक्त भूमि से संबंधित सभी मानचित्रों, चार्टो और अन्य दस्तावेजों को परिदत्त कर सकेगा,

राजपत्र में इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशन की तारीख से नब्बे दिन की अवधि के भीतर भारसाधक अधिकारी या विभागाध्यक्ष (राजस्व), साऊथ ईस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लिमिटेड, सीपत रोड, बिलासपुर–495006 (छत्तीसगढ़) को सुपुर्द करेगा ।

अनुसूची

महामाया ओसीपी विस्तार ब्लाक, भटगांव क्षेत्र,

जिला– सुरजपुर (छत्तीसगढ़)

[रेखांक संख्या एसईसीएल/बीएसपी/जीएम(पीएलजी)/भूमि/527, तारीख 19 मार्च, 2019]

राजस्व भमिः

क्रम सं.	ग्राम का नाम	ग्राम संख्या	तहसील	जिला	क्षेत्र हेक्टर में	टिप्पणिया
1.	जरही	103	प्रतापपुर	सुरजपुर	16.410	भाग
कुल : 16.410 हेक्टर (लगभग) या 40.55 एकड़ (लगभग)						

टिप्पण : ग्राम जरही (भाग) में अर्जित किये जाने वाले प्लाट संख्याः 33(भाग), 37/2, 38/1, 38/2, 39 से 47, 53 से 58, 61(भाग), 62(भाग), 66(भाग), 67 से 75, 341(भाग), 342(भाग), 483(भाग) से 485(भाग), 486/1, 486/2, 487, 488(भाग), 489(भाग), 490, 491(भाग), 492/1 से 492/4, 493/1,493/2, 494 से 497, 498(भाग), 500(भाग), 501, 507(भाग), 553/1(भाग), 553/2, 555(भाग), 556(भाग), 557, 564/1 से 564/3, 566(भाग), 582(भाग), 583(भाग), 585(भाग), 589(भाग), 590(भाग), 591 से 595, 596(भाग), 597(भाग), 618(भाग) से 620(भाग), 621 से 623, 624(भाग), 625(भाग), 637(भाग), 638(भाग), 741(भाग), 748(भाग), 749, 750(भाग), 751(भाग), 752 से 755, 756(भाग), 757(भाग), 760(भाग), 772(भाग).

सीमा वर्णनः

ब्लाक – कः

क–ख रेखा बिन्दु 'क' से आरंभ होती है और ग्राम जरही के पश्चिमी भाग से गुजरती हुई बिन्दु 'ख' पर मिलती है।

ख–ग रेखा बिन्दु 'ख' से आरंभ होती है और ग्राम जरही में पूर्वी दिशा से गुजरती हुई बिन्दु 'ग' पर मिलती है।

ग–घ रेखा बिन्दु 'ग' से आरंभ होती है और ग्राम जरही में दक्षिणी दिशा से गुजरती हुई बिन्दु 'घ' पर मिलती है।

घ–क रेखा बिन्दु 'घ' से आरंभ होती है और ग्राम जरही में पश्चिमी दिशा से होती हुई आरंभिक बिन्दु 'क' पर मिलती है।

ब्लाक – खः

ङ–च रेखा बिन्दु 'ङ' से आरंभ होती है और ग्राम जरही में उत्तरी दिशा से गुजरती हुई बिन्दु 'च' पर मिलती है।

च–छ रेखा बिन्दु 'च' से आरंभ होती है और ग्राम जरही में पूर्वी दिशा से गुजरती हुई बिन्दु 'छ' पर मिलती है।

छ–ज रेखा बिन्दु 'छ' से आरंभ होती है और ग्राम जरही में दक्षिणी दिशा से गुजरती हुई बिन्दु 'ज' पर मिलती है।

ज–ङ रेखा बिन्दु 'ज' से आरंभ होती है और ग्राम जरही में पश्चिमी दिशा से होती हुई आरंभिक बिन्दु 'ङ' पर मिलती है।

[फा. सं. 43015/06/2019–एलए एण्ड आईआर]

राम शिरोमणि सरोज, उप सचिव

New Delhi, the 2nd May, 2019

S.O. 656.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that coal is likely to be obtained from the lands in the locality mentioned in the Schedule annexed hereto;

And whereas, the plan bearing number SECL/BSP/GM(PLG)/LAND/527, dated the 19th March, 2019 containing details of the area of land described in the said Schedule may be inspected at the office of the Collector, District Surajpur (Chhattisgarh) or at the office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Kolkata-700001 or at the office of the South Eastern Coalfields Limited (Revenue Section), Seepat Road, Bilaspur -495006 (Chhattisgarh).

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to prospect for coal in the land described in the aforesaid Schedule.

Any person interested in the land described in the above mentioned Schedule may-

(i) object to the acquisition of the whole or any part of the land or of any rights in or over such land; or

(ii) claim compensation under section 6 of the said Act for any damage caused or likely to be caused by any action taken under sub -section (3) of section 4 of the said Act; or

(iii) claim compensation under sub-section (1) of section 13 of the said Act, in respect of prospecting license ceasing to have effect or under sub-section (4) of section 13 of the said Act for mining lease ceasing to have effect and deliver all maps, charts and other documents relating to the aforesaid land to show the expenditure incurred in respect of items specified in clauses (i) to (iv) of sub-section (1) of section 13 of the said Act,

to the Officer-In-Charge or Head of the Department (Revenue), South Eastern Coalfields Limited, Seepat Road, Bilaspur -495006 (Chhattisgarh) within a period of ninety days from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

Mahamaya OCP Extension Block, Bhatgaon Area,

District- Surajpur (Chhattisgarh)

[Plan bearing number SECL/BSP/GM (PLG)/LAND/ 527, dated the 19th March, 2019]

Revenue Land:

Sl. No.	Name of village	Village number	Tahsil	District	Area in hectares	Remarks
1.	Jarhi	103	Pratappur	Surajpur	16.410	Part
Total: 16.410 hectares (approximately) or 40.55 acres (approximately)						

Note : Plot numbers to be acquired in village Jarhi (Part): 33(P), 37/2, 38/1, 38/2, 39 to 47, 53 to 58, 61(P), 62(P), 66(P), 67 to 75, 341(P), 342(P), 483(P) to 485(P), 486/1, 486/2, 487, 488(P), 489(P), 490, 491(P), 492/1 to 492/4, 493/1, 493/2, 494 to 497, 498(P), 500(P), 501, 507(P), 553/1(P), 553/2, 555(P), 556(P), 557, 564/1 to 564/3, 566(P), 582(P), 583(P), 585(P), 589(P), 590(P), 591 to 595, 596(P), 597(P), 618(P) to 620(P), 621 to 623, 624(P), 625(P), 637(P), 638(P), 741(P), 748(P), 749, 750(P), 751(P), 752 to 755, 756(P), 757(P), 760(P), 772(P).

Boundary description:

Block – A :

A-B Line starts from point 'A' and passes in village Jarhi through western part of village Jarhi and meets at point 'B'.

B-C Line starts from point 'B' and passes in village Jarhi towards eastern direction of village Jarhi, and meets at point 'C'.

C-D Line starts from point 'C' and passes in village Jarhi towards southern direction of village Jarhi and meets at point 'D'.

D-A Line starts from point 'D' and passes in village Jarhi towards western direction of village Jarhi and meets at starting point 'A'.

Block – B:

E-F Line starts from point 'E' and passes in village Jarhi towards northern direction of village Jarhi, and meets at point 'F'.

F-G Line starts from point 'F' and passes in village Jarhi towards eastern direction of village Jarhi and meets at point 'G'.

G-H Line starts from point 'G' and passes in village Jarhi towards southern direction of village Jarhi and meets at point 'H'.

H-E Line starts from point 'H' and passes in village Jarhi towards western direction of village Jarhi and meets at starting point 'E'.

[F. No. 43015/ 06 /2019-LA&IR]

RAM SHIROMANI SAROJ, Dy. Secy.

श्रम और रोजगार मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 24 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 657.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार पूर्व उत्तर रेलवे प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण कानपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 64/2017) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24.04.2019 को प्राप्त हुए थे।

[सं. एल–41012/84/2016–आईआर (बी–I)]

बी. एस. बिष्ट, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

New Delhi, the 24th April, 2019

S.O. 657.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 64/2017) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Kanpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of East North Railway and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 24-4-2019.

[No. L-41012/84/2016-IR(B-1)]

B.S. BISHT, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI RAKESH KUMAR, HJS, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL–CUM-LABOR COURT, KANPUR.

ID. No. 64 of 2017

Zonal Working President,
Rail Sewak Sangh,
Through Sri D.P. Awasthi,
49, Tilak Nagar, Lucknow.

Versus

The Divisional Personnel Officer,
East North Railway,
DRM Office,
Ashok Marg,
Lucknow.

AWARD

1. Central Government, MOL, New Delhi vide notification No. L-41012/84/2016-IR (B-I) dated 10.08.2017 has referred the following for Industrial Dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

> **"KYA PURVOTTAR RAIL PRASHASHAN LUCKNOW DWARA SRI RAKESH KUMAR, HELPER KHALASI KO USKI JOINING TITHI (25.08.98)SE SC/ST QUOTA KE TAHAT MILNE WALI PADONNATI NA DEKAR USSE JUNIOR KO PADONNATI DIYA JANA TATHA NYAYOCHIT AVAM VAID HAI? YADI NAHI TO KAMGAR KIS RAHAT KO PANE KA HAQDAR HAI?"**

2. After receipt of reference order from Ministry of Labor and Employment, New Delhi registered notices dated 11.09.2017 were issued from this Tribunal to the parties for filing their respective claim and counter claim fixing 20.10.2017. But since Presiding Officer was on tour on 20.10.17, the case was adjourned to 19.01.18, still no claim petition was filed in the case on 19.01.2018. Again on 22.01.19, the case was taken up for hearing but when none appeared from the side of the contesting parties it was ordered that registered notices be issued to the contesting parties for filing of their claim and counter claim petition in the case on behalf of the worker, but both the parties were absent and no claim petition was filed in the instant case by the Union raising the dispute on behalf of the worker. The case was again listed for hearing on 03.04.2018, 01.05.2018, 25.07.18, 28.09.2018, 20.11.2018, 18.01.19, but surprisingly enough to note that despite giving repeated and reasonable opportunities to the Union for filing claim petition on behalf of the worker, neither any one appeared on behalf of the union/worker nor any claim petition was filed in the case.

3. Therefore, from the above facts and circumstances of the case, it is absolutely evident that neither the union raising the present dispute nor the workman is interested in prosecuting the present case. In the instant case

union/workers have been given sufficient opportunities to present their claim petition, but after availing of the adequate opportunities, workers / union have deliberately and willingly failed in discharging their legal obligation in filing claim petition on their behalf before the Tribunal.

4. Hence, it is abundantly clear that it is a case wherein neither pleadings have been filed by the contesting parties nor have any documentary evidence been filed on their behalf.

5. As such it is case of no pleading and no evidence; therefore, under these circumstances it is held that the union /workers are not entitled for any relief for want of pleadings and proof.

6. Reference is answered as above.

dated 08.04.2019

RAKESH KUMAR, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 24 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 658.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार श्रेयस ग्रामीण बैंक प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण कानपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 41/2013, 109/2013, 79/2013, 97/2013, 86/2013, 83/2013, 42/2013, 101/2103, 43/2103, 90/2013, 85/2013, 87/2103, 47/2013, 84/2103, 138/2103, 139/2013, 81/2013, 96/2013, 83/2014, 100/2014, 99/2013, 98/2103, 88/2013, 95/2013, 82/2103, 111/2014, 89/2103/2019) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24.04.2019 को प्राप्त हुए थे।

[सं. एल–12012/13, 27, 38, 56, 39, 15, 60, 28, 57, 40, 24, 42, 26, 97, 95, 37, 48, 38, 54, 55, 29, 58, 41, 25, 23/2013–आईआर (बी–I)]

बी. एस. बिष्ट, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 24th April, 2019

S.O. 658.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 41/2013, 109/2013, 79/2013, 97/2013, 86/2013, 83/2013, 42/2013, 101/2013, 43/2013, 90/2013, 85/2013, 87/2013, 47/2013, 84/2013, 138/2013, 139/2013, 81/2013, 96/2013, 83/2014, 100/2014, 99/2013, 98/2013, 88/2013, 95/2013, 82/2013, 111/2014, 89/2013) of the Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court Kanpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of Shryas Gramin Bank and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 24-04-2019.

[No. L-12012/13, 27, 38, 56, 39, 36, 15, 60, 28, 57, 40, 24, 42, 26, 97, 95, 37, 48, 38, 54, 59, 55, 29, 58, 41, 25, 23/2013-IR(B-1)]

B.S. BISHT, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI RAKESH KUMAR, HJS, PRESIDING OFFICER
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOR COURT, KANPUR.

1. Industrial Dispute No. 41 of 2013

Between

Sri Jagdish Singh,
S/o Sri Rajendra Pal Singh,
C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Shreyas Gramin Bank,

Head Office Digg iRoad,
Aligarh 202001.

AWARD

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/13/2013-IR(B-1) dated 28.05.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

''Whether the action of the management of Shryas Gramin Bank in terminating the services of Sri Jagdish Singh son of Sri Rajendra Pal Singh workman with effect from 01.08.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

2. Industrial Dispute No. 109 of 2013

Between

Sri Udaiveer Singh,
S/o Sri Babu Lal,
C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Shreyas Gramin Bank,
Head Office Diggi Road,
Aligarh 202001.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/27/2013-IR(B-1) dated 28.05.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

''Whether the action of the management of Shryas Gramin Bank in terminating the services of Sri Udaiveer Singh son of Sri Babu Lal workman with effect from 01.08.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

3. Industrial Dispute No. 79 of 2013

Between

Sri Darshan Singh,
S/o Sri Chunni Lal,
C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Shreyas Gramin Bank,
Head Office Diggi Road,
Aligarh 202001.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/38/2013-IR(B-1) dated 18.06.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

''Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank in terminating the services of Sri Darshan Singh son of Sri Chunni Lal workman with effect from 01.08.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

4. Industrial Dispute No. 97 of 2013

Between

Sri Sandeep Kumar,
S/o Sri Mohan Lal,
C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Gramin Bank of Aryavart.
Head Office A-2/46, Vijai Khand,
Gomti Nagar,
Lucknow 226010

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/56/2013-IR(B-1) dated 25.06.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

> "Whether the action of the management of erstwhile Shreyas Gramin Bank, now Gramin Bank of Aryawart in terminating the services of Sri Sandeep Kumar son of Sri Mohan Lal workman with effect from 01.01.2013 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

5. Industrial Dispute No. 86 of 2013

Between

Sri Jagveer Singh,
S/o Sri Pokhpal Singh,
C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Shreyas Gramin Bank,
Head Office Diggi Road,
Aligarh 202001.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/39/2013-IR(B-1) dated 18.06.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

> ''Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank in terminating the services of Sri Jagveer Singh son of Sri Pokhpal workman with effect from 01.08.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

6. Industrial Dispute No. 83 of 2013

Between

Sri Pankaj Kumar Jain,
S/o Sri Puttu Lal Jain,
C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Shreyas Gramin Bank,
Head Office Diggi Road,
Aligarh 202001.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/36/2013-IR(B-1) dated 18.06.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

> ''Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank in terminating the services of Sri Pankaj Kumar Jain son of Sri Rajendra Pal Singh workman with effect from 01.08.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

7. Industrial Dispute No. 42 of 2013

Between

Sri Rakesh Kumar
S/o Sri Roshan Lal,
C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Shreyas Gramin Bank,
Head Office Diggi Road,
Aligarh 202001.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/15/2013-IR(B-1) dated 28.05.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

> ''Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank in terminating the services of Sri Rakesh Kumar son of Sri Roshan Lal workman with effect from 01.08.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

8. Industrial Dispute No. 101 of 2013

Between

Sri Arvind Kumar,
S/o Sri Prabhu Dayal Saxena,
C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Gramin Bank of Aryavart.
Head Office A-2/46, Vijai Khand,
Gomti Nagar,
Lucknow 226010

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/60/2013-IR(B-1) dated 25.06.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

''Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank now Gramin Bank of Aryawart in terminating the services of Sri Arvind Kumar son of Sri Prabhu Dayal Saxena workman with effect from 01.01.2013 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

9. Industrial Dispute No. 43 of 2013

Between

Sri Pushpendra Kumar
s/o Sri Ramesh Chandra,
C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Gramin Bank of Aryavart.
Head Office A-2/46, Vijai Khand,
Gomti Nagar,
Lucknow 226010
the services of Sri Pushpendra Kumar son of Sri Ramesh Chandra workman with

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/28/2013-IR(B-1) dated 17.05.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

''Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank in terminating the services of Sri Pushpendra Kumar son of Sri Ramesh Chandra workman with effect from 01.08.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

10. Industrial Dispute No. 90 of 2013

Between

Sri Pradeep Kumar Jain,
s/o Sri Prem Chand Jain,
C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Gramin Bank of Aryavart.
Head Office A-2/46, Vijai Khand,
Gomti Nagar,
Lucknow 226010

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/57/2013-IR(B-1) dated 25.06.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

''Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank now Gramin Bank of Aryawart in terminating the services of Sri Pradep Kumar Jain son of Sri Prem Chand Jain workman with effect from 01.01.2010 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

11. Industrial Dispute No. 85 of 2013

Between

Sri Amar Babu,
s/o Sri Chunni Lal
C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Shreyas Gramin Bank,
Head Office Diggi Road,
Aligarh 202001.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/40/2013-IR(B-1) dated 18.06.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

> "Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank in terminating the services of Sri Amar Babu son of Sri Chunni Lal workman with effect from 01.08.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

12 Industrial Dispute No. 87 of 2013

Between

Sri Roop Kishore,
s/o Shri Krishan,
C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Shreyas Gramin Bank,
Head Office Diggi Road,
Aligarh 202001.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/24/2013-IR(B-1) dated 04.06.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

> ''Whether the action of the management of Shryas Gramin Bank in terminating the services of Sri Roop Kishore son of Shri Krishan workman with effect from 01.08.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

13. Industrial Dispute No. 84 of 2013

Between

Sri Satish Kumar,
s/oVijay Pal Singh
C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Shreyas Gramin Bank,
Head Office Diggi Road,
Aligarh 202001.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/42/2013-IR(B-1) dated 18.06.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

''Whether the action of the management of Shryas Gramin Bank in terminating the services of Sri Satish Kumar son of Sri Vijay Pal Singh workman with effect from 01.08.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

14. Industrial Dispute No. 47 of 2013

Between

Sri Omveer Singh,
s/o Late Mahendra Singh,
C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Shreyas Gramin Bank,
Head Office Diggi Road,
Aligarh 202001.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/26/2013-IR(B-1) dated 20.05.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

''Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank in terminating the services of Sri Omveer Singh son of late Mahendra Singh workman with effect from 01.08.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?''

15. Industrial Dispute No. 138 of 2013

Between

Sri Ram Prakash,
s/o Sri Ram Das,
C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Shreyas Gramin Bank,
Head Office Diggi Road,
Aligarh 202001.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/97/2013-IR(B-1) dated 08.11.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

''Whether the action of the management of Shryas Gramin Bank now Gramin Bank of Aryavart in terminating the services of Sri Ram Prakash son of Sri Ram Das workman with effect from 01.01.2013 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

16. Industrial Dispute No. 139 of 2013

Between

Sri Raghvender Singh,
s/o Sri Jai Pal Singh,
C/o Sri R P Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Gramin Bank of Aryavart,
Head Office
A-2/46 Vijay Khand,
Gomti Nagar,
Lucknow.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/95/2013-IR(B-1) dated 08.11.2013 has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

"Whether the action of the management of erstwhile Shreyas Gramin Bank now Gramin Bank of Aryavart in terminating the services of Sri Raghvendra Singh son of Sri Jai Pal Singh workman with effect from 01.01.2013 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

17. Industrial Dispute No. 81 of 2013

Between

Sri Manoj Kumar,
s/o Sri Murari Lal,
C/o Sri R P Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Shreyas Gramin Bank,
Head Office Diggi Road,
Aligarh 202001.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification no. L-12012/37/2013-IR(B-1) dated 18.06.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

"Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank in terminating the services of Sri Manoj Kumar son of Sri Murari Lal workman with effect from 01.08.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

18. Industrial Dispute No. 96 of 2013

Between

Sri Moharman Singh,
s/o Sri Netra Pal Singh C/o Sri R P Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Gramin Bank of Aryavart,
Head Office
A-2/46 Vijay Khand,
Gomti Nagar,
Lucknow.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification no. L-12012/48/2013-IR(B-1) dated 25.06.2013 has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

"Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank in terminating the services of Sri Moharman Singh son of Sri Netrapal Singh workman with effect from 01.08.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

19. Industrial Dispute No. 83 of 2014

Between

Sri Kuldeep Singh,
s/o Sri Umesh Singh C/o Sri R P Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Gramin Bank of Aryavart,
Head Office
A-2/46 Vijay Khand,
Gomti Nagar,
Lucknow.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification no. L-12012/38/2014-IR(B-1) dated 03.07.2014. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

"Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank now Gramin Bank of Aryavart in terminating the services of Sri Kuldeep Singh son of Sri Umesh Singh workman with effect from 01.07.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

20. Industrial Dispute No. 100 of 2014

Between

Sri Tarsem Kumar,
s/o Sri Balak Ram,
C/o Sri R P Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Gramin Bank of Aryavart,
Head Office
A-2/46 Vijay Khand,
Gomti Nagar,
Lucknow.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/54/2013-IR(B-1) dated 25.06.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

''Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank now Gramin Bank of Aryavart in terminating the services of Sri Rarsem son of Sri Balak Ram workman with effect from 01.01.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

21. Industrial Dispute No. 99 of 2013

Between

Sri Dinesh Kumar
s/o Sri Pramod Kumar, C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Gramin Bank of Aryavart,
Head Office
A-2/46 Vijay Khand,
Gomti Nagar,
Lucknow.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/59/2013-IR(B-1) dated 25.06.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

''Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank now Gramin Bank of Aryavart in terminating the services of Sri Dinesh Kumar son of Sri Pramod Kumar workman with effect from 01.01.2013 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

22. Industrial Dispute No. 98 of 2013

Between

Sri Deepak Kumar,
s/o Sri Brahman Singh, C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Gramin Bank of Aryavart,
Head Office
A-2/46 Vijay Khand,
Gomti Nagar,
Lucknow.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/55/2013-IR(B-1) dated 25.06.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

> ”Whether the action of the management of erstwhile Shreyas Gramin Bank now Gramin Bank of Aryavart in terminating the services of Sri Kuldeep Singh son of Sri Umesh Singh workman with effect from 01.01.2013 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?”

23. Industrial Dispute No. 88 of 2013

Between

Sri Manoj Kumar,
s/o Sri Jaidev Singh, C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Shreyas Gramin Bank,
Head Office,
Diggi Road,
Aligarh.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/29/2013-IR(B-1) dated 04.06.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

> ‘‘Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank now Gramin Bank of Aryavart in terminating the services of Sri Manoj Kumar son of Sri Jaidev Singh workman with effect from 01.08.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?”

24. Industrial Dispute No. 95 of 2013

Between

Sri Narendra Pal Singh,
s/o Sri Vijay Pal Singh C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Gramin Bank of Aryavart,
Head Office
A-2/46 Vijay Khand,
Gomti Nagar,
Lucknow.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/58/2013-IR(B-1) dated 25.06.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

> ‘‘Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank now Gramin Bank of Aryavart in terminating the services of Sri Narendra Pal Singh son of Sri Vijay Pal Singh workman with effect from 01.01.2013 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?”

25. Industrial Dispute No. 82 of 2013

Between

Sri Hariom Tatsath,
S/o Sri Mahaver Singh, C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Shreyas Gramin Bank,
Head Office
Diggi Road,
Aligarh.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/41/2013-IR(B-1) dated 18.06.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

> ''Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank in terminating the services of Sri Hariom Tatsath son of Sri Mahaveer Singh workman with effect from 01.08.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

26. Industrial Dispute No. 111 of 2014

Between

Sri Ram Naresh,
S/o Sri Ram Charan, C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Shreyas Gramin Bank,
Head Office,
Diggi Road,
Aligarh.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/25/2013-IR(B-1) dated 31.05.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

> ''Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank in terminating the services of Sri Ram Naresh son of Sri Ram Charan workman with effect from 01.07.2012 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?

27. Industrial Dispute No. 89 of 2013

Between

Sri Virendra Kumar Jain, S/o
Sh. Raj Kumar Jain C/o Sri R. P. Singh,
State Executive Committee Member,
U.P. Bank Workers Organization,
3/13 Mathura Nagar,
Aligarh (U.P.) 202001

And

The Chairman,
Gramin Bank of Aryavart,
Head Office
A-2/46 Vijay Khand,
Gomti Nagar,
Lucknow.

Central Government, Mol & Employment, vide notification No. L-12012/23/2013-IR(B-1) dated 04.06.2013. has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

''Whether the action of the management of Shreyas Gramin Bank in terminating the services of Sri Virendra Kumar Jain son of Sri Raj Kumar Jain workman with effect from 01.01.2013 is just fair and legal? If not to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

28. As in all the above cases common question of facts and law is involved, therefore, all the cases were consolidated by order of the tribunal passed on 05.05.15 and Industrial Dispute No. 41 of 13 was made the leading case. Therefore the tribunal proposes to decide all the above cases by means of this common award.
29. The case number, name of worker, date of appointment, date of termination of service and the branch where they were posted are shown in the following chart which is as per facts mentioned by the concerned workmen in their claim petition:-

SL. No.	ID No.	Name of worker	Date of appointment	Date of termination	Branch where was posted
1	41/2013	JAGDISH SINGH	01-06-2007	01-08-2012	SAKRAULI, DIST-ETAH
2	86/2013	JAGVEER SINGH	OCT 1999	01-08-2012	ALIGANJ, DISTT- ETAH
3	97/2013	SANDEEP KUMAR	01/01/2099	01/01/2013	PATIALI GATE,DISTT-ETAH
4	79/2013	DARSHAN SINGH	JUNE 2005	01-08-2012	JINHORA, UNDER ETAH GRAMNIN BANK
5	109/2013	UDAY VEER SINGH	1999	01-08-2012	ETAH CITY UNDER ETAH GRAMNIN BRANCH
6.	83/2013	PANKAJ KR. JAIN	1998	01-08-2012	ISSUALI UNDER ETAH GRAMIN BANK
7.	42/2013	RAKESH KUMAR	2001	1-8-2012	PIWARI UNDER ETAH GRAMIN BANK
8.	101/2013	ARVIND KUMAR	2001	01-01-2013	JAITHRA UNDER ETAH GRAMIN BANK
9	43/2013	PUSHPENDRA KUMAR	2006	01-08-2012	PUNHERA , ETAH UNDER SHREYAS GRAMIN BANK
10	99/2013	DINESH KUMAR	2006	01-01-2013	AMRAULI RATANPUR(ETAH) UNDER GRAMIN BANK OF ARYAVART
11.	83/2014	KULDEEP SINGH	JANUARY 2008	01-07-2012	HATHODA (KASGANJ) UNDER SHREYAS GRAMIN BANK
12.	89/2013	VIRENDRA KR. JAIN	26-03-2010	01-01-2013	JALESER CITIY UNDER SHREYAS GRAMIN BANK
13.	111/2013	RAM NARESH	10-08-1999	01-07-2012	VIRAMPUR, UNDER ETAH GRAMIN BANK
14.	82/2013	HARI OM TAD SAT	1995	01-08-2012	JALESHAR MAIN BRANCH UNDER ETAH GRAMIN BANK, ATTAH
15.	95/2013	NARENDRA PAL SINGH	1990	01-07-2012	SARAWAL UNDER ATTAH GRAMIN BANK
16.	88/2013	MANOJ KUMAR	1997	01-08-2012	ETAH GRAMIN BANK, ATTAH
17.	98/2013	DEEPAK KUMAR	24-04-2K	1-01-2013	DARIYA GANJ, DIST-KASHGANJ UNDER ETAH GRAMIN BANK
18.	100/2013	TARSEM KUMAR	01-01-1992	01-01-2013	RAJA KA RAM PUR (ETAH GRAMIN BANK)

19.	96/2013	MOHAR MAN SINGH	NIL	01-08-2012	MALAWAN UNDER ETAH GRAMIN BANK
20.	81/2013	MANOJ KUMAR	1997	01-08-2012	JALESHAR CITY UNDER ETAH GRAMIN BANK
21	139/2013	RAGHVENDRA SINGH	JANUARY 2010	01-01-2013	PATIALI BRANCH UNDER SHREYAS GRAMIN BANK
22	138/2013	RAMPRAKASH	APRIL 1986	1-1-2013	SIKAHRA UNDER ETAH GRAMIN BANK
23.	47/2013	OM VEER SINGH	1-4-2001	1-8-2012	NIDHAULI KALAWN UNDER ETAH GRAMIN BANK
24.	84/2013	SATISH KUMAR	1-1-1993	1-8-2012	AWAGARH, ETAH UNDER ETAH GRAMIN BANK
25.	87/2013	ROOP KISHORE	JULY 2003	01-08-2012	NAGARIA BRANCH UNDER ETAH GRAMIN BANK
26.	85/2013	AMAR BABU	JANUARY 2008	1-8-2012	JIRSAMI(ETAH) UNDER SHREYAS GRAMIN BANK
27.	90/2013	PRADEEP KR. JAIN	1992	1-1-2010	SAKRAULI (ETAH) UNDER ETAH GRAMIN BANK

30. In the claim petition filed by each workman it is alleged that on 01.04.2014 Shreyas Gramin Bank and Aryavart Regional Gamin Bank were amalgamated with each other and Gramin Bank of Aryavart was constituted of which Head Office is situated at A-2/46, Vijay Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow. It is also alleged that prior to 01.04.13, in the month of June 2006, Aligarh Gramin Bank, Etah Gramin Bank and Jamuna Gramin Bank were amalgamated with each other and in its place Shreyas Gramin Bank was constituted.

31. It is further alleged that the then branch managers of the branches mentioned above, to run effectively the working of the branch and with permission of senior officers of the bank had appointed the workers as temporary daily rated employee / messenger (coolie), but no appointment letters were issued to the concerned workmen by the management. The entire workers under the instructions of the branch managers had worked for whole month and their work and conduct ever remained satisfactory. It is further alleged by all the workmen that despite performing work for whole month, they had been paid wages only for 10 days and all the workmen were being assured by the then branch managers of the respective branches that in case they continue to work in the branch, their services will be regularized at the post of permanent messenger. Workers have further stated that believing the assurances given by the employer they continued to work in the respective branches of the bank. It is further pleaded that all the workers were also used to be paid bonus according to Rules. One fine morning suddenly by oral order of the then branch managers of respective branches, the services of all the workers were terminated (as per date mentioned in the chart as above) in gross violation of the provisions of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, by adopting Unfair Labor Practice as also ignoring their length of service. It is further pleaded by all the workers that from the facts and circumstances herein above it is established that they were removed from the services of the bank on an arbitrary and whimsical considerations without following the legal provisions of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

32. Lastly it is prayed by each worker that they be reinstated in the service of the bank at the post of messenger with full back wages and further all of them be regularized in the service of bank.

33. It is pertinent to mention here that all the workers have also filed a copy of Public Notice dated 01.04.2013, issued by the Head office of Aryavart Gramin Bank, Luckow, which, inter-alia, provide amalgamation of Regional Rural Banks (RRBs) sponsored by Bank of India and Canara Bank into a single larger RRB in the State of Uttar Pradesh. It further provides that by Notification No. F.No.719/2011-RRB(UP-1) dated 01.04.2013, Government of India, in the exercise of powers under sub section (1) of section 23(A) of the Regional Rural Banks Act 1976 (21) in consultation with National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Bank of India and Canara Bank being the sponsor's bank of 1) Aryavart Kshertiya Garmin Bank and 2) Shreyas Gramin Bank, referred to as Regional Rural Banks (RRBs) has in public interest and in the interest of development of the area served by the aforesaid Regional Bank and also in the interest of development of the area served by the aforesaid Regional Rural Banks and also in the interest of the said Regional Rural Banks themselves notified amalgamation of the two Regional Rural Banks into a single Regional Rural Bank with effect from the date of publication of the said Notification in the official Gazette of Government of India.

34. It has further been stated by the Opposite Party that therefore, from the above notification which is a public document, it is established that the Shreyas Gramin Bank stands eliminated and after amalgamation, in its

place Gramin Bank of Aryavart has been legally placed and all the workers would be deemed to have been appointed by the Gramin Bank of Aryavat, Lucknow at their respective branches.

35. Management has filed a common reply in each case wherein it is admitted by the management that Shreyas Gramin Bank had been amalgamated with Gramin Bank of Aryavart by notification ref.No. F.No. 7/9/2011 RRB (U.P.1) dated 01.04.2013.

36. Management has further alleged that appointment and promotions in the respondent bank are made as per Government of India guidelines and prevailing rules in the Bank are also framed by Government of India in exercise of powers conferred by section 29 read with section 17 of the RRB Act, 1976 and known as (RRB Appointment and Promotion Rules, 1998).

37. It is further alleged that none of the applicants were ever appointed to any substantive post in the bank by following the procedure laid down by the Government of India. All the workers were engaged as Coolie in the Branches of the Bank and Coolie is a person who is engaged by the branch Manager on the emergent need of work and on day to day basis in case of need of the branch. That none of the workers have worked for whole month and the coolie charges have been paid to the petitioners on day to day basis, who were engaged on emergent basis. Coolie Charges have been paid to the workers by charging the amount from Contingency Charges by the respective branch managers on the basis of actual number of working days. It is also denied by the bank that it had ever paid any salary or wages to the workers and that the "**Coolie Charges"** does not come within the definition of wages.

38. It is also denied by the management that there ever existed the relationship of master and servant between the Gramin Bank of Aryavat and the workman and that they had never been on the rolls of the bank as they were not in the service of the bank. It is also pleaded that none of the worker had ever marked his attendance in the attendance register. None of the worker had ever held any regular and permanent post in the bank. Bank had never issued any appointment letter to any of the workman involved in the present case. It is also denied by the management that any of the workman had worked for 240 days of continuous service during the period of 12 calendar months preceding the date of their respective termination of services. All the workmen were engaged on day to day basis and that such engagement came to an end at the end of the day. The management had never terminated the services of the workmen as mentioned in the present reference order, therefore, the present reference on this ground alone, is without jurisdiction, bad in law and not maintainable under the provisions of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The service conditions of the bank employee do not apply to any of the workmen. Recruitment in the bank is done as per the set guidelines of the Government and accordingly candidates are sponsored by the Regional Employment Exchange, thereafter, they are subjected to written test and interview and only those candidates are appointed by offering them appointment letter in the service of the bank who have been declared successful. It is also pleaded that all the workmen are trying to enter into the service of the bank through back door entry by misusing and abusing the powers of this Tribunal. The persons engaged in emergent need on casual or daily rate basis are not covered by the "Bank Awards and Settlements". It is denied by the management that at any point of time it had contravened any of the provisions of the Act. The selection and appointment against regular and permanent post is the prerogative of the competent authority of the bank and a person who had never been recruited on regular basis had no right to assail the action of the appointing authority. The bank had never retrenched or terminated the services of the workmen at any point of time by oral or written orders, hence no industrial dispute exists between the contesting parties. It is also pleaded that none of the worker is covered by the definition of Workman as defined u/s 2(s) of the Act as also the management is not an Employer as defined under section 2(g) of the Act. That the workmen of the instant case prior to raising of the present dispute had also approached the Hon'ble High Court Allahabad with same prayer as has been prayed in their respective claim petitions but their writ petition was dismissed by order dated 01.03.2012, hence the decision of the Hon'ble Court is binding in the present case also.

39. On merit of the case It is admitted by the bank that the petitioners were engaged for the work of coolie in the branch of the Bank and Coolie is a person who is engaged by the Branch Manager on the emergent requirement and on day to day basis in case of any need at the branch. None of the worker was ever appointed as Daily Rated Messenger (Coolie) in the branch. Since there was no regular vacancy in the branch, therefore, the question of appointment on the post of Daily Rated Messenger (Coolie) in the bank does not arise at all. None of the worker had produced formal letter of their appointment in the bank and all of them are perhaps trying to seek back door entry in the service of the bank. It is also denied by the bank that at any point of time the bank had adopted any unfair labor practice with regard to the workmen.

40. The management on the basis of above pleadings has prayed that the present claim of the respective workers is devoid of merit and is therefore, liable to be rejected in lim-ne. It is also prayed that none of the workman is entitled for the relief claimed by them.

41. Rejoinder statements have also been filed by each of the workmen but therein nothing new has been pleaded except reiterating of the facts already pleaded in their respective claim petitions.

42. It is relevant to mention here that by order dated 17.02.16, industrial dispute case nos 138, 139 of 13 and 83/14 were further consolidated with Industrial Dispute No. 41 of 13 which was made the leading case.

43. The management by application dated 02.11.2015 which is paper no. 14/1 has filed photocopy of order dated 20.09.2011 passed in Writ A No.-53798 of 2011 and order dated 01.03.2013 passed in Writ A No. 11728 of 2012 passed by Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad.

44. It is pertinent to mention here that by order dated 27.05.15, this tribunal partly allowed the application for summoning of documents from the management and the management was directed to produce Vouchers, Attendance Register, Salary Register, Dispatch Register (Tappal) as these documents are relevant for deciding the case.

45. In the instant case on behalf of the workmen S/Sri Udaiveer Singh in I.D. No.109 of 2013, has examined himself as w.w.1, Virendra Kumar Jain in I. D. Case No. 89 of 2013, has examined himself as w.w. 2, Ram Naresh in I.D. No. 111 of 2013, has examined himself as w.w.3, Pushpendra Kumar in I. D. No. 43 of 2013, has examined himself as w.w.4 and Sri Hariom Tatsat in I.D. No. 82 of 2013 has examined himself as w.w.5, and all of them have filed their evidence on affidavit. They have also filed certain photocopies of the documents like payment vouchers and dispatch registers which have been duly verified by the bank.

46. In his affidavit evidence W.W.1 Sri Udaiveer Singh has stated nothing new except reiterating the statement made by him in his claim petition. He has filed photocopies of payment vouchers dated 01.08.11, 01.09.11, 01.10.11, 31.10.11, 01.12.11, 18.01.12, 02.02.12, 02.03.12, 31.03.12, 04.05.12, 02.06.12, 07.07.12 and 13.09.12 in support of his claim and all these payment vouchers are marked as paper no. 15/4 to15/17. All these documents are duly verified by the bank. Beside the witness has also filed photocopies of dispatch register paper no. 15/19 to 15/68.

47. This witness in his cross examination has categorically admitted that he was engaged by the branch manager on need basis as Coolie. He admitted that he was used to be paid his wages by the bank from contingency through voucher. Witness has further admitted the fact that he was never issued any appointment letter from the bank and he also expressed his ignorance as to whether or not such letter is available on the record of the case. He has submitted that his attendance was marked and the record showing that he had rendered 240 days of continuous service is available on the file. He further asserted that he was removed from the service in the month of June 2012, but he does not remember the exact date on which his services were dispensed with by the bank.

48. In his affidavit evidence workman W.W.2, Virendra Kumar Jain has deposed that on 26.03.2010, the then Shreyas Gramin Bank (now known as Gramin Bank of Aryavart) had opened its new Branch at Firozabad and at that time no person was appointed on the post of Messenger on permanent basis. The then Branch Manager after approval of his senior officers had appointed him on the post of Messenger (Coolie). He performed the duties of messenger with full devotion. Worker has further given details of payment of monthly salary during the period March 2010 to June 2012, and last payment of salary was at Rs.4275/- in the month of June 2012. He has further deposed that the bank used to utilize his services outside the branch and that with effect from 30.06.2012 suddenly he was removed from the service of the bank in an arbitrary manner without making compliance of the mandatory provisions of the Act. He has further deposed that he is unemployed.

49. The witness W.W.2 in support of the above assertions has filed photocopy of payment vouchers duly verified by the Senior Manager, City Branch, Firozabad, Gramin Bank of Aryavart and these payment vouchers are dated 01.09.11, paper no. 14/6, 29.09.11, paper no. 14/7, 01.11.2011, paper no. 14/8, 01.12.11, paper no. 14/9, 31.12.11, paper no. 14/10, 01.02.12, paper no. 14/11, 29.02.12, paper no.14/12, 31.03.12, paper no, 14/13, 01.05.12, paper no. 14/14 and 01.06.2012, paper no. 14/15 and 30.06.12, paper no.14/16. Apart from above, the worker has also filed certain photocopies of letters of the branch manager addressed to Regional Office of the Bank at Agra purported to have been sent through the workman over which the branch manager had verified his signatures. These documents are paper no. 14/17 to 14/20. He has further filed photocopies of dispatch register duly verified by the bank which are paper no. 14/21 to 14/69.

50. In his cross examination the worker has admitted that he was engaged as Coolie by the branch manager on need basis. He was not paid his wages on daily wages rather wages were paid to him on monthly basis through vouchers. Sometimes bank used to pay him wages 1800/- per month and sometimes Rs.2600/-. He admitted the fact that he was not issued any appointment letter by the bank and that he was not used to mark his attendance in the register in the branch. He further admitted that the signatures appearing on paper no. 14/6 to 14/16 which are payment vouchers are not his signatures. It is correct that there is no document on the record to show that he had rendered continuous service of 240 days but he was paid through vouchers and lastly he has submitted that the bank dispensed with his services on 12.06.2012.

51. Workman Ram Naresh in I.D. Case No. 111/2013 has examined himself as W.W.3 and has filed his evidence on affidavit in which he has deposed that on 10.8.99 he was engaged as Part Time Sweeper by the then Branch Manager, Etah Gramin Bank (now known as Gramin Bank of Aryavart). He has further deposed that the bank had paid him wages and bonus as per rules. By an oral order he was transferred to Muhiuddin

Branch of the Bank as the regular part time sweeper posted at that branch was transferred to Regional Office, Etah. Witness has further stated that he was working continuously from 12.08.11. Witness has further given details of payment of wages for the period September 2011 to June 2012. Apart from above statement, the witness has reiterated the allegations made by him in his petition.

52. W.W.3 in his cross examination has admitted the fact that the bank had engaged him on the post of Coolie on need basis. He was being paid his wages directly through the branch manager and that monthly wages were paid to him through voucher. He has further admitted that he was not issued any appointment letter by the bank. The voucher that are filed along with his petition do not bear his signatures. Witness has stated that his attendance was marked in the branch but he has not filed any record showing that he had worked continuously for 240 days. Lastly the witness has stated that he was removed from the service of the bank in the month of June 2012, but he does not remember the actual date of his termination from the service.

53. This witness along with his affidavit evidence has also filed photocopies of dispatch register duly verified by the bank, but the witness has not whispered even a single word that the writing appearing on the register is of his own hand writing and that these documents also do not disclose the fact that actually it was in his name or documents bear the name of the witness. The documents filed by the witness are paper no.13/16 to 13/22.

54. Lastly worker Pushpendra Kumar has examined himself as W.W.5 and has filed his affidavit in his evidence. He has also filed photocopies of payment vouchers which are paper no. 12/5 to 12/13 and photocopies of dispatch register paper no. 12/14 to 12/31. All these documents have been duly verified by the bank.

55. W.W.5 in his evidence has reiterated the same facts which have been pleaded by him in his claim petition therefore, there is no need to detail those facts again.

56. In his cross examination the above witness has admitted that it is correct that he was engaged as Coolie by the branch manager. Witness has further admitted that his wages was directly transferred in his account by the bank and this fact has not been mentioned by him in his affidavit. He was not given any appointment letter by the bank. The vouchers that are filed along with his affidavit do not bear his signatures. His attendance was not recorded by the bank and he has not filed any record showing that he had worked for 240 days continuously in the preceding year. Witness has further deposed that he was removed from the service of the bank in the month of June 12 without making any payment. Witness again stated that he was paid bonus by the bank after June 2012 but exact amount of bonus is not known to him. Witness has lastly stated that no record has been filed by him relating to the bonus paid to him by the bank.

57. On the contrary, the bank has examined Shri Rakesh Kumar as M.W.1, who has filed his affidavit in evidence. This witness has stated that presently he is posted as Manager Gramin Bank of Aryavart, Branch Punhera, District Etah. M.W.1 in his evidence has further stated that Gramin Bank of Aryavart is a Regional Rural Bank established under the provision of Section 3 of Regional Rural Bank Act, 1976, and as per the provision of the Act, Regional Rural Bank shall in discharge of its function be guided by such direction in regard to matter of Policy as the Central Government may give or make in this behalf. This witness goes on to state that appointments and promotions in the bank are made as per Government of India guidelines and prevailing Rules in the Bank are also framed by Government of India in exercise of powers conferred by section 29 read with section 17 of the Regional Rural Bank Act, 1976 and now known as (RRB APPOINTMENT 7 PROMOTION RULES 1998). Witness further states that the applicant Jagdish Singh and other applicants were never appointed to any substantive post in the bank by following the procedures laid down by Central Government under the said Appointment & Promotions Rules, 1998. Applicant Jagdish Singh and other applicants were engaged as Coolie in the branch of the Bank; Coolie is a person who is engaged by the Branch Manager on the emergent need of work and on Day to Day basis. It is further stated by the witness that none of the workers involved in the case had ever worked as a Coolie for whole month in the branch and Coolie charge have been paid to the workers on Day-to Day basis who were engaged on the emergent requirement of the Branch. Coolie charges have been paid to the workers by drawing the amounts from Contingency Charges and that bank had never paid any wages to the concerned workmen. There exists no relationship of Master and Servant between the bank and the concerned workmen. Concerned workmen had never been on the rolls of the bank as they were not in the service of the bank and that none of the concerned workmen had ever marked their attendance in the bank and that none of the worker had ever held any Regular and Permanent post in the bank. Bank had never issued any appointment letter to the concerned workmen in any cadre whatsoever. It is also denied that any of the concerned workman had continuously worked for 240 days during the period of 12 calendar months preceding the date of their alleged respective termination. Bank had never terminated the services of the concerned workmen. Service Conditions applicable on the regular and permanent employees of the bank are not applicable on the concerned workmen; hence they are not entitled to get any benefits or facilities like regular and permanent employees of the Bank. Name of none of the concerned workmen had ever been sponsored through the Employment Exchange or had any one of them ever been subjected to any written test or interview by the bank. Lastly it is stated by the witness in his affidavit that there is no regular and permanent vacancy in the bank against which

the concerned claimants are claiming their reinstatement or permanent regularization in the bank, therefore, none of the claimants have any lien or right on any regular and permanent post.

58. In his cross examination M.W.1 has stated that in the year 1983 he was appointed as an officer in the head office of Etah Gramin Bank, Etah. Witness has denied the suggestion as to whether it is correct that Officers and Employees are appointed under Appointment & Promotion Rules 1998. Witness has stated that Coolie is appointed as per need basis. After going through the document paper no.15/5, the witness has stated that it is a payment voucher with regard to whosoever is appointed as Coolie and bears the signature of the officer of the branch i.e. Branch Manager and payment has been mentioned for 26 days. Except holidays, the branch continues to work for whole month. Similarly the witness after going through paper no.15/17 has stated that the payment had been made to Coolie but it does not contain the name of the Coolie. Witness has further admitted that he had appointed a person by name Devendra Jain as Coolie and that he does not remember as to whether amongst 28 concerned workmen any of them had been appointed or not. Witness has expressed his complete ignorance about the 28 concerned workmen and whatever has been deposed by him in his affidavit is correct, but complete whereabouts about the 28 concerned workmen is not known to him. Witness has further shown his ignorance about the fact that Jagdish or other concerned workers have worked for 240 days or not. Whatever payment vouchers have been filed on the record of the court file is correct. The witness has denied the suggestion that concerned workers who are alleged to have been removed from the service of the bank on 30.06.12 have been given any notice or retrenchment compensation. It is not in his knowledge as to whether concerned workmen were given bonus or not in the year 2013. It is not in his knowledge that in the year 2013 workman Jagdish was paid bonus or not likewise he has no knowledge that in City Branch of Firozabad except Coolie there was any regular messenger.

59. I have heard the arguments of both the learned representatives for the parties at length and have given my anxious considerations to the documents and evidence led by the parties.

60. The learned representative for the workmen has mainly argued that the concerned workers were appointed as messenger in the bank by the respective Branch Managers as Messenger/Coolie after seeking the approval of the senior officers of the Bank for doing the regular and permanent work of the bank. The concerned workmen were used to be paid their wages month wise and all the concerned workers have continued to serve the bank on the assurances given by the officers of the bank that their services will be regularized as Messenger in the Branch, but suddenly under the oral order of the Branch Manager their services were dispensed with from the date mentioned in the reference order without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, in utter violation of the principle of natural justice. It is also argued that the bank had neither given any notice nor retrenchment compensation to the concerned workmen at the time of dispensation of their services, therefore, they are entitled to be reinstated in the service of the bank at the post of messenger with full back wages and continuity of service.

61. On the contrary the representative for the management has advanced his arguments submitting that none of the concerned worker had ever been appointed by the bank in any capacity whatsoever; none of the concerned worker had ever been paid wages by the bank; no appointment letter has been issued to any of the worker; concerned workers have never been in the regular and permanent employment of the bank; there existed no relationship of Employer and Employee between the Bank and the concerned workers; none of the worker had ever completed 240 days of continuous service in the bank preceding 12 calendar year from the date of their respective termination of the service; the concerned workers have no lien or right against any regular and permanent post as none of them had ever been subjected to selection process prescribed under Rules of the Bank, hence in the eye of law the relief claimed by the concerned workers is not liable to be allowed and the entire claim of the concerned workers are liable to be rejected.

62. Considering the rival contention of the contesting parties it has become essential that the Tribunal should first examine the fact as to whether the concerned workers have completed 240 days of continuous service preceding 12 calendar months from the date of their alleged termination as mentioned in the respective reference order.

63. Weighing the oral as well as documentary evidence adduced by the concerned worker it is abundantly clear that the concerned workers with one voice have asserted in their oral evidence that they have completed 240 days of continuous service in a year and in support thereof have also filed photocopies of the payment vouchers which are duly verified by the bank. By a careful examination of these payment vouchers, it is quite evident that in the payment vouchers the name of the workers have not been mentioned and even each witness from the side of worker has admitted that these payment vouchers do not bear their signatures. Therefore, if it is so, how the statement of the witnesses appeared from the side of the concerned workmen could be believed. Even photocopies of dispatch register filed by the witnesses in support of their claim do not make it clear that by whom the entries therein have been made and thus these documents are of no help to the concerned workers and it is not established that the concerned workers have completed 240 days of continuous service in 12 calendar months preceding the date of their respective termination of service.

64. Management witness has categorically asserted in his evidence that no appointment letter was issued to the concerned workers, none of the concerned worker had ever worked continuously for 240 days, none of the worker had either been issued any appointment letter or had been paid regular wages from the bank. Therefore from the evidence of the management witness it stands proved that none of the concerned worker was ever remained in the employment of the bank or the bank had ever paid regular wages or salary to the concerned workers.

65. It is also proved from the oral as well as documentary evidence available on the record of the case that there never existed relationship of Employer and Employee between the Bank and the concerned workers and it is also established from the evidence of the parties that none of the worker had worked continuously for 240 days preceding 12 calendar months from the date of their respective termination.

66. Needless to mention that it would be futile exercise to examine as to whether any notice or retrenchment compensation was paid to the concerned workers at the time of dispensation of their services as none of the concerned worker have specifically pleaded in their claim petition that they worked continuously for 240 days, neither it has been proved.

67. Having concluded that none of the worker had worked continuously for 240 days in the bank, they are not entitled for any relief as claimed by them in their respective claim petition.

68. Representative for the worker has placed reliance on the following Rulings:-

    a) AIR 1982 SC 854 in the case of L.Robert D' souza vs Executive Engineer.

    b) 1989 LAB IC 623 (PATNA HIGH COURT) IN THE CASE OF STATE BANK OF INDIA VERSUS UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS.

    c) AIR 2011 SUPREME COURT 2532 IN THE CASE OF DEVINDER SINGH VS MUNICIPAL COUNCIL SANAUR.

69. The rulings relied by the representative for the worker are distinguishable on facts and law both and they are not helpful to the concerned workers particularly when it has been held that they have not been able to prove that they remained in continuous employment of the bank for 240 days preceding 12 calendar months.

70. The representative for the management has also relied upon the following case laws –

    1. FLR 2007 (112) 345 in the case of State of M.P. and others vs Lalit Kumar Verma.

    2. FLR 2007 (112) Indian Drugs & Pharma Ltd. Vs Workman IDPL S.C.

    3. 2006 (110) FLR 803 Manager (Now Regional Director) RBI & Gopi Nath Sharma and others.

    4. 2006 (109) FLR 237, Allahabad High Court in the case of Sarjan Kumar vs Central Bank of India and others.

71. The above case laws have been considered by me. and I am of the opinion that the preposition of law laid down in the above cited cases fully applies to the facts and circumstances of the case in hand and on the basis of the same it is once again held that none of the concerned worker are entitled for any relief. After having heard the arguments of both the parties at length, on scanning of the record and evidence available before the tribunal, it is inferred that none of the concerned workmen is entitled to any relief.

72. In view of above discussions, the award is adjudicated accordingly.

RAKESH KUMAR, Presiding Officer

Date: 10.04.2019

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 659.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मेसर्स सिंगारेनी कोलियरीज कंपनी लिमिटेड के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण–सह–श्रम न्यायालय, हैदराबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. एल सी 6/2011) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 18/04/.2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल–22013/01/2019–आईआर (सी.एम.-II)]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 659.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. LC 6/2011) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s Singareni Collieries Company Ltd. and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 18/04/2019.

[No. L-22013/01/2019-IR(CM-II)]

RAJENDER SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT AT HYDERABAD

Present: Sri Muralidhar Pradhan**,** Presiding Officer

Dated the 27th day of February, 2019

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE L.C.No. 6/2011

Between:

Sri Kola Rajesham,
S/o Rajaposham,
C/o Smt. A. Sarojana,
Advocate, Flat No.G7,
Rajeshwari Gayatri Sadan,
Opp: Badruka Jr. College for Girls,
Kachiguda, Hyderabad . ...Petitioner

AND

1. The Chief General Manager,
M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd.,
Ramagundam Area-I,
Karimnagar District.
3. The Superintendent of Mines,
M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd.,
GDK No.2A incline, Ramagundam Area-I,
Karimnagar District. …Respondents

Appearances:

For the Petitioner : M/s. A. Sarojana & K. Vasudeva Reddy, Advocates

For the Respondent : Sri S.M. Subhani, Advocate

AWARD

Sri Kola Rajesham who worked as Badli Filler (who will be referred to as the workman) has filed this petition under Sec. 2A(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 against the Respondents M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., seeking for declaring the proceeding No. RG.1/PER/S/46/3494 dated 10.6.2008 issued by Respondent No.1 as illegal, arbitrary and to set aside the same consequently directing the Respondents to reinstate the Petitioner into service duly granting all the consequential benefits such as continuity of service, back wages and all other attendant benefits etc., and such other reliefs as this court may deems fit.

2. The averments made in the petition in brief are as follows:

The Petitioner was appointed as Badli Filler w.e.f. 18.2.1997and later he was converted as coal filler in the year 2005. But during the year 2006 the Petitioner suffered with ill-health and faced other family problems like, suffering of his father with heart stroke and his elder brother met with an accident, as a result of which, he could not be regular to his duties. While the matters stood thus, one charge sheet dated 26.3.2007 was issued to the Petitioner by the Respondents alleging that the Petitioner absented for duty during the year 2006 which amounts to misconduct under company's Standing Order No.25.25. Subsequently, one inquiry was conducted and during the time of the enquiry, the Petitioner was not given any opportunity much less valid in nature to put forth his grievances. Basing on such lopsided enquiry, the Enquiry Officer held the charges as proved and basing on the erroneous findings of the Enquiry Officer, the Petitioner was dismissed from service vide order dated 10.6.2008. It is stated that during the course of the enquiry the Petitioner has categorically stated about his inability to perform his duties regularly during the above said period as it was only on account of his ill-health and some family problems. But without considering any of his submissions, the

Petitioner was dismissed from service. It is also stated that the action of the Respondents' management in dismissing the Petitioner from service is wholly illegal, arbitrary, violative of the principles of natural justice. The Petitioner has rendered about 11 years of continuous service in the Respondents' management. The Petitioner approached the Respondents to consider his case sympathetically, but the management did not pay any heed to it. Therefore, the Petitioner was constrained to approach this Tribunal to declare the impugned order No. RG.1/PER/S/46/3494 dated 10.6.2008 issued by Respondent No.1 is illegal and arbitrary and to set aside the same and consequently to direct the Respondents to reinstate the Petitioner into service duly granting all other attendant benefits such as continuity of service, back wages etc..

3. The Respondents filed counter denying the averments made in the petition, with the averments in brief which runs as follows:

In the counter the Respondents while admitting some of the factual aspects to be true, stated that the Petitioner was appointed in the Respondents' company on 21.2.1997 as Badli Filler and later he was appointed as Coal Filler with effect from 1.8.2006. He was dismissed from service on proved charges of absenteeism, after conducting a detailed domestic enquiry duly following the principles of natural justice. The Petitioner has attended the dates fixed for the enquiry and had fully participated in the enquiry. He was given full, fair and reasonable opportunity to defend himself in the enquiry. The enquiry was conducted purely following the principles of natural justice. It is stated that basing on the evidence adduced before the Enquiry Officer, he submitted his report holding the charges levelled against the Petitioner was proved. A copy of the enquiry report and the enquiry proceeding was sent to the Petitioner by way of show cause notice giving him an opportunity to make representation against the findings of the enquiry report; since the charge levelled against the Petitioner is proved and it was serious in nature, punishment warranted was dismissal from service. The Disciplinary Authority has gone through the enquiry proceeding and his past record and found that there was no extenuating circumstances to take a lenient view and lastly, the Respondents were constrained to dismiss the Petitioner from service. It is stated that in fact the Petitioner was irregular to his duties and he did not improve his attendance even after issuing charge sheet to him, and after receiving the show cause notice. It is further stated that the punishment imposed on the Petitioner is justified and legal and as such the claim petition is liable to be dismissed in limini.

4. The domestic enquiry conducted in this case by the Respondents is held as legal and valid vide order dated 16.6.2017.

5. Both the parties have advanced their arguments under Sec.11(A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, in support of their claim.

6. In view of the above facts, the points for determination are:

I. Whether the action of the management of M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., in imposing the punishment of dismissal from service to Sri Kola Rajesham is legal and justified?

II. Whether the Petitioner is entitled for reinstatement into service?

III. If not, to what other relief he is entitled?

7. **Point No.I**: During the course of argument, the Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner submitted that due to his illness, and heart stroke to his father and accident to his brother and other family problems, the Petitioner could not be able to attend his duty sincerely. Even in his show cause the Petitioner has mentioned the above fact, but it has not been considered during the course of the enquiry and on account of absenteeism capital punishment of dismissal from service was imposed on the Petitioner. When the Petitioner has taken a stand that due to his illness, and other family problems he could not be able to attend his duties regularly and remained absent, the authority should have considered his case while imposing punishment. The authority has not considered any of the submissions of the Petitioner, and has given capital punishment to the Petitioner when several modes of punishment are enumerated in the company's Standing Orders.

8. On the other hand, the Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondents submitted that when the Petitioner was a chronic absentee and was found guilty in the charges levelled against him, the punishment imposed by the Respondents' company is legal and proper. When the Petitioner was not sincere in his duty and failed to maintain minimum musters in a year he is not entitled to be reinstated in service.

9. Admittedly, working in the Mines is hazardous and remaining absent is not unusual. In this case, due to his illness, and other family problems, the Petitioner could not be able to be regular in his duty, the Petitioner has remained absent in his duties and a proceeding was initiated against him for his absenteeism followed by an enquiry. In the enquiry, the charges levelled against the Petitioner were proved. For this, capital punishment was imposed. After dismissal of service, the Petitioner has become jobless and unable to provide a square meal to his family members. He has already realised his mistake and has taken shelter in the court at the age of 41 years, he is now aged about 48 years and is searching ways and means to provide bread and butter to his family members. When the Petitioner being an able bodied and energetic man has already realised his mistake and is coming forward to work under the Respondents, atleast one chance should be given to him for reinstatement into service. Admittedly several

modes of punishment are enumerated in company's Standing Orders. Though the Petitioner is not a first offender but has worked for about 11 years under the Respondents. While imposing capital punishment to his employees, the management should think of the condition of the workers as well as his family members. In this case, the punishment imposed by the Respondents for dismissal of service is too harsh. Therefore, it can safely be stated that the action taken by the management in imposing the punishment of dismissal from service to Sri Kola Rajesham is not legal and justified.

Thus, Point No.I is answered accordingly.

10. **Point Nos. II & III**: In Point No. I, it has already been discussed that the punishment of dismissal from service to Sri Kola Rajesham is not legal and justified. After dismissal of service as stated earlier, when the Petitioner has already realised his mistake and has come to the court with a prayer for his reinstatement into service he should be given a chance to serve for his family members. After dismissal of service the Petitioner has become jobless and he being the sole bread earner of his family, is unable to provide a square meal to his family members. In such a circumstances atleast the Petitioner should be given a chance to maintain his livelihood and to work under the Respondents' management. But in this case, the Petitioner has not come to the court soon after his dismissal of service. Therefore, in the opinion of this Tribunal the Petitioner is not entitled to get all the relief as claimed in his claim petition. But he is only entitled to be given a chance to work in the Respondents' management.

Thus, Point Nos. II & III are answered accordingly.

ORDER

Proceeding No. RG.1/PER/S/46/3494 dated 10.6.2008 issued by the 1st Respondent is declared as illegal and is hereby set aside. It is ordered that the workman Sri Kola Rajesham be taken into service as a fresh employee i.e., a Badli Filler on initial basic pay without back wages and continuity of service, subject to medical fitness by the company Medical Board and the workman be kept under probation for a period of one year. The management is also directed to take an undertaking of good behaviour from the workman at the time of his posting.

The Workman can not claim for his posting in the same place, where he was last employed. The workman shall have to maintain either minimum mandatory 20 musters every month or 190 musters in a year and the management shall have the right to review the work of the workman in every three months. In the event of any short fall of attendance during the period of the three months, the service of the workman will not be terminated and he will be cautioned to improve his performance by issuing him a warning letter. However, in the event of any shortfall of attendance during one year of service of the workman, he will be terminated from service without any further notice and enquiry, and in case the workman completes one year of probation period successfully he will continue in service till the age of his attaining superannuation. The management shall consider any forced absenteeism on account of Mine accidents/Natural disasters, taking treatment in the company's hospital, as attendance. All other usual terms and conditions of appointment will be applicable i.e., transfer, hours of work, day of rest, holidays etc.. to the workman for appointment afresh.

Award is passed accordingly. Transmit.

Typed to my dictation by Smt. P. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant and corrected by me on this the 27th day of February, 2019.

MURALIDHAR PRADHAN, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for the Petitioner:	Witnesses examined for the Respondent:
NIL	NIL

Documents marked for the Petitioner

NIL

Documents marked for the Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 660.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मेसर्स सिंगारेनी कोलियरीज कंपनी लिमिटेड के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण—सह—श्रम न्यायालय, हैदराबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. एल सी 7/2011) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 18/04/.2019 को प्राप्त हुए थे।

[सं. एल—22013/01/2019—आईआर (सी.एम.-II)]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 660.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. LC 7/2011) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s Singareni Collieries Company Ltd. and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 18/04/2019.

[No. L-22013/01/2019-IR(CM-II)]

RAJENDER SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT AT HYDERABAD

Present: Sri Muralidhar Pradhan, Presiding Officer

Dated the 26th day of February, 2019

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE L.C.No. 7/2011

Between:

Sri Sk. Shareef Pasha,
S/o Hussain Baba,
C/o Smt. A. Sarojana,
Advocate, Flat No.G7,
Rajeshwari Gayatri Sadan,
Opp: Badruka Jr. College for Girls,
Kachiguda, Hyderabad .

…Petitioner

AND

1. The Director (P.A. & W),
M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd.,
Kothagudem. Khammam District.

2. The General Manager,
M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd.,
Mandamarri Area, Mandamarri.
Adilabad District.

3. The Colliery Manager,
M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd.,
Kasipet Mine, Mandamarri Area,
Mandamarri. Adilabad District. … Respondents

Appearances:

For the Petitioner : M/s. A. Sarojana & K. Vasudeva Reddy, Advocates

For the Respondent : Sri S.M. Subhani, Advocate

AWARD

Sri SK. Shareef Pasha who worked as Badli Filler (who will be referred to as the workman) has filed this petition under Sec. 2A(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 against the Respondents M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., seeking for declaring the proceeding No. MMR/PER/D/072/10/2982 dated 26.5.2010 issued by the 2nd respondent and the impugned order No.CRP/PER/IR/D/90/2050 dated 15.11.2010 issued by Respondent No.1 as illegal, arbitrary and to set aside the same consequently directing the Respondents to reinstate the Petitioner into service duly granting all the consequential benefits such as continuity of service, back wages and all other attendant benefits etc., and such other reliefs as this court may deems fit.

2. **The averments made in the petition in brief are as follows**:

The Petitioner was appointed as Badli Filler w.e.f. 24.3.2007. But during the year 2008 the Petitioner suffered with ill-health as his left leg was burnt and faced other family problems as a result of which, he could not be regular to his duties, as the injuries could not be cured for long time. While the matters stood thus, one charge sheet dated 10.1.2009 was issued to the Petitioner by the Respondents alleging that the Petitioner absented for duty during the year 2008 which amounts to misconduct under company's Standing Order No.25.25. Subsequently, one inquiry was

conducted and during the time of the enquiry, the Petitioner was not given any opportunity much less valid in nature to put forth his grievances. Basing on such lopsided enquiry, the Enquiry Officer held the charges as proved and basing on the erroneous findings of the Enquiry Officer, the Petitioner was dismissed from service vide order dated 26.5.2010 with effect from 16.6.2010. It is stated that during the course of the enquiry the Petitioner has categorically stated about his inability to perform his duties regularly during the above said period as it was only on account of his ill-health and some family problems. But without considering any of his submissions, the Petitioner was dismissed from service. It is also stated that the action of the Respondents management in dismissing the Petitioner from service is wholly illegal, arbitrary,violative of the principles of natural justice. The Petitioner has rendered continuous service in the Respondents' management. The Petitioner approached the Respondents to consider his case sympathetically, but the management did not pay any heed to it. Therefore, the Petitioner was constrained to approach this Tribunal to declare the impugned order MMR/PER/D/072/10/2982 dated 26.5.2010 issued by the 2nd respondent and the impugned order No.CRP/PER/IR/D/90/2050 dated 15.11.2010 issued by Respondent No.1 is illegal and arbitrary and to set aside the same and consequently to direct the Respondents to reinstate the Petitioner into service duly granting all other attendant benefits such as continuity of service, back wages etc..

3. The Respondents filed counter denying the averments made in the petition, with the averments in brief which runs as follows:

In the counter the Respondents while admitting some of the factual aspects to be true, stated that the Petitioner was appointed in the Respondents' company on 19.6.2007 as Badli Filler and continued to be in the same designation till the date of his dismissal without regularization. He was dismissed from service on proved charges of absenteeism, after conducting a detailed domestic enquiry duly following the principles of natural justice. The Petitioner has attended the dates fixed for the enquiry and had fully participated in the enquiry. He was given full, fair and reasonable opportunity to defend himself in the enquiry. The enquiry was conducted purely following the principles of natural justice. It is stated that basing on the evidence adduced before the Enquiry Officer, he submitted his report holding the charges levelled against the Petitioner was proved. A copy of the enquiry report and the enquiry proceeding was sent to the Petitioner by way of show cause notice giving him an opportunity to make representation against the findings of the enquiry report; since the charge levelled against the Petitioner is proved and it was serious in nature, punishment warranted was dismissal from service. The Disciplinary Authority has gone through the enquiry proceeding and his past record and found that there was no extenuating circumstances to take a lenient view and lastly, the Respondents were constrained to dismiss the Petitioner from service. It is stated that in fact the Petitioner was irregular to his duties and he did not improve his attendance even after issuing charge sheet to him, and after receiving the show cause notice. It is further stated that the punishment imposed on the Petitioner is justified and legal and as such the claim petition is liable to be dismissed in limini.

4. In view of the memo filed by the counsel for the Petitioner conceding the legality and validity of the domestic enquiry conducted in the present case, the domestic enquiry conducted by the Respondents is held as legal and valid vide order dated 18.4.2017.

5. Both the parties have advanced their arguments under Sec.11(A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, in support of their claim.

6. In view of the above facts, the points for determination are:

I. Whether the action of the management of M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., in imposing the punishment of dismissal from service to Sri SK. Shareef Pasha is legal and justified?

II. Whether the Petitioner is entitled for reinstatement into service?

III. If not, to what other relief he is entitled?

7. **Point No. I**: During the course of argument, the Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner submitted that due to illness, burning of his left leg, the Petitioner could not be able to attend his duty sincerely. Even in his show cause the Petitioner has mentioned the above fact, but it was not considered during the course of the enquiry and on account of absenteeism capital punishment of dismissal from service was imposed on the Petitioner. When the Petitioner has taken a stand that due to his illness, and other family problems he could not be able to attend his duties regularly and remained absent, the authority should have considered his case while imposing punishment. The authority has not considered any of the submissions of the Petitioner, and has given capital punishment to the Petitioner when several modes of punishment are enumerated in the company's Standing Orders.

8. On the other hand, the Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondents submitted that when the Petitioner was a chronic absentee and was found guilty in the charges levelled against him, the punishment imposed by the Respondents' company is legal and proper. When the Petitioner was not sincere in his duty and failed to maintain minimum musters in a year he is not entitled to be reinstated in service.

9. Admittedly, working in the Mines is hazardous and remaining absent is not unusual. In this case, due to his illness, and other family problems, the Petitioner could not be able to be regular in his duty, the Petitioner has remained absent in his duties and a proceeding was initiated against him for his absenteeism followed by an enquiry. In the enquiry, the charges levelled against the Petitioner were proved. For this, capital punishment was imposed. After dismissal of service, the Petitioner has become jobless and unable to provide a square meal to his family members. He has already realised his mistake and has taken shelter in the court at the age of 25 years, he is now aged about 33 years and is searching ways and means to provide bread and butter to his family members. When the Petitioner being an able bodied and energetic man, has already realised his mistake and is coming forward to work under the Respondents, atleast one chance should be given to him for reinstatement into service. Admittedly several modes of punishment are enumerated in company's Standing Orders. Though the Petitioner is not a first offender but has worked for about 8 (eight) years under the Respondents. While imposing capital punishment to his employees, the management should think of the condition of the workers as well as his family members. In this case, the punishment imposed by the Respondents for dismissal of service is too harsh. Therefore, it can safely be stated that the action taken by the management in imposing the punishment of dismissal from service to Sri SK. Shareef Pasha is not legal and justified.

Thus, Point No.I is answered accordingly.

10. **Point Nos. II & III**: In Point No.I, it has already been discussed that the punishment of dismissal from service to Sri SK. Shareef Pasha is not legal and justified. After dismissal of service as stated earlier, when the Petitioner has already realised his mistake and has come to the court with a prayer for his reinstatement into service, he should be given a chance to serve for his family members. After dismissal of service the Petitioner has become jobless and he being the sole bread earner of his family, is unable to provide a square meal to his family members. In such a circumstances atleast the Petitioner should be given a chance to maintain his livelihood and to work under the Respondents' management. But in this case, the Petitioner has not come to the court soon after his dismissal of service. Therefore, in the opinion of this Tribunal the Petitioner is not entitled to get all the relief as claimed in his claim petition. But he is only entitled to be given a chance to work in the Respondents' management.

Thus, Point Nos. II & III are answered accordingly.

ORDER

Proceeding No. MMR/PER/D/072/10/2982 dated 26.5.2010 issued by the 2nd Respondent and the impugned order No.CRP/PER/IR/D/90/2050 dated 15.11.2010 issued by the 1st Respondent is declared as illegal and is hereby set aside. It is ordered that the workman Sri SK. Shareef Pasha be taken into service as a fresh employee i.e., a badli filler, on initial basic pay without back wages and continuity of service, subject to medical fitness by the company Medical Board and the workman be kept under probation for a period of one year. The management is also directed to take an undertaking of good behaviour from the workman at the time of his posting.

The Workman can not claim for his posting in the same place, where he was last employed. The workman shall have to maintain either minimum mandatory 20 musters every month or 190 musters in a year and the management shall have the right to review the work of the workman in every three months. In the event of any short fall of attendance during the period of the three months, the service of the workman will not be terminated and he will be cautioned to improve his performance by issuing him a warning letter. However, in the event of any shortfall of attendance during one year of service of the workman, he will be terminated from service without any further notice and enquiry, and in case the workman completes one year probation period successfully he will continue in service till the age of his attaining superannuation. The management shall consider any forced absenteeism on account of Mine accidents/Natural disasters, taking treatment in the company's hospital, as attendance. All other usual terms and conditions of appointment will be applicable i.e., transfer, hours of work, day of rest, holidays etc.. to the workman for appointment afresh.

Award is passed accordingly. Transmit.

Typed to my dictation by Smt. P. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant and corrected by me on this the 26th day of February, 2019.

MURALIDHAR PRADHAN, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for the Petitioner	Witnesses examined for the Respondent
NIL	NIL

Documents marked for the Petitioner

NIL

Documents marked for the Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 661.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मेसर्स सिंगारेनी कोलियरीज कंपनी लिमिटेड के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण–सह–श्रम न्यायालय, हैदराबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. एल सी 34/2009) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 18/04/.2019 को प्राप्त हुए था।

[सं. एल–22013/01/2019–आईआर (सी.एम.-II)]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 661.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. LC 34/2009) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s Singareni Collieries Company Ltd. and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 18/04/2019.

[No. L-22013/01/2019-IR(CM-II)]

RAJENDER SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL-TRIBUNAL-CUM LABOUR COURT AT HYDERABAD

Present : Sri Muralidhar Pradhan, Presiding Officer

Dated the 19th day of March, 2019

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE L.C.No. 34/2009

Between:

Sri S. Anjaiah,
S/o Mallaiah,
C/o Smt. A. Sarojana,
Advocate, Flat No.G7,
Rajeshwari Gayatri Sadan,
Opp: Badruka Jr. College for Girls,
Kachiguda, Hyderabad .

.....Petitione

AND

1. The General Manager,
M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd.,
Bellampally (P), Bellampally,
Adilabad District.

2. The Colliery Manager,
M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd.,
Goleti-2 Incline,
Bellampally,
Adilabad District. …Respondents

Appearances:

For the Petitioner : M/s. A. Sarojana & K. Vasudeva Reddy, Advocates

For the Respondent : Sri S.M. Subhani, Advocate

AWARD

Sri S. Anjaiah who worked as General Mazdoor (who will be referred to as the workman) has filed this petition under Sec. 2A(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 against the Respondents M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., seeking for declaring the proceeding No.P.BPA/129/953 dated 1.4.1998 issued by Respondent No.1 as illegal, arbitrary and to set aside the same consequently directing the Respondents to reinstate the Petitioner into service duly granting all the consequential benefits such as continuity of service, back wages and all other attendant benefits etc., and such other reliefs as this court may deems fit.

2. **The averments made in the petition in brief are as follows**:

The Petitioner was appointed as Badli Filler in 1984 and later he was converted as General Mazdoor. But during the year 1997 the Petitioner suffered with ill-health and other family problems as a result of which, he could not be regular to his duties. While the matters stood thus, one charge sheet dated 28.4.1997 was served to the Petitioner by the Respondents alleging that the Petitioner absented for duty during the year 1997 which amounts to misconduct under company's Standing Order No.25.25. Subsequently, one inquiry was conducted and during the time of the enquiry, the Petitioner was not given any opportunity much less valid in nature to put forth his grievances. Basing on such lopsided enquiry, the Enquiry Officer held the charges as proved and basing on the erroneous findings of the Enquiry Officer, the Petitioner was dismissed from service w.e.f. 4.4.1998 vide order dated 1.4.1998. It is stated that during the course of the enquiry the Petitioner has categorically stated about his inability to perform his duties regularly during the above said period as it was only on account of his ill-health and some family problems. But without considering any of his submissions, the Petitioner was dismissed from service. It is also stated that the action of the Respondents' management in dismissing the Petitioner from service is wholly illegal, arbitrary, violative of the principles of natural justice. The Petitioner has rendered about 14 years of continuous service in the Respondents' management.The Petitioner approached the Respondents to consider his case sympathetically, but the management did not pay any heed to it. Therefore, the Petitioner was constrained to approach this Tribunal to declare the impugned order No. P.BPA/129/953 dated 1.4.1998 issued by Respondent No.1 is illegal and arbitrary and to set aside the same and consequently to direct the Respondents to reinstate the Petitioner into service duly granting all other attendant benefits such as continuity of service, back wages etc..

3. **The Respondents filed counter denying the averments made in the petition, with the averments in brief which runs as follows**:

In the counter the Respondents while admitting some of the factual aspects to be true, stated that the Petitioner was appointed in the Respondents' company on 17.6.1983 as Badli Filler and later he was regularized as General Mazdoor with effect from 1.8.1984. He was dismissed from service on proved charges of absenteeism, after conducting a detailed domestic enquiry duly following the principles of natural justice. The Petitioner has attended the dates fixed for the enquiry and had fully participated in the enquiry. He was given full, fair and reasonable opportunity to defend himself in the enquiry. The enquiry was conducted purely following the principles of natural justice. It is stated that basing on the evidence adduced before the Enquiry Officer, he submitted his report holding the charges levelled against the Petitioner was proved. A copy of the enquiry report and the enquiry proceeding was sent to the Petitioner by way of show cause notice giving him an opportunity to make representation against the findings of the enquiry report; since the charge levelled against the Petitioner is proved and it was serious in nature, punishment warranted was dismissal from service. The Disciplinary Authority has gone through the enquiry proceeding and his past record and found that there was no extenuating circumstances to take a lenient view and lastly, the Respondents were constrained to dismiss the Petitioner from service. It is stated that in fact the Petitioner was irregular to his duties and he did not improve his attendance even after issuing charge sheet to him, and after receiving the show cause notice. It is further stated that the punishment imposed on the Petitioner is justified and legal and as such the claim petition is liable to be dismissed in limini.

4. In view of the memo filed by the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner conceding the domestic enquiry held in this case as legal and valid, the domestic enquiry conducted in this case by the Respondents is held as legal and valid vide order dated 16.6.2010.

5. Both the parties have advanced their arguments under Sec.11(A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, in support of their claim.

6. In view of the above facts, the points for determination are:

I. Whether the action of the management of M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., in imposing the punishment of dismissal from service to Sri S. Anjaiah is legal and justified?

II. Whether the Petitioner is entitled for reinstatement into service?

III. If not, to what other relief he is entitled?

7. **Point No. I**: During the course of argument, the Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner submitted that due to his illness and other family problems, the Petitioner could not be able to attend his duty sincerely. Even in his show cause the Petitioner has mentioned the above fact, but it has not been considered during the course of the enquiry and on account of absenteeism capital punishment of dismissal from service was imposed on the Petitioner. When the Petitioner has taken a stand that due to his illness, and other family problems he could not be able to attend his duties regularly and remained absent, the authority should have considered his case while imposing punishment. The authority has not considered any of the submissions of the Petitioner, and has given capital punishment to the Petitioner when several modes of punishment are enumerated in the company's Standing Orders.

8. On the other hand, the Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondents submitted that when the Petitioner was a chronic absentee and was found guilty in the charges levelled against him, the punishment imposed by the Respondents' company is legal and proper. When the Petitioner was not sincere in his duty and failed to maintain minimum musters in a year he is not entitled to be reinstated in service.

9. Admittedly, working in the Mines is hazardous and remaining absent is not unusual. In this case, due to his illness, and other family problems, the Petitioner could not be able to be regular in his duty, the Petitioner has remained absent in his duties and a proceeding was initiated against him for his absenteeism followed by an enquiry. In the enquiry, the charges levelled against the Petitioner were proved. For this, capital punishment was imposed. After dismissal of service, the Petitioner has become jobless and unable to provide a square meal to his family members. He has already realised his mistake and has taken shelter in the court at the age of 45 years, he is now aged about 55 years and is searching ways and means to provide bread and butter to his family members. When the Petitioner being an able bodied and energetic man has already realised his mistake and is coming forward to work under the Respondents, atleast one chance should be given to him for reinstatement into service. Admittedly several modes of punishment are enumerated in company's Standing Orders. Though the Petitioner is a first offender and has worked for about 14 years under the Respondents. While imposing capital punishment to his employees, the management should think of the condition of the workman as well as his family members. In this case, the punishment imposed on the workman by the Respondents for dismissal of service is too harsh. Therefore, it can safely be stated that the action taken by the management in imposing the punishment of dismissal from service to Sri S. Anjaiah is not legal and justified.

Thus, Point No.I is answered accordingly.

10. **Point Nos. II & III**: In Point No.I, it has already been discussed that the punishment of dismissal from service to Sri S. Anjaiah is not legal and justified. After dismissal of service as stated earlier, when the Petitioner has already realised his mistake and has come to the court with a prayer for his reinstatement into service he should be given a chance to serve for his family members. After dismissal of service the Petitioner has become jobless and he being the sole bread earner of his family, is unable to provide a square meal to his family members. In such a circumstances atleast the Petitioner should be given a chance to maintain his livelihood and to work under the Respondents' management. But in this case, the Petitioner has not come to the court soon after his dismissal of service. Therefore, in the opinion of this Tribunal the Petitioner is not entitled to get all the relief as claimed in his claim petition. But he is only entitled to be given a chance to work in the Respondents' management.

Thus, Point Nos. II & III are answered accordingly.

ORDER

Proceeding No. P.BPA/129/953 dated 1.4.1998 issued by the 1st Respondent is declared as illegal and is hereby set aside. It is ordered that the workman Sri S. Anjaiah be taken into service as a fresh employee i.e., a Badli Filler on initial basic pay without back wages and continuity of service, subject to medical fitness by the company Medical Board and the workman be kept under probation for a period of one year. The management is also directed to take an undertaking of good behaviour from the workman at the time of his posting.

The Workman can not claim for his posting in the same place, where he was last employed. The workman shall have to maintain either minimum mandatory 20 musters every month or 190 musters in a year and the management shall have the right to review the work of the workman in every three months. In the event of any short fall of attendance during the period of the three months, the service of the workman will not be terminated and he will be cautioned to improve his performance by issuing him a warning letter. However, in the event of any shortfall of attendance during one year of service of the workman, he will be terminated from service without any further notice and enquiry, and in case the workman completes one year of probation period successfully he will continue in service till the age of his attaining superannuation. The management shall consider any forced absenteeism on account of Mine accidents/ Natural disasters, taking treatment in the company's hospital, as attendance. All other usual terms and conditions of appointment will be applicable i.e., transfer, hours of work, day of rest, holidays etc.. to the workman for appointment afresh.

Award is passed accordingly. Transmit.

Typed to my dictation by Smt. P. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant and corrected by me on this the 19th day of March, 2019.

MURALIDHAR PRADHAN, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for the Petitioner	Witnesses examined for the Respondent
NIL	NIL

Documents marked for the Petitioner

NIL

Documents marked for the Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 662.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मेसर्स सिंगारेनी कोलियरीज कंपनी लिमिटेड के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण–सह–श्रम न्यायालय, हैदराबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. एल सी 59/2009) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 18/04/.2019 को प्राप्त हुए था।

[सं. एल–22013/01/2019–आईआर (सी.एम.-II)]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 662.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. LC 59/2009) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s Singareni Collieries Company Ltd. and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 18/04/2019.

[No. L-22013/01/2019-IR(CM-II)]

RAJENDER SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT AT HYDERABAD

Present: Sri Muralidhar Pradhan, Presiding Officer

Dated the 18th day of March, 2019

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE L.C.No. 59/2009

Between:

Sri Anga Ramulu,
S/o Ramulu,
R/o H.No.14-5-482,
Pittalanagar Post,
Karimnagar.

…Petitioner

AND

1. The Chief General Manager,

   M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd.,
   Ramagundam Area-I,
   Khammam District.

2. The Superintendent of Mines,
   M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd.,
   JDK-2 Incline, Ramagundam Division-I,
   Khammam District.

… Respondents

Appearances:

For the Petitioner : Sri M. Venkata Swamy, Advocate

For the Respondent : Sri S.M. Subhani, Advocate

AWARD

Sri Anga Ramulu who worked as General Mazdoor (who will be referred to as the workman) has filed this petition under Sec. 2A(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 against the Respondents M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., seeking for declaring the dismissal order dated 4.9.2002 issued by the Respondent No.1 as illegal, arbitrary and to set aside the same consequently directing the Respondents to reinstate the Petitioner into service duly granting all the consequential benefits such as continuity of service, back wages and all other attendant benefits etc., and such other reliefs as this court may deems fit.

2. The Petitioner workman has filed his claim petition and Respondent also filed their respective counter statement.

3. The domestic enquiry conducted in this case is held as legal and valid as it was not contested by the Petitioner. The case stands posted for arguments, but the Petitioner did not turn up though several opportunities were afforded to him.

4. Inspite of availing several opportunities to adduce evidence, the Petitioner workman remained absent and there is no representation on behalf of the Petitioner, which clearly indicates that perhaps the dispute of the Petitioner has already been settled. In the circumstances stated above, it is felt that the Petitioner workman has got no claim to raise. Thus, 'No dispute' award is passed.

Award is passed accordingly. Transmit.

Typed to my dictation by Smt. P. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant and corrected by me on this the 18th day of March, 2019.

MURALIDHAR PRADHAN, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for the Petitioner	Witnesses examined for the Respondent
NIL	NIL

Documents marked for the Petitioner

NIL

Documents marked for the Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 663.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मेसर्स सिंगारेनी कोलियरीज कंपनी लिमिटेड के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण–सह–श्रम न्यायालय, हैदराबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 31/2015) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 18/04/.2019 को प्राप्त हुए था।

[सं. एल–22012/04/2015–आईआर (सी.एम.-II)]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 663.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 31/2015) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s Singareni Collieries Company Ltd. and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 18/04/2019.

[No. L-22012/04/2015-IR(CM-II)]

RAJENDER SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT AT HYDERABAD

Present: Sri Muralidhar Pradhan, Presiding Officer

Dated the 19th day of March, 2019

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 31/2015

Between:

The General Secretary (Sh. Riaz Ahmed),
Singareni Miners & Engg. Workers Union (HMS),
Qr. No. C-34, Sector-1, Godavarikhani-505 209.
Karimnagar District (Telengana State). …Petitioner Union

AND

The General Manager,
M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd.,
Ramagundam-I Area, Godavarikhani-505 209.
Karimnagar District (Telengana State). …Respondent

Appearances:

For the Petitioner : M/s. A. Sarojana & K. Vasudeva Reddy, Advocates

For the Respondent : M/s. Nandigam Krishna Rao, N.S. Pattabhi Rama Rao & J. Narasimulu, Advocates

AWARD

This is a reference issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment, New Delhi vide order No. L-22012/04/2015-IR(CM-II) dated 5.5.2015 whereunder this Tribunal is required to adjudicate the dispute i.e.,

> "Whether the action of the General Manager, M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., Ramagundam-I Area., Godavarikhani, Karimnagar District., in terminating the services of Sri Pothula Narasaiah, Ex-Coal Filler, GDK-3 Inc., RG-I Area, with effect from 9.12.2013 is justified or not? If not, to what relief the applicant is entitled for?

After receiving the above said reference this Tribunal registered the case as I D No.31/2015 and issued notices to both the parties and secured their presence.

2. The workman filed his claim statement with the averments in brief as follows:

The workman Sri Pothula Narasaiah, was initially appointed as a Badli Filler on 9.11.1992 and later he was confirmed as Coal Filler on 27.12.1995. He wasregular to his duties and performing his duties upto the satisfaction of all his superiors. While so, during the year 2001 the younger brother of the Petitioner workman suffered with mental problems and there was no one to look after his ailing brother in his family. As he had to take his ailing brother to various hospitals for treatment of mental illness, he could not be regular to his duties during the year 2001. While the matters stood thus, charge sheet dated 9.10.2002 was issued to him by the Respondent alleging that the Workman absented for duty during the year 2001, which amounts to misconduct under company's Standing Order No.25.25. The workman submitted his explanation to the charge sheet. Subsequently, one inquiry was conducted and the Workman was not given any opportunity much less valid in nature to put forth his grievances. Basing on such lopsided enquiry, the Enquiry Officer held the charges as proved, and basing on the erroneous findings of the Enquiry Officer, the Workman was dismissed from service w.e.f. 11.12.2003. It is stated that during the course of the enquiry the Workman has categorically stated about his inability to perform his duties regularly during the year 2001, which was only on account of his younger brother's ill-health and other family problems. But without considering any of his submissions, the Workman was dismissed from service. It is also stated that the action of the Respondent's management in dismissing the Workman from service is wholly illegal, arbitrary, violative of the principles of natural justice. The Workman has rendered 11 years of continuous service in the Respondent's management. The Workman approached the Respondent to consider his case sympathetically, but the management did not pay any heed to it. Therefore, the Workman was constrained to approach this Tribunal to declare the impugned order issued by the Respondent is illegal and arbitrary and to set aside the same and consequently to direct the Respondent to reinstate the Workman into service duly granting all other attendant benefits such as continuity of service, and back wages etc..

3. **Respondent filed counter with the averments in brief as follows**:

In the counter the Respondent while admitting some of the factual aspects to be true, stated that the Workman was appointed in the Respondent's company on 9.11.1992 as Badli Filler and subsequently appointed as coal filler on 1.9.1995. He was dismissed from service on proved charges of absenteeism, after conducting a detailed domestic enquiry duly following the principles of natural justice. The Workman did not attend the enquiry, which was conducted purely following the principles of natural justice. It is stated that basing on the evidence adduced before the Enquiry Officer, the Enquiry Officer conducted the enquiry and submitted his report holding the charges levelled against the Workman was proved. A copy of the enquiry report and the enquiry proceeding was sent to the Workman by way of show cause notice giving him an opportunity to make representation against the findings of the enquiry oficer; since the charge levelled against the Workman is proved and it was serious in nature, punishment warranted was dismissal from service. The Disciplinary Authority has gone through the enquiry proceeding and his past record and found that there was no extenuating circumstances to take a lenient view and lastly, the Respondent was constrained to dismiss the Workman from service. It is stated that in fact the Workman was irregular to his duties and he did not improve his attendance even after issuing charge sheet to him, and after receiving the show cause notice. It is further stated that the punishment imposed on the Workman is justified and legal and as such the claim petition is liable to be dismissed in limini.

4. In view of the memo filed by the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner Union/workman, conceding the domestic enquiry conducted by the Respondents as legal and valid, the domestic enquiry conducted in this case is held as legal and valid vide order dated 7.1.2019.

5. Both the parties have advanced their arguments under Sec.11(A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, in support of their claim.

6. In view of the above facts, the points for determination are:

I. Whether the action of the management of M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., in imposing the punishment of dismissal from service to Sri Pothula Narasaiah is legal and justified?

II. Whether the Workman is entitled for reinstatement into service?

III. If not, to what other relief he is entitled?

7. **Point No. I**: During the course of argument, the Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Workman argued that due to his younger brother's ill-health as well as other family problems, the Workman could not be able to attend his duty sincerely. Even in his show cause the Workman has mentioned the above fact, but it has not been considered during the course of the enquiry and on account of absenteeism capital punishment of dismissal from service was imposed on the Workman. When the Workman has taken a stand that due to his younger brother's illness and other family problems he could not be able to attend his duties regularly and remained absent, the authority should have considered his case sympathetically while imposing capital punishment. The authority has not considered any of the submissions of the Workman, and has given capital punishment to the Workman when several modes of punishment are enumerated in the company's Standing Orders.

8. On the other hand, the Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent argued that when the Workman was a chronic absentee and was found guilty of the charges levelled against him, the punishment imposed by the Respondent's company is legal and proper. When the Workman was not sincere in his duty and failed to maintain minimum musters in a year he is not entitled to be reinstated into service.

9. Admittedly, working in the Mines is hazardous and remaining absent is not unusual. In this case, due to his younger brother's mental illness and other family problems, the Workman could not be able to be regular in his duty, the Workman has remained absent in his duties and a proceeding was initiated against him for his absenteeism followed by an enquiry. In the enquiry, the charges levelled against the Workman were proved. For this, capital punishment was imposed on the workman. After dismissal of service, the Workman has become jobless and unable to provide a square meal to his family members. He has already realised his mistake and has taken shelter in the court at the age of 42 years, he is now aged about 46 years and is searching ways and means to provide bread and butter to his family members. When the Workman, being an able bodied and energetic man and has already realised his mistake, and is coming forward to work under the Respondent, atleast one chance should be given to him for reinstatement into service at the end of his service period. Admittedly several modes of punishment are enumerated in company's Standing Orders. Though the Workman is a first offender and has worked for about 11 years under the Respondent, while imposing capital punishment to his employees, the management should think of the condition of the workman as well as his family members. In this case, the punishment imposed on the workman by the Respondent management for dismissal of service is too harsh. Therefore, it can safely be stated that the action taken by the management in imposing the punishment of dismissal from service to Sri Pothula Narasaiah is not legal and justified.

Thus, Point No.I is answered accordingly.

10. **Point Nos. II & III**: In Point No.I, it has already been discussed that the punishment of dismissal from service to Sri Pothula Narasaiah is not legal and justified. After dismissal of service as stated earlier, when the Workman has already realised his mistake and has come to the court with a prayer for reinstatement into service he should be given a chance to serve for his family members. After dismissal of service the Workman has become jobless and he being the sole bread earner of his family, is unable to provide a square meal to his family members. In such a circumstances atleast the Workman should be given a chance to maintain his livelihood and to work under the Respondent's management. But in this case, the Workman has not come to the court soon after his dismissal of service. Therefore, in the opinion of this Tribunal the Workman is not entitled to get all the relief as claimed in his claim petition. But he is only entitled to be given a chance to work in the Respondent's management.

Thus, Point Nos. II & III are answered accordingly.

RESULT:

In the result, the action of the General Manager, M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., Ramagundam-I Area., Godavarikhani, Karimnagar District., in terminating the services Sri Pothula Narasaiah, Ex-Coal Filler, GDK-3 Inc., RG-I Area, with effect from 9.12.2003 is not justified and is hereby set aside. It is ordered that the workman be taken into service as a fresh employee i.e., Badli filler in Cat.I, on initial basic pay without back wages and continuity of service, subject to medical fitness by the company Medical Board and the workman be kept under probation for a period of one year. The management is also directed to take an undertaking of good behaviour from the workman at the time of his posting.

The Workman cannot claim for his posting in the same place, where he was last employed. The workman shall have to maintain either minimum mandatory 20 musters every month or 190 musters in a year and the management shall have the right to review the work of the workman in every three months. In the event of any short fall of attendance during the period of the three months, the service of the workman shall not be terminated and he will be cautioned to improve his performance by issuing him a warning letter. However, in the event of any shortfall of attendance during one year of service of the workman, he will be terminated from service without any further notice and enquiry and in the event of completion of one year of probation satisfactorily, the workman is to continue in service till the age of attaining superannuation. The management shall consider any forced absenteeism on account of Mine accidents/ Natural disasters, taking treatment in the company's hospital, as attendance. All other usual terms and conditions of appointment will be applicable i.e., transfer, hours of work, day of rest, holidays etc.. to the workman for his appointment afresh and the reference is answered accordingly, so also the, award is passed accordingly. Transmit.

Typed to my dictation by Smt. P. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant and corrected by me on this the 19th day of March, 2019.

MURALIDHAR PRADHAN, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for the Workman	Witnesses examined for the Respondent
NIL	NIL

Documents marked for the Workman

NIL

Documents marked for the Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 664.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मेसर्स सिंगारेनी कोलियरीज कंपनी लिमिटेड के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण–सह–श्रम न्यायालय, हैदराबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 39/2015) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 18/04/.2019 को प्राप्त हुए था।

[सं. एल–22012/24/2015–आईआर (सी.एम.-II)]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 664.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 39/2015) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s Singareni Collieries Company Ltd. and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 18/04/2019.

[No. L-22012/24/2015-IR(CM-II)]

RAJENDER SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT AT HYDERABAD

Present : Sri Muralidhar Pradhan, Presiding Officer

Dated the 28th day of February, 2019

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 39/2015

Between:

The President
(Bandari Satyanarayana),
Rashtriya Collieries Mazdoor Sangh(INTUC),
Rajkumar Complex, Saibaba Temple Road,
Jaffar nagar, Mancherial – 504208.
Adilabad District. …Petitioner Union

AND

The General Manager,
M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd.,
Bellampally Area, Goleti Township-504292.
Adilabad Distt. …Respondent

Appearances :

For the Petitioner : None

For the Respondent : Sri Dasaradha Ramulu, Advocate

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its order No. L-22012/24/2015-IR(CM-II) dated 18.6.2015 referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., and their workman under section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 for adjudication to this Tribunal. The reference is,

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the General Manager, M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., Bellampalli Area, Goleti Township(P.O.), Adilabad Distt. in terminating the services of Sri Bandi Raja Komuru, Ex-Coal Filler, Goleti-2 Inc., SCCo.Ltd., Bellampalli Area with effect from 23.5.2001 is justified or not? If not, to what relief the applicant is entitled for?"

The reference is numbered in this Tribunal as I.D. No. 39/2015 and notices were issued to the parties concerned.

2. The case stands posted for filing of claim statement and documents by the Petitioner.

3. The case was posted for filing of claim statement by the Petitioner union. Inspite of availing several opportunities, the Petitioner union remained absent and there was no representation on behalf of the Petitioner/Union. Non-appearance of the workman/Petitioner Union and non-filing of claim statement in time, clearly indicates that perhaps the parties have settled their dispute and the workman/Petitioner Union has no claim to raise. Hence, it is not desirable to linger the case to any further date. Thus, the case of the workman/Petitioner Union is closed and a 'No dispute' award is passed.

Award is passed accordingly. Transmit.

Typed to my dictation by Smt. P. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant, corrected by me on this the 28th day of February, 2019.

MURALIDHAR PRADHAN, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for the Petitioner	Witnesses examined for the
NIL	NIL

Documents marked for the Petitioner

NIL

Documents marked for the Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 665.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मेसर्स एस.ई.सी.एल के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण–सह–श्रम न्यायालय, जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 80/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 23/04/.2019 को प्राप्त हुए था।

[सं. एल–22012/251/2000–आईआर (सी-II)]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 665.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 80/2001) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s SECL and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 23/04/2019.

[No. L-22012/251/2000-IR(C-II)]

RAJENDER SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOR COURT, JABALPUR

NO. CGIT/LC/R/80/2001

Shri Ram Naresh Vishwakarma,
S/o Mangal Prasad Vishwakarma,
C/o M.L.Vishwakarma,
Asstt. Engineer (St.RE),
MP Electricity Board, Sidhi (MP) …Workman

Versus

Sub Area Manager,
Bungwar Sub Area of SECL,
PO Beomhauri,
Distt. Shahdol (MP) …Management

AWARD

Passed on this 9th day of April 2019

1. As per letter dated 27-4-2001 by the Government of India, Ministry of Labor, New Delhi, the reference is received. The reference is made to this Tribunal under Section -10 of I.D.Act, 1947 as per Notification No.L-22012/251/2000-IR(C-II). The dispute under reference relates to:

   "Whether the action of the management of Sub Area Manager, Bungler Sub Area of SECL, PO Beomhauri, Distt. Shahdol (MP) in dismissing of Shri Ram Naresh Vishwakarma S/o Shri Mangal Prasad Viswakarma General Mazdoor Category-I from services from 8-1-96 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. After receiving reference, notices were issued to the parties. Workman filed statement of claim. In his statement of claim, workman alleged that he was first appointed as ITI Apprentice in Electrical Trade on 1-12-92 by Dy.Chief Personal Manager of the employers and after completing 1 year training on 1-2-94, he was appointed as General Mazdoor Category-I on 16-2-94 in pay scale of NCWA-IV by Chief Personal Manager SECL, Sohagpur. During the course of his employment, he suffered from Hepatitis and as there was no one to look after him at the project, he went to his brother's place at Sidhi where he was referred to Gandhi Clinic for treatment. He was under treatment of consulting Doctor at Gandhi Clinic Sidhi since November 94, was advised rest, hence unable to perform his duties for about 5 months. A showcause notice dated 16-4-96 was issued by employer management alleging that he remained absent since 22-11-94 which was a misconduct under order 26.24 & 26.23 of standing orders and was required to explain within 74 hours. He sent his reply with medical certificate vide registered letter dated 1-5-95, received by management on 5-5-95, received by management on 5-5-95. It was further alleged that the workman further suffered from Hepatitis and depression as well psychophobia and was under continuous treatment of Doctor Praveen Kumar, Medical College Rewa till 27-1-97 and when after recovery reported himself for duty in the office of employers, he was not permitted to join his duty by the management. It is the case of the workman that he was never served any notice from the management regarding any enquiry. He submitted his representation to the management which was not considered. Thereafter he raised a dispute with the Asstt. Labor Commissioner. After failure of conciliation, the dispute was referred by the Central Government to this Tribunal. According to the workman, the charge against him was as follows:-

   "On verification of record, it is found that you are absent from your work from 22-11-94 without any information. This action of yours constitutes misconduct under Section 26.24 and 26.30 of company stand order.

   Section 26.24 Habit of absent without sufficient reason or habit of coming late.

Section 26.30 To remain absent without sanctioned leave or sufficient reason from work or remain absent from more than sanctioned leave."

3. Workman further alleged that the domestic enquiry held by the Enquiry Officer regarding the charges was improper, invalid and illegal on following grounds, as mentioned in para 17 &18 0f statement of claim-

Para 17 - That the domestic enquiry held by enquiry officer of the above charges levelled against the workman/first party was improper, invalid and illegal interalia, on the following grounds:-

(a) That chargesheet was never served to the delinquent workman and thus very initiation of the disciplinary proceedings was bad in law.

(b) That the enquiry held by the management/ 2nd party was not just proper and lawful as the workman was not informed duly.

(c) That the principles of the natural justice were not followed by the management/2nd party as the workman/Ist party was not informed properly therefore, he could not defend his case properly.

(d) The illness of somebody is always beyond his controlled and he cannot be punished for that act which is not within his control and thus the act of the workman does not cover under the act or so called misconduct.

(e) That there is not a single evidence on record which could constitute the so called misconduct

(f) That so far as misconduct 26.30 was concerned there arose no occasion to get first leave sanctioned and only than fell sick, in case of sickness imposition of it as condition present before availing have neither could nor should be said just and proper nor it was intended by the certified standing orders. None of the conduct of the delinquent workman constitute or amount to misconduct under the certified standing order.

(g) That, the enquiry proceeding held behind the back of the workman/Ist party are unjust and illegal as the principal of natural justice are not followed in this regard.

Para-18: That the Enquiry Officer who conducted the enquiry

(a) Was not acting bonafide and was actuated with some extraneous or ulterior motives.

(b) That the finding of the enquiry officer is perverse, management failed to prove any misconduct of delinquent workman was charged with.

(c) That the management/second party failed completely to consider the contentions raised by the delinquent workman in his reply to the showcause notice.

(d) That the punishment imposed on delinquent workman is too severe to smeek of victimization. The service rendered by this workman were ignored and not taken in account by the management who awarded with such a harsh punishment to a poor workman.

4. Workman accordingly prayed for declaration of his termination illegal and his reinstatement in job with all the incidental benefits therewith annual and quarterly bonus with interest.

5. In the Written Statement of defense filed by the employer management, it was pleaded that habitual absence without leave or long absenteeism without prior permission has to be held misconduct under the certified standing order applicable to the workman. Workman absented himself without getting his leave sanctioned and without prior permission. Thereafter a charge sheet was issued against him regarding the charges of habitual absenteeism without sufficient reason and long absenteeism from duty without prior information and getting leave sanctioned. Vide order dated 1-7-95, the Enquiry Officer fixed 12-7-95 and notice was sent to the workman on his address furnished by him and recorded in Form B Register, maintained by office of the management but this notice was returned unserved with the endorsement that addressee was not available therefore another dated 28-7-95 was fixed by the Enquiry Officer and other registered notice was sent on the same address which was also returned unserved with the same endorsement. Thereafter another dated 18-8-95 was fixed as next date in the enquiry and 3rd notice was sent by registered post on the address which was also returned with the endorsement "addressee's whereabouts not known". In these circumstances, Enquiry Officer proceeded that the enquiry is ex parte and after recording evidence, submitted his report holding the workman guilty for the charges levelled against him. According to the proved charges, the attendance for the period of his employment i.e. from 16-2-94 to 22-11-94 i.e. the period in which he was in employment. He absented himself details of which has been given by the management in para-15 of Written Statement.

"That according to the records of colliery it was also proved that the workman was absenting unauthorizedly without giving intimation to the Manager of the mines since he joined duty. The attendance for the period of his employment i.e. 16-2-1994 to 22-11-94 till he was absconded without sanctioned leave/ any intimation to the management is given here under:-

Month	Attendance
February 1994	01 days
March 1994	17 days
April 1994	24 days
May 1994	18 days
June 1994	20 days
July 1994	16 days
August 1994	10 days
September 1994	11 days
October 1994	05days
November 1994	07 days

The Disciplinary Officer after agreeing with the findings of Enquiry Officer regarding proof of misconduct as mentioned above, the services of workman was terminated after approval of competent authority but before inflicting punishment, another show cause notice was also given to the workman on 10-10-95 and no reply was sent by workman. It was also pleaded that appeal was preferred to the Appellate Authority who did not find any merit in the case and dismissed the appeal vide order dated 11-12/3/98. Since the workman had absented himself without any information and without any sanctioned leave or any justification, the enquiry was held against him which was conducted after giving full opportunity to the workman but since he had absconded from the address furnished by him and was not traceable, the enquiry proceeded exparte against him. It was also pleaded that the workman never filed any papers regarding treatment or medical certificate nor did he availed a well equipped treatment facility which is free of cost, provided by the employers in the hospital maintained by the employers at his work place. Accordingly it was submitted that the reference be decided against the workman.

6. Workman did not file any rejoinder.

7. On the basis of pleadings, following preliminary issue/point for determination was framed by my learned predecessor vide order dated 15-6-2010-

8. **"Whether the Departmental Enquiry conducted against workman is proper and legal?"**

9. After recording evidence on this issue, it was held by my learned predecessor since public notice regarding enquiry was not issued, the domestic enquiry was not legal and proper against workman. This order is part of this award.

10. It transpires from the record that the management sought permission to prove charges before the Tribunal. Management filed documents charge sheet dated 6-4-94, office order dated 1-7-95, notice dated 1-7-95, registered post notice dated 20-21/7/95, registered post notice dated 29-7-95 (2 papers), registered post statement of witnesses recorded during enquiry, certificate regarding last date of presence issued and filed during enquiry, attendance particulars filed during enquiry, Enquiry Report, show cause notice dated 10-10-95 regarding the findings of enquiry before passing sentence, recommendation of Disciplinary Authority, order of termination dated 8-1-96 along with receipt of registered post by which the order was sent, 2 representations of workman dated 29-1-1967, reminder dated 1997, Order dated 18-3-98 rejecting the representation and prove it which are Exhibit M-1 to M-23 respectively. Management examined on oath its witness Rajmani Singh and Manish Bhandari who were cross examined by workman on the point of proof of charge. Workman examined himself on oath and was cross-examined.

11. I have heard argument of Shri Sanjay Verma, learned counsel for workman and Shri A.K.Shashi, learned counsel for employers/management and have perused the record. After perusal of record in the light of rival argument, following other points come up in this case for determination:-

(2) **Whether charges of misconduct levelled against workman has been successfully proved against the workman?**

(3) Whether the punishment given is disproportionate to the charges levelled?

12. **Point for Determination No.2**-

According to Written Statement of defense filed by the management, the charge against workman is that he was habitual unauthorizedly absenting himself without prior information/ getting leave sanctioned within a period of his employment from 16-2-94 to 22-11-94 and continuous unauthorized absence without prior information/ sanction of leave without any justifiable ground for a period 1-12-94 to 30-6-95 and thereafter.

13. According to management, this absence was unauthorized, without any prior intimation/sanction. In his written statement of claim, workman admits that he suffered from Hepatitis and went to his brother's place at Sidhi where he was treated in Gandhi Clinic Sidhi since 18-11-94 and was advised rest hence unable to perform the duties for 5 months. He was under continuous treatment under Dr.Praveen Kumar, Medical College, Rewa till 27-1-95 so the absence during the period in the charge atleast so far as it relates to continuous absence is admitted by the workman himself. This charge is further corroborated by the statement on oath recorded before the Court by statement of witness Rajmani Singh who was working as a clerk/ timekeeper with the management office. He has stated that workman was working as General Mazdoor Category -I. he absented himself since December 1994 till 30-6-94 continuously. Regarding 2nd charge of habitual absentee, this witness has stated on oath that the workman has been absented unauthorizedly without giving information to the Manager of the Mines since he joined duty. The attendance for the period of his employment i.e. from 16-2-94 to 22-11-94 is as under:-

Month	Attendance
February 1994	01 days
March 1994	17 days
April 1994	24 days
May 1994	18 days
June 1994	20 days
July 1994	16 days
August 1994	10 days
September 1994	11 days
October 1994	05days
November 1994	07 days

This witness further states that thereafter he absented himself unauthorizedly without any intimation till 30-6-1994. This witness further states that management has provided medical facilities for coal mine workers for which dispensary and central hospital are opened where free treatments are given by qualified Doctors in Sohagpur Area but workman did not avail free treatment and never complained of any disease. Workman never produced any medical certificate for sanction of leave, he absconded from work without any intimation. this witness further stated that workman never furnish any intimation regarding his whereabouts during the said period. The witness further stated that the attendance summary register which is Exhibit M-21 is in his signature prepared by him. In his cross examination, this witness has stated that period of absence mentioned in his affidavit filed as his examination-in-chief, has been stated on the basis of attendance summary register which is with management. he admits that the recording of attendance was not part of his job and he pleaded ignorance whether absence of workman was unauthorized or not.

14. Shri Manish Bhandari, Dy.Manager Personnel is another witness of management who has supported the case of Ist witness of management on the point of habitual absenteeism and unauthorized long absence of workman as mentioned in the statement of Ist management witness. Thus statement of this witness corroborates the statement of Ist witness on the point of absence. As has already been mentioned earlier, absence is not disputed by the workman rather he admits it with a case that he was under treatment during this period which he was receiving at his brother's place in Sidhi.

15. Para 106 Indian Evidence Act requires to be referred here which is as under:-

"Burden of proving fact especially within knowledge-When any fact is especially within the knowledge of any. person, the burden of proving that fact is upon him."

This fact makes it clear that when a fact is in special knowledge of any person, burden of proving that fact is on that person hence the burden of proving the fact that he was ill and was under medical treatment during the period of his absence from duty lies on the workman. This burden can be discharged by filing documentary evidence for example Medical Certificate, certificate of fitness, documents regarding diagnosis

and treatment or by examining the Doctor under whose treatment the workman was during the period in question. Workman did not file any fitness certificate or medical certificate or any paper regarding diagnosis or treatment nor did he examined any Doctor under whose treatment he was during the period in question. The workman has examined himself on oath. He has stated in his affidavit filed as his examination-in-chief that he never absented himself during his service period whenever he remained on leave, he had filed his application for leave and during the period of his sickness also, he had filed his application for leave except this self serving statement, there is no document in support. He admits that the dispensary and hospital facilities are available to the workman and their families near the mines and in Sohagpur. He pleads ignorance about the free treatment given to workman in the management's hospital. When the management witness has stated that the workman did not file any certificate or papers regarding his illness and treatment, the burden of proving the fact that he had filed application with the management, is on the workman and he has failed to discharge this burden. Thus from the comparative analysis of evidence as respect to above produced by the parties, it comes out that **firstly,** the workman absented himself during the period as mentioned above and **secondly**,the reason for absence alleged by the workman is not established. Hence it is held that the absence of workman for the period as mentioned above is without sufficient cause, meaning **thereby it is held proved that the workman absented himself during the period in question as referred above unauthorizedly, without informing the management and without any leave sanctioned**. It will not be out of scope to refer the case of **New India Assurance Company Ltd versus Vipin Beharilal Srivastava reported in (2008)3 Supreme Court Cases 446.** The Apex Court has laid down that mere sending an application for grant of leave is not sufficient. In para-11 of the judgment, the court has observed as follows-

Para-11 The rules governing "leave" read as follows:

(1) General principles governing grant of leave. The following general principles shall govern the grant of leave to the employees;

(a) Leave cannot be claimed as a matter of right.

(b) Leave shall be availed of only after sanction by the competent authority, but one days casual leave may be availed of without prior sanction in case of unforeseen emergency, provided the head of the office is promptly advised of the circumstances under which prior sanction could not be obtained.

(4) Sick leave-

(c) Sick leave can be granted to an employee only on production of a medical certificate from a registered medical practitioner, which term would include homeopathic, ayurvedic and Unani Doctor also provided they are registered medical practitioners.

(d) The certificate should state as clearly as possible the diagnosis and probable duration of treatment."

16. A reference of Rule 12.5 & Rule 13 of Certified Standing Orders applicable in the case of parties , referred to by learned counsel for management is also necessary which is as under:-

Rule 12.5: Application for leave or extension of leave on medical grounds shall be supported by a certificate from a Medical Officer of the company or where there is no such officer, a government Medical Officer or failing him, from a Registered Medical practitioner, stating the period for which leave is recommended. On receipt of such application, the sanctioning authority shall immediately inform the workman in writing whether the leave or extension of leave has been granted and if so for what period. An employee who has been sanctioned leave or an extension of leave on medical ground for a period exceeding 14 days at a time shall not be allowed to resume duty unless he produced a certificate o fitness. If no information is received by the workman, from the management, regarding leave in question as applied for, it may be presumed to be granted."

Rule-13: Application for leave:- A workman who desires to obtain leave of absence shall apply in writing to the Competent Authority, not less than 15 days before the commencement of the leave, except where leave is required in unforeseen circumstances and the competent authority shall issue orders on the application within a week of its submission of two days prior to the commencement of the leave applied for whichever is earlier, provided that if the leave applied for is to commence on the date of the application or within three days thereof orders shall be given on the same day. If the leave is refused or postponed, the fact of such refusal or postponement and the reasons therefor shall be recorded in writing in a register to be maintained for the purpose and if the workman so desires a copy of the entry in the register shall be supplied to him. If the workman after proceeding on leave, desires an extension thereof, he shall apply to the competent authority who shall send a written reply either granting or refusing extension of leave to the workman. Sanction/ refusal of leave shall be communicated to the workman in writing.

Similarly **Rule 26.24 & 26.25** which deal with misconduct are also being reproduced as follows:-

Rule 26.24- Habitual late attendance or habitual absence from duty without sufficient cause.

Rule 26.25- Distributing or exhibiting in the company's work premises or estates, hand bills, pamphlets, posters or causing them to be displayed by means of signs or writing or other visible representations any matter prejudicial to the company without prior sanction of the management.

It is therefore, held in the light of evidence discussed above that the workman failed to comply the provisions and his absence, held unauthorized and habitual, the charges against the workman are held proved.

Point No for determination No.2 is answered accordingly.

17. **Point for determination No.3**- Rule 27.1 of certified standing order provides about penalties in case of misconduct. One of the penalty is removal/discharge from service and dismissal from service. Now question arises whether the punishment of removal of workman on the charges proved as mentioned is proportionate to the misconduct or not.

18. Learned counsel for management has referred to case **Ever Ready Industries India Ltd, Jabalpur and others versus P.S.Parihar and another reported in 2003(3)MPHT-257 wherein it has been observed by the Hon'ble High Court that employer has justified in weeding out the worthless who have lost utility for the company**. In the referred case, also the workman remained continuously sick for a long period and did not recover. His termination was confirmed by Hon'ble High Court. In case of New India Assurance Company Ltd.(Supra) also, the Apex Court refused to set-aside the dismissal of employee who remained continuously absent for long period without any justification. Keeping this preposition of law inn view, the dismissal of workman for the charges is held legal and justified. Workman is held not entitled to any relief. Point No.2 is answered accordingly.

19. In the result, award is passed as under:-

(1) **The action of the management of Sub Area Manager, Bungler Sub Area of SECL in dismissing Shri Ram Naresh Vishwakarma S/o Shri Mangal Prasad Viswakarma General Mazdoor Category-I from services from 8-1-96 is legal and justified.**

(2) **The workman Shri Ram Naresh Vishwakarma is not entitled to any relief.**

20. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labor & Employment as per rules.

Dated:9-4-2019

P. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 666.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मैसर्स सिंगारेनी कोलियरीज कंपनी लिमिटेड के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण–सह–श्रम न्यायालय, हैदराबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 40/2015) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 18/04/.2019 को प्राप्त हुए थे।

[सं. एल–22012/25/2015–आईआर (सी.एम.-II)]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 666.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 40/2015) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s Singareni Collieries Company Ltd. and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 18/04/2019.

[No. L-22012/25/2015-IR(CM-II)]

RAJENDER SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT AT HYDERABAD

Present : Sri Muralidhar Pradhan, Presiding Officer

Dated the 28th day of February, 2019

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 40/2015

Between:

Sri Ramtenki Kistaiah,
H.No.1-85,
Bellampalli (M)-504251.
Adilabad Distt. …Petitioner

AND

The General Manager,
M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd.,
Bellampally Area, Goleti Township-504292.
Adilabad Distt. …Respondent

Appearances:

For the Petitioner : None

For the Respondent : Sri Dasaradha Ramulu, Advocate

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its order No. L-22012/25/2015-IR(CM-II) dated 18.6.2015 referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., and their workman under section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 for adjudication to this Tribunal. The reference is,

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the General Manager, M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., Bellampalli Area, Goleti Township(P.O.), Adilabad Distt. in terminating the services of Sri Ramtenki Kistaiah, Ex-Badli Filler, Goleti-1 Inc., SCCo.Ltd., Bellampalli Area with effect from 1.4.2005 is justified or not? If not, to what relief the applicant is entitled for?"

The reference is numbered in this Tribunal as I.D. No. 40/2015 and notices were issued to the parties concerned.

2. The case stands posted for filing of claim statement and documents by the Petitioner.

3. The case was posted for filing of claim statement by the Petitioner. Inspite of availing several opportunities, the Petitioner remained absent and there was no representation on behalf of the Petitioner. Non-appearance of the Petitioner and non-filing of claim statement in time, clearly indicates that perhaps the parties have settled their dispute and the Petitioner has no claim to raise. Hence, it is not desirable to linger the case to any further date. Thus, the case of the Petitioner is closed and a 'No dispute' award is passed.

Award is passed accordingly. Transmit.

Typed to my dictation by Smt. P. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant, corrected by me on this the 28th day of February, 2019.

MURALIDHAR PRADHAN, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for the Petitioner	Witnesses examined for the Respondent
NIL	NIL

Documents marked for the Petitioner

NIL

Documents marked for the Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 667.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मैसर्स सिंगारेनी कोलियरीज कंपनी लिमिटेड के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण–सह–श्रम न्यायालय, हैदराबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 66/2013) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 18/04/2019 को प्राप्त हुए थे।

[सं. एल–22012/51/2013–आईआर (सी.एम.-II)]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 667.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 66/2013) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Hyderabad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s Singareni Collieries Company Ltd. and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 18/04/2019.

[No. L-22012/51/2013-IR(CM-II)]

RAJENDER SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT AT HYDERABAD

Present : Sri Muralidhar Pradhan, Presiding Officer

Dated the 26th day of February, 2019

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 66/2013

Between:

The General Secretary (Sri Riaz Ahmed),
M/s. Singareni Mines & Engg. Workers Union (HMS),
Qr.No.C-34, Sector-I,
Godavarikhani – 505 209, Karimnagar District. …Petitioner Union

AND

The General Manager,
M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Limited,
Ramagundam-I Area,
Godavarikhani – 505 209.
Karimnagar Distt. …Respondent

Appearances:

For the Petitioner : M/s. A. Sarojana & K. Vasudeva Reddy, Advocate

For the Respondent : M/s. P.A.V.V.S. Sarma & Vijaya Laxmi Panguluri, Advocates

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its order No. L-22012/51/2013-IR(CM-II) dated 30.5.2013 referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., and their workman under section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 for adjudication to this Tribunal. The reference is,

SCHEDULE

''Whether the action of the General Manager, M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., Ramagundam-I Area, Godavarikhani, Karimnagar Distt. In terminating the services of Smt. Chintala Swaroopa Rani, Ex-Staff Nurse, Area Hospital SCCo. Ltd., Ramagundam-I Area, Godavarikhani Area w.e.f. 18.1.2000 is justified or not? If not, to what relief the applicant is entitled for?"

The reference is numbered in this Tribunal as I.D. No. 66/2013 and notices were issued to the parties.

2. The averments made in the claim statement in brief are as follows:

The Petitioner Smt. Chintala Swaroopa Rani, was appointed as Staff Nurse on 4.8.1989 and was working for the M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd. While so the concerned work woman has applied for 3 days leave from

29.5.2005 to 3.6.2005 and also availed play day on 4.6.2005. But she could not report for the duty on 5.6.2005 as her father was in serious condition and her presence was very much essential and she continued to assist him regularly. In the mean while, the concerned workwoman also fell sick and her daughter Kum. Alekhya Jayanthi also fell sick. While the matters stood thus, charge sheet dated 13.12.2005 was issued to the Petitioner by the Respondents alleging that the Petitioner absented for duty during the year 2008 which amounts to misconduct under company's Standing Order No.25.25. Subsequently, one inquiry was conducted and during the time of the enquiry, the Petitioner could not submit her explanation to the charge sheet and that she could not participate in the enquiry also. As a result of which an exparte enquiry was conducted. Basing on such lopsided enquiry, the Enquiry Officer held the charges as proved and basing on the erroneous findings of the Enquiry Officer, the workwoman was dismissed from service vide order dated 31.8.2006 with effect from 7.9.2006. But without considering any of her submissions, the workwoman was dismissed from service. It is also stated that the action of the Respondents management in dismissing the Petitioner workwoman from service is wholly illegal, arbitrary, violative of the principles of natural justice. The Petitioner workwoman has rendered about 17 years of continuous service in the Respondents' management. The Petitioner workwoman approached the Respondents to consider her case sympathetically, but the management did not pay any heed to it. Therefore, the Petitioner workwoman was constrained to approach this Tribunal to declare the impugned order No.CRP/PER/IR/D/92/4831 dated 31.8.2006 issued by the 1st respondent is illegal and arbitrary and to set aside the same and consequently to direct the Respondents to reinstate the Petitioner workwoman into service duly granting all other attendant benefits such as continuity of service, back wages etc..

3. The Respondents filed counter denying the averments made in the petition, with the averments in brief which runs as follows:

In the counter the Respondents while admitting some of the factual aspects to be true, stated that the Petitioner workwoman was appointed in the Respondents' company on 6.8.1989 as Junior Staff Nurse and was promoted to 'C' and 'B' grades on 8.9.1980 and 4.9.2000 respectively and has been working in Sector-III dispensary of the Respondents' company. It is submitted that the Petitioner workwoman was sanctioned 6 days leave for the period from 29.5.2005 to 3.6.2005. She was to report for duty on 5.6.2005, but she did not resume her duty on 5.6.2005, and has been continuously absenting from duty. She was dismissed from service on proved charges of absenteeism, after conducting a detailed domestic enquiry duly following the principles of natural justice. The Petitioner did not attend on the dates fixed for the enquiry and finally as a last chance the enquiry notice was published in the Telugu Daily Newspaper on 5.5.2006 fixing the date of enquiry, but she did not attend the enquiry on the date fixed. She was given full, fair and reasonable opportunity to defend herself. As such, an exparte enquiry was conducted purely following the principles of natural justice. It is stated that basing on the evidence adduced before the Enquiry Officer, he submitted his report holding the charges levelled against the Petitioner was proved. A copy of the enquiry report and the enquiry proceeding was sent to the Petitioner workwoman by way of show cause notice giving her an opportunity to make representation against the findings of the enquiry report; since the charge levelled against the Petitioner workwoman is proved and it was serious in nature, punishment warranted was dismissal from service. The Disciplinary Authority has gone through the enquiry proceeding and her past record and found that there was no extenuating circumstances to take a lenient view and lastly, the Respondents were constrained to dismiss the Petitioner workwoman from service. It is stated that in fact the Petitioner workwoman was irregular to her duties and she did not improve her attendance even after issuing charge sheet to her, and after receiving the show cause notice. It is further stated that the punishment imposed on the Petitioner workwoman is justified and legal and as such the claim petition is liable to be dismissed in limini.

4. In view of the memo filed by the counsel for the Petitioner not to contest the validity of the domestic enquiry conducted in the present case, the domestic enquiry conducted by the Respondents is held as legal and valid vide order dated 14.9.2017.

5. Both the parties have advanced their arguments under Sec.11(A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, in support of their claim.

6. **In view of the above facts, the points for determination are**:

I. Whether the action of the management of M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., in imposing the punishment of dismissal from service to Smt. Chintala Swaroopa Rani is legal and justified?

II. Whether the Petitioner workwoman is entitled for reinstatement into service?

III. If not, to what other relief she is entitled?

7. **Point No. I**: During the course of argument, the Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner workwoman submitted that due to her illness, illness of her father and also her daughter, the Petitioner workwoman could not be able to attend her duty sincerely. Even in her representation the Petitioner workwoman has mentioned the above fact, but it has not been considered during the course of the enquiry and on account of absenteeism capital punishment of dismissal from service was imposed on the Petitioner workwoman. When the Petitioner workwoman has taken a stand that due to her illness, and other family problems she could not be able to attend her duties regularly and remained absent, the authority should have considered her case while imposing punishment. The

authority has not considered any of the submissions of the Petitioner workwoman, and has given capital punishment to the Petitioner workwoman when several modes of punishment are enumerated in the company's Standing Orders.

8. On the other hand, the Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondents submitted that when the Petitioner workwoman was a chronic absentee and was found guilty in the charges levelled against her, the punishment imposed by the Respondents' company is legal and proper. When the Petitioner workwoman was not sincere in her duty and failed to maintain minimum musters in a year she is not entitled to be reinstated in service.

9. In fact, due to illness the Petitioner has remained absent in her duties and a proceeding was initiated against her for her absenteeism followed by an enquiry. In the enquiry, the Petitioner workwoman did not participate and the charges levelled against the workwoman were proved. For this, capital punishment has been imposed. After dismissal of service, the workwoman has become jobless and unable to provide a square meal to her family members. She has already realised her mistake and has taken shelter in the Tribunal and is ready to provide bread and butter to her family members. When she has already realised her mistake atleast one chance should be given to her for reinstatement into service. Admittedly several modes of punishment are enumerated in company's Standing Orders. The Petitioner workwoman is a first offender and has worked more than 17 years under the Respondents. When punishment has been imposed, her past conduct has not been considered. While imposing capital punishment to his employees, the management should think of the condition of the workwoman as well as her family members, so also her past conduct. In this case, the punishment imposed by the Respondents for dismissal of service is too harsh. Therefore, it can safely be stated that the action of the management in imposing the punishment of dismissal from service to Smt. Chintala Swaroopa Rani is not legal and justified.

This point is answered accordingly.

10. **Point Nos. II & III**: In Point No.I, it has already been discussed that the punishment of dismissal from service to Smt. Chintala Swaroopa Rani is not legal and justified. After dismissal of service as stated earlier, when the Petitioner workwoman has already realised her mistake and has come to the Tribunal with a prayer for her reinstatement into service she should be given a chance to serve for her family members. Now, after dismissal of service the workwoman has become jobless and she being the sole earning member of her family, is unable to provide a square meal to her family members. In such a circumstances, atleast the Petitioner workwoman should be given a chance to maintain her livelihood and to work under the Respondents' management. But, in the circumstances stated above, the workwoman is not entitled to get all the relief as claimed in her petition. But the Petitioner workwoman is entitled to be given a chance to work in the Respondents' management.

Thus, Point Nos. II & III are answered accordingly.

Result:

The action of the General Manager, M/s. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., Ramagundam-I Area, Godavarikhani, Karimnagar Distt. in terminating the services of Smt. Chintala Swaroopa Rani, Ex-Staff Nurse, Area Hospital SCCo. Ltd., Ramagundam-I Area, Godavarikhani Area w.e.f. 18.1.2000 is not justified. Proceeding No. CRP/PER/IR/D/92/4831 dated 31.8.2006 issued by Respondent No.1 is declared as illegal and is hereby set aside. It is ordered that the workwoman Smt. Chintala Swaroopa Rani be taken into service as a fresh employee i.e., Staff Nurse on initial basic pay without back wages and continuity of service, subject to medical fitness by the company Medical Board and the workwoman be kept under probation for a period of one year. The management is also directed to take an undertaking of good behaviour from the workwoman at the time of her posting.

The workwoman can not claim for her posting in the same place, where she was last employed. The workman shall have to either maintain minimum mandatory 20 musters every month or 190 musters in a year and the management shall have the right to review the work of the workman in every three months. In the event of any short fall of attendance during the period of the three months, the service of the workman will not be terminated and she will be cautioned to improve her performance by issuing her a warning letter. However, in the event of any shortfall of attendance during one year of service of the workman, she will be terminated from service without any further notice and enquiry. The management shall consider any forced absenteeism on account of Mine accidents/ Natural disasters, taking treatment in the company's hospital, as attendance. All other usual terms and conditions of appointment will be applicable i.e., transfer, hours of work, day of rest, holidays etc.. to the workman for appointment afresh.

Award is passed accordingly. Transmit.

Typed to my dictation by Smt. P. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant and corrected by me on this the 26th day of February, 2019.

MURALIDHAR PRADHAN, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for the Petitioner	Witnesses examined for the Respondent
NIL	NIL

Documents marked for the Petitioner

NIL

Documents marked for the Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 668.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मैसर्स एन.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण–सह–श्रम न्यायालय, जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 9/2014) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 23.04.2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल–22015/12/2013–आईआर (सी.एम.-II)]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 668.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 9/2014) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of NCL and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 23-04-2019.

[No. L-22015/12/2013-IR(CM-II)]

RAJENDER SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

NO. CGIT/LC/R/9/2014

General Secretary,
Bhartiya Koyla Khadan Shramik Sandh (BMS),
Amlohri Project Branch,
PO Amlohri,
Distt. Sidhi (MP) …Workman

Vs.

Chief General Manager,
Amlohri Project, NCL,
PO Amlohri,
Distt. Sidhi (MP) …Management

AWARD

Passed on this 5th day of April, 2019

1. As per letter dated 28-1-2014 by the Government of India, Ministry of Labor, New Delhi, the reference is received. The reference is made to this Tribunal under Section -10 of I.D.Act, 1947 as per Notification No.L-22015/12/2013-IR(CM-II).The dispute under reference relates to:

> **"Whether the fixation of Shri Madhusudan Prasad Mishra by the Chief General Manager, Amlohri Project, NCL PO Amlohri, Distt. Singrauli on his (Shri Mishra's) requested reversion due to ill-health to the post of Driver Category-V from Dumper Operator Category Grade II was not in order as his promotion/ upgradation were not taken into consideration. If so, what benefit Shri Madhusudan Prasad Mishra be entitled to i.e. arrears of difference of pay and promotion?**

2. After receiving reference, notices were issued to the parties. Workman filed statement of claim. In his statement of claim, he submitted that he was initially appointed as Driver Cat-V on 15-5-84, promoted as dumper operator Grade II on 20-2-87 and later on he got salary to the post of Dumper Operator Grade I because he operated higher capacity machine.

3. Vide order dated 11-4-94 issued by Dy.Chief Personal Manager, Amlohri Project, he was reverted due to illness i.e. asthma which was born due to sand and dust. On 11-4-94, he was getting salary @Rs.71.43 as basic pay and after his reversion as Driver Category V, his basic pay was reduced and was fixed @ Rs.44.50. vide order dated 7-6-1999, his salary was protected on the basis of recommendation and past services and he was fixed at basic pay of Rs.104.90 per day which is related to the salary of Dumper Operator Grade II. It was also alleged that at per cadre scheme of N.C.L. the post of driver operator and car operator are of two different cadres and further that vide order dated 6/7-11-2003, workman was selected as crane operator Grade-III, and at present working as crane operator Grade I. It is the claim of workman that at the time of reversion i.e. on 11-4-94, wages of workman were fixed in the pay scale provided in NCWA-IV(National Coal Wage Agreement) and at the time of protection of pay provided in NCWA-V, hence the workman is entitled to get the difference of wages and pay for the period from 11-4-94 to 7-6-99. Accordingly, workman has claimed the difference of wages from 11-4-94 to 7-6-99 as per NCWA-IV & V which is about 6 lakhs.

4. In their written statement of defense, the employers admitted that the workman was initially appointed as Driver Cat-V as alleged by him and was working as dumper operator Grade I in the pay scale of 31.99-1.76-56.63(MCWA-III) and his basic salary was fixed Rs.33.75 per day. On 29-3-94, he submitted an application before Chief General Manager stating that he was suffering from asthma and has been medically advised to avoid dust hence unable to perform the duties of dumper operator. He expressed his desire to go back on the job of Driver Cat-V for which he expressed his readiness and willingness to accept wages of Driver Category V. The management after considering his request, re-designated him as Driver Category V w.e.f. 11-4-94 and his basic was fixed initially in the pay scale of 44.50-1.62-67.18 (NCWA-IV). His basic was fixed after re-designation in post of Driver Category V as under:-

a. Shri Madhusudan Prasad Mishra was appointed as Driver Cat-V w.e.f. 15-6-84,

b. Promoted to the post of Dumper Operator Gr-II w.e.f. 20-2-87

c. Promoted to the post of Dumper Operator Grade I w.e.f. 28-2-89

d. Basic pay drawn in the post of Dumper Operator Grade I

In NCWA IV	In NCWA V
1-3-92 Rs.66.19	1.3.92 Rs.98.95
1-3-93 Rs.68.81	1-3-93 Rs.103.50
1-3-94 Rs.71.43	1.3.94 Rs.108.05

A representation dated 26-8-97 by the Union in this respect on the ground that before re-designation as Driver Cat-V. workman was getting basic pay of Rs.108.05 per day in the post of Dumper Operator Grade I. This remuneration was deducted and Union was informed by the management vide letter dated 8-12-96. Workman further file a case before the Court of Sub-Divisional Magistrate Singrauli but could not succeed. It was decided that since workman was selected for the post of dumper operator to Driver and was again re-designated as Driver hence his pay might be fixed for the post of Driver Cat-V as if he was not selected for the post of Dumper Operator and he would get increment benefit of Driver Cat-V meaning thereby he was appointed on 15-6-84 and his pay be fixed with awarding normal increment of Driver Cat V from 1-7-85 but actual monetary benefit may be given with immediate effect and no arrear will be paid consequent upon the new fitment. Also, it was decided that consequent upon placement in Cat-V, workman will not claim promotion to the post of Driver Cat-VI cum Mechanic in case his juniors were promoted. This decision was taken after prolonged discussion with the workman. Accordingly, the management prayed for rejection of his claim.

5. In his rejoinder, the workman states that copy of application of workman dated 21-3-94 Annexure M-1 filed by the employers shows that the workman only requested for change of place of duty and the employers did not pass any order on his application on Annexure M-1. Workman filed another application dated 29-3-94, copy filed by the management which he had filed at the instance & assurance of employers that his pay will be protected and it is on that application filed by the workman on assurance by the management that his pay would be protected, the employers passed order dated 11-4-94, copy filed by employers with their Written Statement of defense. Workman reiterated allegations that employers passed order dated 7-6-99 protecting his salary w.e.f. 11-4-94 but stated in the order that workman would not get the monetary benefits and no arrear arise out of fixation. This order dated 7-6-99 is illegal and discriminatory because similarly placed workman George Koshi, R.D.Patel, B.K.Singh, G.S.Pandey, working in other projects of the same employers have been granted pay protection.

6. Workman has examined himself on oath and has filed 3 photocopy documents which are office order dated 30-9-91, 18-2-89 & 9-1-99 relating to Kakri project. These orders relate to other workman R.D. Patel, B.P.Dwivedi and George Koshi as stated by him in his rejoinder.

7. Management examined Sunil Kumar Jha, General Manager of the Amlohri Project on oath and proved documents- application dated 21-3-94 & 29-3-94, office order dated 11-4-94, letter dated 8-12-97 of management sent to Union which are Exhibit M- 1 to M-4 respectively.

8. Shri S.K. Mishra appeared on behalf of workman and Shri A.K.Shashi for employer. I have heard argument of learned counsel from both the sides and have gone through the record.

9. On perusal of record in the light of rival argument, following points came up for determination in the present case:-

   (1) **Whether the refusal of arrears regarding difference of pay/ wages during the period 11-4-94 to 7-6-99 by the management is justified in law and fact?**

   (2) **Whether the workman is entitled to any arrear of difference of pay?**

10. **Point for Determination No.1-**

Admitted between the parties is the fact that while workman was working as Dumper Operator Grade I, he was reverted to Driver Cat-V on his application. Date of order of reversion is 11-4-94. According to the workman, he filed an application on 21-3-94 requesting him to allot duty at another place because working as dumper operator in sand and fumes is adversely affecting his health. This application has been proved by management's witness at Exhibit M-1. Perusal of this application reveals that following order was passed on the application by management-

"Dy.CPM- please issue a letter to Shri M.P.Mishra (worker) that as dumper operator, he cannot get a place out of mine area. If he will give option for demotion to the post of Driver Cat V, he can be posted in dispensary or else where out of the mine."

This document has been admitted by the workman also. The other application filed by workman on 29-3-94, copy filed by management, admitted by workman, proved by management witness at Exhibit M-2 makes it clear that in its 2nd application, workman expressed his willingness to change his post from dumper operator to Driver and also expressed his consent and accepted hat he will accept whatever salary admissible to him as per rules on his changed place. There is an order of the management side which reads as under:-

" He should be engaged as Driver Cat-V and should also be paid wages of Driver Cat-V. he would be posted in hospital – signed on 29th March"

Exhibit M-3 proved by management's witness, admitted by the workman is the formal office order dated 11-4-94 passed by Dy.Chief Personal Officer on his application dated 29-3-94. This order reads as under:-

"Shri Madhusudhan Prasad Mishra Dumper Operator vide his application dated 29-3-94 has requested for Driver job as he is suffering from asthma disease and unable to perform duty of Dumper Operator. His request has been considered and he is hereby re-designated as Driver Cat-V w.e.f. 11-4-94 and his basic will be fixed Rs.44.50-1.63-67.18. Shri Mishra is directed to report for duty to Medical Superintendent Amlohri."

11. Workman has stated on oath that the application dated 29-3-94 was filed by him under the assurance by the management that he will be adjusted out of field duty as a Driver on the same pay and wages he was getting as Dumper Operator Grade I. Management side has denied this allegation in their written statement of defense. Hence, the burden of proving the fact that workman filed application dated 29-3-94 on the assurance given by management regarding protection of his salary and wages will be on the workman. There is nothing on record except the self serving statement of workman on this point. the office order referred to above passed on the application of workman and the endorsement of the Manager on the application of workman M-3 & M-2 respectively, do not state that his pay shall be protected after changing his job from Dumper Operator Grade I to Driver Cat-V. Moreover in Para-5 of the Written Statement of the claim, workman has stated that vide order of management dated 7-6-99, his salary was protected on the basis of representation and past services and he was fixed at Rs.104.90 per day. This pay is relating to post of dumper operator Grade II. Hence, this allegation of workman where he admits that his salary was protected by order of management dated 7-6-99 shows that his grievances were redressed. As regards, payment of arrears of salary for the period between 11-4-94 to 7-6-99, there is no such order regarding payment. The point arises here is whether management was under obligation to pay arrears or not. No rule or cercular has been cited by workman which provides the management to pay arrears of pay and wages in such a situation. It is further the case of workman in his rejoinder that similarly placed other workers viz George Koshi, R.D.Patel, B.K.Singh

and Shri G.S.Pandey were granted arrears when their cadre was changed. The management has first denied it.

12. Learned counsel for management has submitted that these workmen are not the employees of Amlohri Project rather they are employees of Kakri and other projects under different managements hence any order of the management of other project will not be binding on present employers. Learned counsel for workman has rebutted this argument with submission that all projects are owned and managed by NCL hence simply because the projects are different, no discrimination can be done in similar circumstances as it has been done in the case in hand which requires to be rectified.

13. Point further arises that whether workman has successfully proved this fact in the case in hand or not. Perusal of record reveals that application was filed by workman directing the management to produce the service records of R.D.Patel, B.K.Singh and George Koshi. The management opposed this application stating that their service records are not with them because these workers are employees of different projects and their service records are maintained in the office of that project. This application for summoning document was dismissed by my learned predecessor that the management in the case in hand cannot be directed to produce these service records because the records were not in their possession and control. Workman could file an application for summoning the records from the offices where they were maintained but he did not do so hence a simple self serving statement of workman that in similar cases of cadre change, arrears of difference in salary were given to Shri B.K.Singh, George Koshi and R.D.Patel, which is not supported by any document is not sufficient to prove this assertion of workman. Moreover, there is on record photocopy of office order dated 30-9-91, 18-2-89 & 9-1-99 which is inadmissible because it has not been proved. These 3 documents are office orders subsequent upon change of cadre of employees R.D.Patel, B.K.Singh and George Koshi Even in these inadmissible documents,there is no order regarding payment of arrears of difference of wages rather it has been specifically denied to them. Hence, in such a circumstance, and in the light of facts and evidence as mentioned above, there is nothing on record to hold that management was not justified in refusing arrears of difference of pay to the workman for the period 11-4-94 to 7-6-99**. Accordingly it is held that refusal of management to pay arrear of pay to the workman subsequent to his change of cadre from post of dumper operator Grade I to Driver Cat-V is not unjustified in law or fact**. Point No.1 is answered accordingly.

14. **Point <u>for Determination</u> No.2**-

In the light of my finding in Point No.1, this point is answered in negative holding that the workman is not entitled to any relief.

15. In the result, award is passed as under:-

(1) **The action of the management in not paying arrear of pay to the workman subsequent to his change of cadre from post of Dumper Operator Grade I to Driver Cat-V is proper and legal.**

(2) **Workman Shri Madhusudan Prasad Mishra is not entitled to any relief.**

16. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labor & Employment as per rules.

Dated: 5-4-2019

P. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 669.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मेसर्स सैन्ट्रल बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 92/2013) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 26.04.2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल—12012/62/2013—आईआर (बी-II)]

सीमा बंसल, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 669.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 92/2013) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of Central Bank of India and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 26.04.2019.

[No. L-12012/62/2013-IR(B-II)]

SEEMA BANSAL, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOR COURT, JABALPUR

NO. CGIT/LC/R/92/2013

Shri Vinod Kumar Srivas,
Ward No.4, Maa Baba Chowk,
Bahmni Banjer, Mandla (MP) …Workman

Versus

Sr. Regional Manager,
Central Bank of India,
601, Napier Town,
Jabalpur (MP) …Management

AWARD

Passed on this 3rd day of April, 2019

1. As per letter dated 30-9-2013 by the Government of India, Ministry of Labor, New Delhi, the reference is received. The reference is made to this Tribunal under Section -10 of I.D.Act, 1947 as per Notification No.L-12012/62/2013-IR(B-II). The dispute under reference relates to:

   "Whether the action of the management of the Central Bank of India in terminating the services of Shri Vinod Kumar Srivas is legal and justified? What relief the claimant is entitled for and from which date?"

2. In his statement of claim, the applicant workman has stated that he was appointed/ entered in the service of the employer Bank in Bahmni Banjer Branch on 6-4-2002 as peon cum safai worker and worked till 12-2-2013 without any break in service. At the time of his termination, he was paid fixed wages @ Rs.1850 per month. He discharged his duties faithfully and diligently like any permanent employee, worked even on holidays and had completed more than 240 days in each calendar year for about 11 years continuously. He was initially paid Rs.740 per month which was raised up to Rs.1850 per month during the course of his employment. The post on which the workman was working was regular in nature. Vacancies for the post were advertised. Workman also submitted his application, to be forwarded to Regional Office of the employer Bank but it was not sent in time due to malafide on the part of employers depriving him an opportunity to appear in the selection process for the vacancies. He agitated against this and the Branch Manager of the employer Bank terminated his services verbally from 12-2-2013. He had worked 240 days in the year preceding the date of his termination. No notice or salary was given to him hence the termination is violative of Section 25-F of ID Act, is also violative of principles of natural justice hence amounting to illegal retrenchment, victimization and unfair labor practice. It was also alleged that after his termination, workman has been out of gainful employment and has been unable to get job anywhere. His family is living in starvation because he is the only earning member of the family. Accordingly, the workman has prayed for declaring illegal and setting aside his termination and direction to employers to reinstate him with full backwages.

3. In their Written Statement of defense, employers have flatly denied the allegation that the workman was ever employed by them in any capacity. It was also pleaded that he was neither engaged as a daily wager or part time worker of the Bank. He was not an employee of the Bank. Bank never directed him to work in any Branch of the Bank. There is no relationship of workman and employer between the parties hence there is no question of his completing 240 days in employment/ engagement of the employer Bank preceding the date of his termination. Also, it was pleaded that the Bank issued publication on 2-11-2012 in newspaper regarding vacancies of Safaikarmchari and peon but the application of the workman could not reach to its Regional Office in time hence he was not considered. There has been no violation of Section 25-F or any provision of ID Act. Accordingly, it has been prayed that the claim of the workman be dismissed as such.

4. In evidence, workman filed peon book (7 pages), payment vouchers (4 pages), written application to Regional office of the Bank regarding his application w.r.t. vacancies (1 page), certificate issued by Branch Manager alongwith the application (1 page each). Letter of Branch Manager dated 28-11-2011(2 pages), all admitted by employers marked Exhibit W-1 to W-6 respectively. Workman also filed copy of communication dated 23-2-2013 issued by Bank to him stating the reasons of non-consideration of his application. In the recruitment process with regard to vacancies advertised which is also admitted by employers is Exhibit W-7. Workman examined himself on oath and was cross-examination by employers.

5. The management examined its witness Shri Sumil Goel, Branch Manager on oath, who was cross-examined by workman. The management also filed payment vouchers, 8 in number, attendance sheet (14 pages), peon book (64 pages). All admitted by workman except attendance sheet marked Exhibit M-1 & M-2 respectively.

6. I have heard argument of Shri Arun Patel, learned counsel for workman and Shri Avinash Dey, Learned counsel for management and have also gone through the record.

7. From the perusal of record in the light of rival argument, following points for determination arise in the case in hand-

   (1) **Whether the termination/dis-engagement of services of workman is justified in law?**

   (2) **If the answer of Ist point is in Negative, whether the workman is entitled to any relief?**

8. **Point for Determination No.1**-

   Respective pleadings of the parties on this point have already been detailed earlier. Workman has examined himself on oath. In his affidavit, he has stated that he was engaged as pen from safai worker on 6-4-2002 & since then, has been continuously working upto 12-2-13 at Bahmni Banjer Branch of the employer Bank. He was paid wages regularly through payment vouchers, some of the vouchers have been filed by him. He further stated that he was assigned work daily including Dak distribution to the Bank's customers. Copy of the peon book has been filed by him. He also states that his services were terminated without giving notice or without assigning any reason from 13-2-2013 by a verbal order which is against law and since then he is not gainfully employed any where. He has denied that he was called for work only when the Bank required his services and has stated in his cross-examination that he was regularly kept in engagement and had worked for 240 days in the year preceding his dis-engagement. Workman has filed and proved peon book (copy) which is in 7 pages, admitted by employer. It goes to show that he has distributed various mails to various people/ persons within a period from 5-3-2002 to 16-3-2007 on different dates. Payment vouchers (copy) total 4 pages, filed by workman admitted by the employers which is Exhibit W-2 goes to show that he has been paid wages during the period of 7-1-2003 to 1-8-2007 at different dates as casual worker with the Bank. Workman has further filed and proved an application and certificate dated 7-11-2012, issue by the Bank certifying that he worked for more than 45 days in the year 2002-03. Employers have admitted these two documents. This certificate was issued by employers in relation to an application (copy on record), about which the workman has stated that he had submitted application regarding recruitment w.r.t. vacancies advertised,which was not forwarded by the Branch to the Regional office. Management's witness Sumit Goel, Branch Manager has stated on oath that workman was never an employee of the Bank nor was he appointed by any officer of the Bank. His averment that he was peon cum safai worker at Bahmni Banjer Branch from 6-4-2002 to 12-2-2013 is baseless because he was not appointed in the Bank nor was engaged as daily wager or part time worker with the Branch. It was also stated that since he was not in engagement of the management Bank therefore he was not directed at any point of time to discharge any duty and there was no question of completing 240 days in the year preceding his date of alleged dis-engagement hence benefit of Section 25-F is not available to him regarding not forwarding his application for recruitment regarding vacancies in the year 2012, the witness states that his candidature was not considered because his application was not received by the Regional office of the Bank before the last date fixed for filing applications. Also, it was stated by the witness that since there was no relation of employer and employee, at any point of time between parties, the provisions of I.D. Act, 1947 are not applicable in the case at hand.

9. In his cross-examination, management witness has stated that the documents (referred to above) filed by the workman have been admitted by management employers. This witness also admits that no notice or compensation was given to him before his disengagement. It is strange that the document filed by management itself rebut the oral statement of management's witness Exhibit M-1 which is of 8 pages is the payment voucher regarding payment made to the workman by the Bank. These vouchers are of date 3-1-2012, 2-3-12, 18-4-12, 1-5-12, 2-6-12, 3-7-12, 4-9-12, 6-10-12. It is specifically mentioned in these vouchers that these are wages of daily wager workman Vinod Kumar Srivas. Learned counsel for employment submits that even if it be taken that the workman was paid through these vouchers because he worked with the

Branch or was engaged with the Branch, these vouchers donot prove him in engagement for 240 days in the year preceding his date of alleged disengagement. Payment voucher of March 12 establishes that workman had worked in February 2012 for which payment was made in March and same is the case with other vouchers. Hence, these 7 payment vouchers establish the case of the workman that he was engaged for 7 months i.e. for 210 days with the Bank. Regarding his engagement for other period, management has itself filed peon book which is 64 pages marked Exhibit M-2. The peon book(copy) Exhibit M-2- page 27,28 & 29 as well as 30 show that the workman has distributed different mails in the month of May. Similarly peon book Exhibit M-2 Page 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 & 41 also establish that he has distributed various mails on different dates to different persons on behalf of Bank.

10. Learned counsel for management has tried to discredit these documents, though filed by the management itself, with a ground that these documents donot contain full signature of the workman in the column of the person who has delivered the mail but I am not inclined to accept these argument because in every document right from statement of claim to statement of oath on other documents, the initials of the workman are same hence these M-2 document further establish that workman has also worked in the month of May and July for which, particularly for the month of July, the payment vouchers has been with held by the management. accordingly the evidence produced by the workman regarding his claim that he completed 240 days preceding date of his termination appears more reliable than the barred statement of management's witness, contradicted by the documents produced by the management itself, that workman was never in engagement at any time with the Bank. On the basis of above discussion, the fact that workman had completed 240 days in the year preceding his date of disengagement is held proved. Also the fact that workman has been engagement of the management Bank since April 2002 as casual worker on whole time basis, discharging the duties of mail messenger and other duties till date of his disengagement is also held proved.

11. Reference of Section 25-F of ID Act is also necessary which reads as under:-

Section 25-F: Conditions precedent to retrenchment of workmen.- No workman employed in any industry who has been in continuous service for not less than one year under an employer shall be retrenched by that employer until-

(a) the workman has been given one month's notice in writing indicating the reasons for retrenchment and the period of notice has expired, or the workman has been paid in lieu of such notice, wages for the period of the notice: 1[***]

(b) the workman has been paid, at the time of retrenchment, compensation which shall be equivalent to fifteen days' average pay 2[for every completed year of continuous service] or any part thereof in excess of six months; and

(c) notice in the prescribed manner is served on the appropriate Government 3[or such authority as may be specified by the appropriate Government by notification in the Official Gazette."

12. Learned counsel for workman referred to case **of Director, Fisheries Terminal Division versus Bhikubhai Meghajibhai Chavda reported in AIR-2010 SSC-1236.** Para-14 & 15 of this judgment requires to be mentioned here which are being reproduced as under:-

This court in the case of **R.M. Yellatty vs. Assistant Executive Engineer [(2006) 1 SCC 106],** has observed

"However, applying general principles and on reading the aforesaid judgments, we find that this Court, has repeatedly taken the view that the burden of proof is on the claimant to show that he had worked for 240 days in a given year. This burden is discharged only upon the workman stepping up in the witness box. This burden is discharged upon the workman adducing cogent 9 evidence, both oral and documentary. In cases of termination of services of daily-waged earners, there will be no letter of appointment of termination. There will also be no receipt of proof of payment. Thus in most cases, the workman (the claimant) can only call upon the employer to produce before the Court the nominal muster roll for the given period, the letter of appointment of termination, if any, the wage register, the attendance register, etc. Drawing of adverse inference ultimately would depend thereafter on the facts of each case."

15) Applying the principles laid down in the above case by this court, the evidence produced by the appellants has not been consistent. The appellants claim that the respondent did not work for 240 days. The respondent was a workman hired on a daily wage basis. So, it is obvious, as this court pointed out in the above case that he would have difficulty in having access to all the official documents, muster rolls etc. in connection with his service. He has come forward and deposed, so in our opinion the burden of proof shifts to the employer/appellants to prove that he did not complete 240 days of service in the requisite period to constitute continuous service. It is the contention of the appellant that the services of the respondent were terminated in 1988. The witness produced by the appellant stated that the respondent stopped coming to work

from 10 February, 1988. The documentary evidence produced by the appellant is contradictory to this fact as it shows that the respondent was working during February, 1989 also. It has also been observed by the High Court that the muster roll for 1986-87 was not completely produced. The appellants have inexplicably failed to produce the complete records and muster rolls from 1985 to 1991, inspite of the direction issued by the labor court to produce the same. In fact, there has been practically no challenge to the deposition of the respondent during cross-examination. In this regard, it would be pertinent to mention the observation of three judge bench of this court in the case of **Municipal Corporation, Faridabad Vs. Siri Niwas [(2004) 8 SCC 195],** where it is observed: "A Court of Law even in a case where provisions of the Indian Evidence Act apply, may presume or may not presume that if a party despite possession of the best evidence had not produced the same, it would have gone against this contentions. The matter, however, would be different where despite direction by a court the evidence is withheld.

On the basis of above discussion, it is held that dis-engagement of services of workman Vinod Kumar Srivas from 12-2-2013 is violative of Section 25-F of ID Act and is untenable in law. Point No.1 is answered accordingly.

13. **Point for determination No.2**-

In the light of finding w.r.t. Point No.1, point remains for determination is to what relief, the workman is entitled? Learned counsel for workman has relied on case law **Tapas Kumar Paul versus BSNL and another reported in 2014(4)-SCR-875** and has submitted that since the retrenchment of the workman has not been found legal, hence he requires to be reinstated as prayed by him in the facts and circumstances of the case at hand. The Apex Court has laid down certain guidelines to be considered in substituting an order of reinstatement by awarding compensation. These are -

"It is no doubt true that a Court may pass an order substituting an order of reinstatement by awarding compensation but the same has to be based on justifiable grounds viz.

(I) where the industry is closed;

(II) where the employee has superannuated or going to retire shortly and no period of service is left to his credit;

(III) where the workman has been rendered incapacitated to discharge the duties and cannot be reinstated and / or

(IV) when he has lost confidence of the Management to discharge duties. What is sought to be emphasized is that there may be appropriate case on facts which may justify substituting the order of reinstatement by award of compensation, but that has to be supported by some legal and justifiable reasons indicating why the order of reinstatement should be allowed to be substituted by award of compensation.

The same principles have been laid down in case of **Deepali Gundu Surwase versus Kranti Junior AdhyapakMahavidyalaya and others reported in 2013(1) SCC-324.**

14. In recent case, **Civil Appeal no. 124-125 of 2019 decided by Apex Court on 7-1-2019** also requires to be mentioned in this respect. Para-11 of said judgment is being reproduced as under:-

It is apposite to reproduce what this Court has held in the case of **Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited** (supra):

"33. It is clear from the reading of the aforesaid judgments that the ordinary principle of grant of reinstatement with fullback wages, when the termination is found to be illegal is not applied mechanically in allcases. While that may be a position whereservices of a regular/permanent workman areterminated illegally and/or mala fide and/or by way of victimization, unfair labor practice, etc. However, when it comes to the case of termination of a dailywage worker and where the termination is found illegal because of a procedural defect, namely, in violation of Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act, this Court is consistent in taking the view that in such cases reinstatement with back wages is not automatic and instead the workman should be given monetary compensation which will meet the ends of justice. Rationale for shifting in this direction is obvious.

34. The reasons for denying the relief ofreinstatement in such cases are obvious. It istrite law that when the termination is foundto be illegal because of non-payment of retrenchment compensation and notice pay as mandatorily required under Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act, even after reinstatement, it is always open to the management to terminate the services ofthat employee by paying him the retrenchment compensation. Since such a workman was working on daily wage basis and even after he is reinstated, he has noright to seek regularisation [see State of Karnataka v. Umadevi (3)17]. Thus when he cannot claim regularisation and he has noright to continue even

as a daily wage worker, no useful purpose is going to beserved in reinstating such a workman and hecan be given monetary compensation by theCourt itself inasmuch as if he is terminatedagain after reinstatement, he would receive monetary compensation only in the form ofretrenchment compensation and notice pay.

In such a situation, giving the relief ofreinstatement, that too after a long gap, would not serve any purpose.

"35. We would, however, like to add a caveathere. There may be cases where terminationof a daily wage worker is found to be illegalon the ground that it was resorted to asunfair labor practice or in violation of the principle of last come first go viz. whileretrenching such a worker daily wage juniorsto him were retained. There may also be asituation that persons junior to him wereregularised under some policy but theworkman concerned terminated. In suchcircumstances, the terminated worker shouldnot be denied reinstatement unless there aresome other weighty reasons for adopting thecourse of grant of compensation instead ofreinstatement. In such cases, reinstatementshould be the rule and only in exceptionalcases for the reasons stated to be in writing,such a relief can be denied."

Now considering the claim of reinstatement of the workman in the light of principles of law laid down in the referred cases from the evidence on record, it is proved that the workman has been in engagement of the management Bank since April 2002. He has specifically stated on oath that he has not been in gainful engagement since after the date of his disengagement and there is no rebuttal by employers. This is established that he has passed the period of his life in which he could be eligible or could apply for any other employment as he has crossed that age during his this engagement also that he has not reached the age of superannuation or has not been incapacitated by any intervening disability to continue in engagement. In these circumstances, it is just and proper and in the ends of justice if he is reinstated on the position as it was on the date of his disengagement but with 50 % backwages from the date of his disengagement till date of reinstatement.**Accordingly, the workman is held entitled to be reinstated on the position as it was on the date of his disengagement but with 50 % back wages and all consequential benefits from the date of his disengagement till date of reinstatement**.

Point No.2 is decided accordingly.

In the result, award is passed as under:-

(1) **The action of the management of the Central Bank of India in terminating the services of Shri Vinod Kumar Srivas is not legal and justified.**

(2) **The management of Central Bank of India is directed to reinstate workman Vinod Kumar Srivas on the position as it was on the date of his disengagement but with 50 % backwages from the date of his disengagementwith all consequential benefits till date of reinstatement.**

Amount as per above order shall be paid to workman within 30 days from the date of notification of award. In case of default, amount shall carry 9% interest per annum from the date of award till its realization.

Dated : 3-4-2019

P. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 670.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मेसर्स बैंक ऑफ बडौदा के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, न. 1, नई दिल्ली के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 94/2014) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 26/04/.2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल–39025/01/2019–आईआर (बी-II)]

सीमा बंसल, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 670.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 94/2014) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* No. 1, New Delhi as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of Bank of Baroda and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 26/04/2019.

[No. L-39025/01/2019-IR(B-II)]

SEEMA BANSAL, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE COURT OF SHRI AVTAR CHAND DOGRA PRESIDING OFFICER CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT No.1, DWARKA COURTS COMPLEX : NEW DELHI

ID No. 94/2014

Smt. Kamla Devi w/o. Shri Makhan Lal
Through Delhi Karamchari Union (Regd.)
52-C, Okha Estate Phase-3,
New Delhi 110020.
r/o. 8/3 G-Block, Sangam Vihar,
New Delhi 110062. …Workman/Claimant

Versus

The Branch Manager
Bank of Baroda,
L-43, Kalkaji,
New Delhi 110019. …Management

AWARD

This Award shall decided a claim petition directly filed by the claimant under Section 2-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (in short "the Act") with the averments that the claimant Smt. Kamla Devi had been working as Safai Karamchari since January, 2011 with Management Bank at its Kalkajit Branch and her drawn wages were Rs.6000/- per month. She always worked with honesty and dedication but the Management took advantage of her illiteracy. She was neither given any appointment letter, nor minimum wages and over time, casual leave etc., though the claimant made demand for the same. The Management terminated the services of the claimant on 3/10/2013 without any notice, warning or charge sheet and in violation of the provisions of Section 25-F and 25-G of the Act. It is pleaded that the Management used to get signatures of the claimant on blank vouchers and plain papers which the claimant had done under pressure as the Management used to extend threat of terminating her services time and again. The Management even did not pay to her earned wages for the months of August, September and October, 2013. A demand notice dated 7/10/2013 was sent to the Management but to no response. The claimant thereafter approached the Conciliation Officer but to no avail . The workman/claimant is unemployed since the day of termination of her services by the Management, though she made efforts to find a job.

2. The claim petition has been resisted by the Management who filed its written statement and took preliminary objections inter-alia that there is no employer-employee relationship between the claimant and the Management, as the claimant never worked with the Management since its opening in the year 2012. It is alleged that claim of the claimant to the effect that she started working at Kalkaji Branch of the Bank since January, 2011, is false inasmuch as Kalkaji Branch of the Bank was opened (soft launch) only on 31/3/2012 and was formally inaugurated only on 22/9/2012, because premises on Ground Floor, L-43 Kalkaji was taken on lease w.e.f. 1/4/2012 and permission was obtained from South MCD vide letter dated 8/8/2012. All other averments made by the claimant regarding obtaining her signatures on blank vouchers/papers and/or payment of less wages have been totally denied by the Management.

3) The claimant/workman filed rejoinder wherein she denied all the allegations made by the Management and reiterated her own case as set up in the claim petition.

4) On the pleadings of the parties, this Tribunal vide order dated 28/04/2016 framed following issues :-

(i) Whether termination of the workman with effect from 3/10/2013 is arbitrary and illegal and the workman is liable to be reinstated as alleged ?

(ii) Whether Kalkaji branch of the Management was opened on 31/3/2012 ? If so, its effect ?

(iii) Whether the workman has never worked in Kalkaji Branch, as alleged and as such there was no relationship of employer-employee between the parties ?

6) The Claimant in support of her case examined herself as W.W.1 and tendered her affidavit Ex.WW1/A alongwith documents Ex.WW1/1 to WW1/3.

7) On the other hand, the Management in order to rebut the case of the claimant examined Shri Aditya Ravi, Chief Manager of Management who tendered his evidence by way of affidavit Ex.MW1/A and relied on documents Ex.MW1/1 to Ex.MW1/3.

8) I have carefully gone through the evidence adduced on record by both the parties and have give my thoughtful consideration to rival contentions of Shri B.S.Rawat, A./R for the claimant and Shri B.S.Chauhan, A/R for the Management. My findings on above issues are as follows.

Issue No.2 :-

9. Onus to prove this issue was upon the Management, inasmuch as it was alleged by the Management that claim of the claimant that she had started working with the Management Bank from January, 2011 is incorrect because Kalkaji Branch of the Bank was opened (soft launch) only on 31/3/2012 and was formally inaugurated only on 22/9/2012, because premises on Ground Floor, L-43 Kalkaji was taken on lease w.e.f. 1/4/2012 and permission was obtained from South MCD vide letter dated 8/8/2012. To prove its case, the Management examined MW1- Mr.Aditya Ravi who has filed on record copy of the lease deed in respect of premises where Kalkaji Branch was opened viz. ground floor of L-43 Kalkaji New Delhi as Ex.MW1/1, which goes to show that premises in question was leased to the Management Bank w.e.f.1/4/2012 for a term of 10 years. MW 2 Ankit Palta, another witness of the Management has filed on record copy of the letter dated 15/3/2012 of Reserve Bank of India as Ex.MW2/3 which shows that MICR code in respect of Kalkaji Branch was allotted to the Management Bank by the Reserve Bank of India. No contrary thereto has been adduced by the claimant. The aforesaid documents substantiate the plea of the Management that Kalkji Branch was opened (soft lunched) on 31/3/2012. Consequently, the plea of the claimant that she had started working with the Management from January, 2011 is not acceptable. This issue is decided accordingly in favour of the Management.

Issue No. 3 :-

10. Ld. A/R appearing on behalf of the Management strongly contended that there is no relationship of employer and employee between the Management & claimant as she never worked with the Management what to talk from January,2011. It was also contended that onus is also upon the claimant to prove that she was in the employment of the Management Bank and has completed more than 240 days in a calendar year.

10) Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Claimant submitted during the course of arguments that the claimant was working as Part Time Sweeper with the Management Bank since 2012 though claimant due to illiteracy and ignorance of exact date, averred in the claim petition that she was engaged in January, 2011. It was also submitted that services of the claimant were illegally terminated on 3/10/2013.

12- There is no dispute about preposition of law that onus to prove that claimant was in the employment of Management is always on the workman/claimant and it is for the workman to adduce evidence to prove factum of her employment with the Management Bank. Such evidence may be in form of receipt of salary or wages for 240 days or record of his/her appointment or engagement for that year to show that he/she has worked with the employer for 240 days or more in a Calendar year. In this regard, reference may be made to *Batala Coop. Sugar Mills Ltd. Vs. Sowaran Singh, (2005) 8 Supreme Court Cases 481 as well as Director Fisheries Terminated Division Vs. Bhikubhai Meghajibhai Gavda (2012) 1 SCC 47.*

12- This Tribunal has to consider the oral as well as documentary evidence adduced on record so as to decide the question of relationship of employer and employee between the Management and the claimant herein. In this respect, it is appropriate to refer to the affidavit ExWW1/A of the claimant. It is clear from the perusal of the affidavit Ex.WW1/A that it is in consonance with the pleadings i.e. statement of claim filed by the claimant. It is the specific case of the Management that the claimant never worked with the Management at any point of time. MW1 Aditya Ravi clarified in his cross examination that he had taken charge of the post of Branch Manager of Kalkaji Branch (which was a new branch) on 1st May, 2012 and at that time there was no official/Safai Karamchari at the said branch. He volunteered that cleaning (of bank premises) was taken care of by the landlord. Thereafter Shri Neeru was posted by the competent authority to clean the branch. He admitted that he has not filed on record any document to show that Shri Neeru was engaged as sub-staff (for cleaning the bank premises). He also admitted that vouchers Ex.MW1/W-1 to Ex.MW1/W-19 bear his signatures and tried to explain that payment shown in those vouchers are with respect to cleaning of fans, computers, Air conditioners, telephones, FAX machines including sweeping etc., However, a careful perusal of these vouchers (Ex.MW1/W-1 to Ex.MW1/W-9) shows that these have been drawn for "sundry charges" regarding payment made towards sweeping of the bank premises. First voucher Ex.MW1/W-1 (dated 30/6/2012) is

towards payment of Rs.1600/- made to Kamla; second voucher Ex.MW1/W-2 (dated 1/8/2012) is towards payment of Rs.1600/- made to Kamlesh; third voucher Ex.MW1/W-2 (dated 1/9/2012) is towards payment of Rs.1600/- made to Maya; Similarly are the other vouchers showing payment of sweeping charges made to different persons named as Sarabjit, Manju Devi, Geeta Devi, Kamni, Anita, Gaya Lal, Mohan, Jai Kishan, Gopal, Jogi, Raju, Mr. Mohan, Geeta, Raju, Jogi, Raju and last voucher dated 17/12/13 for Rs.900/- is in the name of Gopi. The plea of the Management that the claimant never worked with it any any point of time is belied from the document/payment voucher Ex.MW1/W-1 which payment has been made in favour of the claimant Smt.Kamla. It is common knowledge that the payment through vouchers is made by the Banks to the workers engaged on part time basis including Part Time Sweeper for sweeping and dusting the bank premises and further that, the Branch Manager concerned has been given powers to engage such workers.

13- It is a matter of record that an application under Section 11(3)(b) of the Act filed on behalf of the claimant was partly allowed by this Tribunal and Management Bank was directed to produce certain documents vis-à-vis Name of the Safai Karamchari/Chowkidar alongwith wage slip, mode of payment etc. The Management failed to produce the said documents excepting the payment vouchers and as such, adverse inference is required to be drawn against the Management for non production of such documents. It is very astonishing that payment towards sweeping charges has been debited by the Management Bank through monthly vouchers but in different names. The Management Bank has neither explained as to why vouchers have been issued in different names, nor has filed details of such Part Time Sweepers engaged by it. It appears that same has been done just to deprive the claimant to seek other facilities. In the circumstances, I find force in the submission of the claimant that she always worked with honesty and dedication but the Management took advantage of her illiteracy and did not issue her any appointment letter. I am of the opinion that the there existed relationship of employer-employee between the Management and claimant herein and that the claimant worked with the Management at least w.e.f. 30/6/2012 till 30/9/2013 and that her services were terminated by the Management on 3/10/2013. Consequently, this Tribunal has no hesitation to hold that the claimant is a "workman" within the definition of Section 2(S) of the Act even if she was engaged on part time basis. In this regard, reference can be made to the decision in the case of *Devinder Singh Vs. Municipal Council, Sanaur, AIR 2011 Supreme Court 2532,* wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court while interpreting the provisions of Section 2(S) of the Act which deals with the definition of "workman" has observed as under :-

> "*The source of employment, the quantum of recruitment, the terms & conditions of employment/ contract of service, the quantum of wages/ pay and mode of payment are not at all relevant for deciding whether or not a person is a workman within the meaning of Section 2(s) of the Act. The definition of workman also does not make any distinction between full time and part time employee or a person appointed on contract basis. There is nothing in the plain language of Section 2(s) from which it can be inferred that only person employed on regular basis or a person employed for doing whole time job is a workman and the one employed on temporary, part time or contract basis on fixed wages or as a casual employee or for doing duty for fixed hours is not a workman.*

It is clear from the perusal of aforesaid observations that even if a person is engaged on temporary, part time or contract basis or for doing any other kind of work and is duly paid wages for the said work, in that eventuality such a person would be covered by the definition of "workman" as provided in Section 2(S) of the Act.

14. Having regard to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, it is held that there existed relationship of employer-employee between the Management and claimant herein. This issue is accordingly decided in favour of the claimant and against the Management.

Issue No.1 :-

15- The vital question for consideration is as to whether termination of the claimant is illegal and against the provisions of the Act. Testimony of the claimant that the Management terminated her services on 3/10/2013 without any notice, warning or charge sheet and in violation of the provisions of Section 25-F and 25-G of the Act, has gone unassailed and unchallenged, inasmuch as the case of the Management was that the claimant was never employed/engaged by it. However, this Tribunal while deciding issue No.3 has already held that there existed relationship of employer-employee between the Management and the claimant and further that, claimant worked with the Management at least w.e.f. 30/6/2012 till the date of her termination on 3/10/2013. Admittedly, the Management bank has not issued any notice to the claimant before ordering her termination, nor has paid one month's salary in lieu of such notice as required under Section 25-F of the Act. I may mention that provisions of Section 25-F of the Act which provides for conditions precedent to retrenchment of workmen, are absolute and inexorable and it reads as under :-

> "25-F : **Conditions precedent to retrenchment of workmen** –
>
> No workman employed in any industry who has been in continuous service for not less than one year under an employer shall be retrenched by that employer until –

(a) The workman has been given one month's notice in writing indicating the reasons for retrenchment and the period of notice has expired, or the workman has been paid in lieu of such notice, wages for the period of the notice;

(b) The workman has been paid, at the time of retrenchment, compensation which shall be equivalent to fifteen days' average pay for every completed years of continuous service or any part thereof in excess of six months; and

(c) Notice in the prescribed manner is served on the appropriate Government or such authority as may be specified by the appropriate Government by notification in the Official Gazette."

The above provision makes it clear that the employer is required to give notice to the appropriate Government apart-from giving one month's notice in writing or one month's wages in lieu of the notice and payment of retrenchment compensation to the concerned workman.

There is long line of decisions of Hon'ble Apex Court as well as of various High Courts that provisions of Section 25-F of the Act are mandatory in nature and termination of the workman from services in derogation of the provisions of Section 25-F of the Act will render whole action of the Management Bank to be illegal and wrong under the law. As such, it is held that action of the Management in terminating/disengaging the services of the claimant herein was unjustified and illegal.

16) Now the residual question arises for consideration is whether the claimant/work is entitled to any incidental relief of payment of back wages and/or reinstatement of service with full back wages. There is pleading in the claim petition as well as evidence to the effect that the workman is unemployed since the day of her termination and has got no source of her livelihood.. The Management has not adduced any evidence to show that the claimant is gainfully employed somewhere else or that she is in a position to make her both ends meet by doing any work. Even if it is assumed that the claimant is doing some intermittent or ad-hoc work to make her both ends meet, that would not itself amount to gainful employment. But at the same time this Tribunal can not ignore the fact that there is no positive evidence on record to prove that the claimant was regular employee of the Management bank or that she had applied for the post pursuant to the advertisement issued by the Bank or that her name was sponsored by the Employment Exchange. Latest trend itself discernable from the various pronouncements made by the Hon'ble Apex Court is that when a person has been engaged on daily wage basis or for doing temporary kinds of work, in that situation full back wages are not be awarded. There are number of factors which are required to be considered by the Tribunal while considering the question of reinstatement with back wages. It has been held in the case of Hari Nandan Prasad Vs. Food Corporation of India (2014) 7 Supreme Court cases 190 as under :-

> " Relief by way of reinstatement with back wages is not automatic and may be wholly inappropriate in a given fact situation even though the termination of an employee is in contravention of the prescribed procedure. Compensation instead of reinstatement has been held to meet the ends of justice. An order of retrenchment passed in violation of Section 25-F although may be set aside but an award of reinstatement should not, however, automatically passed. The award of reinstatement with full back wages in a case where the workman has completed 240 days of work in a year preceding the date of termination, particularly daily wages has not been found to be proper by the Supreme Court and instead compensation has been awarded. The Supreme Court has distinguished between a daily wager who does not hold a post and a permanent employee. The reasons for denying the relief of reinstatement in such cases are obvious. It is trite law that when the termination is found to be illegal, because of non payment of retrenchment compensation and notice pay as mandatorily required under Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, even after reinstatement, it is always open to the management to terminate the services of that employee by paying him the retrenchment compensation.

17) Having regard to the recent judicial trends and duration of service rendered by the claimant, an amount of Rs.1 lakh (Rupees One Lakh) appears to be just and reasonable, and the same is payable to the claimant herein by the Management. In case this compensation amount is not paid within one month from the date of publication of this Award, then the claimant will be entitled to recover the same alongwith interest @ 6% per annum from the date of filing the claim petition till realization of the amount.

Award is passed accordingly.

Date : 2/4/2019

AVTAR CHAND DOGRA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 671.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मेसर्स मैसूर मिनरल्स लिमिटेड प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, बेंगलूर के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 96/2007) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 23/4/2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल–29012/22/2007–आईआर(एम)]

डी. के. हिमांशु, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 671 .—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 96/2007) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Bangalore now as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s. Mysre Minerals Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 23.4.2019.

[No. L-29012/22/2007-IR(M)]

D. K. HIMANSHU, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIUBNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT

BANGALORE

DATED : 10TH APRIL 2019

PRESENT : Justice Smt. Rathnakala, Presiding Officer

C.R No. 96/2007

I Party	**II Party**
Smt. Shirumaniyamma, W/o Late Munuswamy, Valagerehalli Village & Post, Bagur Hobli, Channarayapatna Taluk HASSAN DIST. Karnataka.	The Managing Director, Mysore Minerals Limited, No. 39, M.G. Road, Bangalore – 560001.
Advocate for I Party :	Advocate for II Party:
Mr. K.T. Govinde Gowda	Mr. L. Venkatarama Reddy

AWARD

The Central Government vide Order No.L-29012/22/2007-IR(M) dated 21.08.2007 in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (d) of Sub-Section (1) and Sub-Section2(A) of Section 10 of Industrial Dispute act, 1947 (for brevity 'the Act' hereafter) referred the following Industrial Dispute for adjudication.

> **"Whether the management of Mysore Minerals Limited is justified in terminating the services/ premature superannuating of the services of Smt. Shirumaniyamma w.e.f. 28.06.1998? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"**

1. The claim brought before this Tribunal by the 1st party is :—

She joined the service of the 2nd Party Management on 12.08.19977 at its Mining Unit viz., Byrapura Chromite Mines, Channarayapatna Taluk, Hassan District, as a Mining Worker under token No. 203. At the time of joining service she furnished her age as 30 years, her Date of Birth being 12.08.1947 as per the Horoscope, her age was accepted without Certificate of Registration of Birth, in all the statutory records like EPF, B-Register, Service Record same age is registered, she was entitled to continue in the service of the 2nd Party up to 12.08.2005. The 2nd Party conducted Medical Examination illegally and removed her from service; she was orally refused employment w.e.f 28.06.1998 by assigning reason that, she has passed 58 years. Some of the Co-worker who were similarly removed from service approached the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka and by the order of the Hon'ble High Court the Management reinstated the pre-matured retired employees. At that point of time about 100 Mining workers were removed from service on Medical ground. They have not changed her Date of Birth in the Official records, 3 months notice was not issued to her neither 3 months salary was paid to her. The 2nd Party acted contrary to its own Certified Standing Order. Hence, the prayer for reinstatement with continuity of service and with full back wages.

2. The claim allegation is countered by the Management succinctly stating that, the dispute filed after 10 years from the date of termination of service is time barred, she was given 30 days time to prefer appeal before the Appellate Medical Board as per rules, she did not avail the opportunity, she waited for the result of the case filed by the Co-workers who had approached the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka. The success of W.P. No. 5615/2001 and 26101/2001 before the Hon'ble High Court Karnataka inspired her to file this dispute after lapse of 10 years.

3. Further, it is stated that the 2nd Party arranged for Medical Examination for all employees and workers during the year 1997-98 as required under Mines Rules 1995, which is a requirement under law. A team of qualified and senior Medical Officers from Hutti Gold Mines Co. Ltd., were specifically entrusted to carry out Medical Examination; the 1st Party was found incapacitated to work in Mine, since she was 58 year on the date of Medical Examination. Hence, the 2nd Party decided to terminate her service; without filling appeal she opted to receive monetary benefits arising from the termination. She has received the terminal benefit without any protest, now she has no right to raise the dispute. There is no relationship of employer and employee between the parties. Hence, the reference is not maintainable and this Tribunal has no jurisdiction to entertain the reference.

4. After completion of the pleadings, both parties have adduced their evidence.

5. The Management adduced evidence through its Assistant Manager, he produced photo copy of the B-Register maintained by the 2nd Party, according to which her Date of Birth is 12.08.1947. During his cross-examination the documents confronted to him from the 1st Party were identified and marked as Ex W-1 to Ex W-6; Ex W-1 is the Medical Report in Form 'O'; as per the same she is declared medically unfit due to overage, Ex W-3 is the order passed in a W.P filed by the similarly placed workmen whereby the Hon'ble High Court ordered the Authorities not to change the Date of Birth of the petitioner in the Service Register without adopting the procedure known under the law; Ex W-5 is another W.P by similarly placed workmen whereby the order passed by the Respondent was quashed and Ex W-6 is the Office Order extending the superannuation of its employees from 58 to 60 years.

6. Further, MW-1 admits that, the 1st Party workman was treated as daily wager and they have not provided working conditions as per the Mining Act. In his affidavit evidence he had averred that, as per the request made by the employees union the 2nd Party conducted the medical examination through qualified senior Medical Officer but, during cross examination he stated that, Tri-partite Settlement was made in the year 1998, it was between the Management, Mysore Minerals Employees Association and Director of Mines Safety; he experienced ignorance to the question that Mysore Minerals Employees Association represents only Clerical Staff.

7. It was further admitted by MW-1 that, despite having technical persons and machinery, in the year 1995 – 1996 2nd Party entrusted its work to Private Party and the Company suffered loss of about 21 Crores in Shimoga and Hassan District; having suffered loss the management thought of reducing the number of workers and at that juncture management ordered for Medical Examination of all the Mining Workers. It is further brought forth in his evidence that as per the Certified Standing Order of the 2nd Party Clause 18 and 24 any termination has to be followed by enquiry along with 3 months notice pay, but they had not paid 3 months salary to her; he also admits that as per Clause 18.3 of CDPR Rules change in the Date of Birth as entered in the company Records can only be effected on the Judgment of a Competent Court otherwise the Date of Birth once recorded will not be changed, he also admits that, the termination order was not attached with the copy of the Medical Certificate. Further, he admits that before removing 500 employees on the basis of medical ground, permission was not taken from the Central Government under Industrial Dispute Act. No steps were taken by the Company to obtain the permission from the Labour Ministry or Labour Secretary; he also admits that, 1st Party is a illiterate person and he was not furnished with Kannada version/translation of Medical Report.

8. The 1st Party/WW-1 during the cross-examination admitted that, she raised the dispute after the W.P filed by a co-worker was allowed and he was reinstated by the 2nd Party as per the order of the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka.

9. By now it is clear that, for changing the Date of Birth of the 1st Party workman 2nd Party did not have either an order from the Competent Court of a Scientific Report of her age to disqualify her has unfit. Only ailment mentioned in Medical Certificate in Form-O is *'overage';* the mode adopted by them to remove other similarly placed workman is thwarted by the Hon'ble High Court and those persons were reinstated to their original post. Due to her termination on the ground of medical unfitness 1st Party workman lost 7 years of service. Though it is said that, she could have fought against the management before the Appellate Authority, one shall be reminded that, she is an illiterate, manual worker and the Medical Certificate issued to her was in English Language, and it is highly possible that she was unaware of niceties of law. Another drawback in the case of the 2nd Party is at that point of time they had removed 500 workers without obtaining permission by the Appropriate Government of the Chapter V-A of the Act, specifically in violation of sections 25F, G, H and N 2 of the Act. As admitted by the workman, she has raised the Industrial Dispute after her colleagues won the battle against the management before the Hon'ble High Court. However, it is the settled position of law that Articled 137 of the Schedule of Limitation Act is not applicable to the Industrial Dispute Act for raising the dispute.

10. Now the question is the relief that, the 1st Party is entitled to get. She has crossed the age of superannuation long back; she has raised the dispute after a delay of 6 years. Keeping a holistic view of the matter, in my considered opinion a lump sum compensation would equalise the situation; arithmetical calculation of the retrenchment calculated in accordance with Section 25-F(b) 1 month notice pay + retrenchment compensation i.e., 15 days average pay for every

completed year of continuous service or any part thereon, in case of 6 months will not condone the illegality committed by the 2nd Party. Having regard to the length of time spent the expense incurred by her in prosecuting the case, I am of the view that a lump sum compensation of Rs. 1,00,000/- would be appropriated relief for her. Hence, the following

AWARD

The reference is accepted. 2nd Party is directed to pay compensation of Rs. 1,00,000/- to the 1st Party workman within 60 days from today otherwise the amount shall carry future interest of 8% per annum.

(Dictated, corrected and signed by me on 10st April, 2019)

JUSTICE SMT. RATHNAKALA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 672.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मेसर्स कुद्रेमुख आयरन ओर कम्पनी लिमिटेड प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, बेंगलूर के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 15/2009) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 23/4/2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल–26011/5/2008–आईआर(एम)]

डी. के. हिमांशु, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 672.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 15/2009) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Bangalore now as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the Employers in relation to the management of M/s Kudremukh Iorn Cmpany Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 23.4.2019.

[No. L-26011/5/2008-IR(M)]

D. K. HIMANSHU, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIUBNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT

DATED : 1st APRIL 2019

PRESENT : JUSTICE SMT. RATHNAKALA, Presiding Officer

C R No.15/2009

I Party	**II Party**
The General Secretary, Karnataka Coffee Curing & General Workers Union, AITUC Tamil Colony, CHICKMAGALUR.	The General Manager, Kudremukh Iron Ore Company Limited, Kudremukh, CHICKMAGALUR.

Appearances

I Party : **Shri Muralidhara,** Advocate

II Party : **Smt. K Subha Ananthi,** Advocate

1. The Central Government vide order No.L-26011/5/2008-IR(M) dated 04.03.2009 in exercise of the power conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred as "The Act") (14 of 1947) made this reference for adjudication of the following Industrial Dispute:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of M/s. Allied Construction Company in denying bonus to their workers for the year 2003 – 2004 is justified? If not, to what relief these workers are entitled to? Whether the management of Kudremukh Iron ore Company Limited, Kudremukh, as a Principal Employer, is responsible to pay bonus for the year 2003 – 2004 to the contract workers employed by its contractor M/s Allied Construction Company in the event of failure on the part of the said contractor to pay bonus for the said period to these workers? If so, to what relief the workers are entitled?"

2. The claim set forth by the 1st Party is, the 2nd Party management is the Principle Employer and they worked under the Contractor; the contractor has employed 75 workers for the works to carry out by the Principle Employer. The workers continued to work under different contractors. During 2000 – 2001 they were working under Alpha Tech Nirman Private Limited, who replaced the earlier contractor; without break the workmen have continued to work; they are entitled for bonus for the year 2003 – 2004; they are paid bonus for the financial years 1998 - 1999, 1999 – 2000, the earlier contractor used to pay bonus in the form of exgratia, adhoc amount etc., they have worked continuously for the year 2003 – 2004, hence, entitled for bonus for the said period. II Party earned profit for the year 2003 – 2004. Thus, they are entitled for maximum bonus, they are denied statutory bonus of 8.33 %. The Principle Employer is responsible to ensure payment of statutory bonus but it has failed to comply its legal obligations. Hence, prayer for a direction to the 2nd Party to pay @ 20 % Bonus to the workman employed by them for the financial year 2003 – 2004 with a further direction to Principle Employer to pay the said amount.

3. 2nd Party contested the claim; they have stated that it is not responsible for payment of bonus or any benefit. As per the work order in favour of II party, they were obliged to abide by the terms of the Contract Labour (R&A) act, Minimum Wages Act, Workmen's Compensation Act, PF Act, Mines act.

4. The contractor engaged few casual workers to carry out certain jobs on temporary basis for a specified period. 2nd Party ensured Minimum Wages, PF contribution, safety applications etc., which they did. The dispute is raised after a lapse of 5 to 6 years. The workers having settled all their dues have now raised this dispute for bonus which is purely between them and the contractor.

5. To substantiate their contention 2nd Party placed on record, the evidence of its Assistant Manager (Personnel) along with the documents Work Order, Contractors Licence, the Renewal Licence, its Registration Certificate (Ex M-1 to Ex M-4). During the cross-examination the witness admits that 2nd Party did not verify whether the contractor paid the bonus for the workers for the period 2003 -2004.

6. The 1st party who all along prosecuted the case has opted not to adduce rebuttal evidence Ex M-1/the work order does not incorporate in itself such burden on the II Party to payment of bonus to the workman; it is not the statutory bonus of 8.33% which the 1st Party are claiming. Their claim is 20% in respect of the year 2003- 2004.The dispute is raised in the year 2009; they have no grievance in respect of any legal dues from the contractor; at this point of time where they have severed relationship by accepting their dues from their employer, there is no justification to pass on the burden on the Principle Employer for the payment of bonus. Security Amount / Bank guarantee which the employer will be holding against the contractor will not operate after cessation of their contractual relationship. In that view of the matter, there is no justification on behalf of the II Party No. 2 in denying bonus for the year 2003 – 2004. Hence,

AWARD

Reference is Rejected

(Dictated to U D C, transcribed by him, corrected and signed by me on 1st April 2019)

JUSTICE SMT. RATHNAKALA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 673.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मेसर्स कुद्रेमुख आयरन ओर कम्पनी लिमिटेड प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, बेंगलूर के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 45/2009) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 23/4/2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल–26011/3/2007–आईआर(एम)]

डी. के. हिमांशु, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 673.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 45/2009) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Bangalore now as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to management of M/s Kudremukh Iorn Cmpany Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 23.4.2019.

[No. L-26011/3/2007-IR(M)]

D. K. HIMANSHU, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE **CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIUBNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT**

DATED : 1st APRIL 2019

PRESENT : **JUSTICE SMT. RATHNAKALA,** Presiding Officer

C R No.45/2009

I Party	**II Party**
The General Secretary, Karnataka Coffee Curing & General Workers Union, AITUC Tamil Colony, CHICKMAGALUR.	The General Manager, Kudremukh Iron Ore Company Limited, Kudremukh, CHICKMAGALUR.

Appearances

I Party : **Shri Muralidhara,** Advocate

II Party : **Smt. K Subha Ananthi,** Advocate

AWARD

1. The Central Government vide order No. L-26011/3/2007-IR(M) dated 10.09.2009 in exercise of the power conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred as "The Act") (14 of 1947) made this reference for adjudication with the following schedule:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Kudremukh Iron Ore Co. Ltd. in not regularizing the services of 24 workers mentioned in the list enclosed is legal and correct? To what relief they are entitled to and from which date?"

2. The claim of the I Party Union is that the I Party Union represents the workman employed in the Coffee Curing establishments and other general service industries. The workman employed in various canteens run and maintained by II Party is its members. 2nd party is engaged in Mining and Processing Iron Ore and has legal obligation to run and maintain industrial canteen for the benefit of it's employees. It runs a canteen in Crush and Concentrator Department through a committee constituted for this purpose and subsidises the business day today affairs like deciding menu hygiene etc is handled by the canteen committee. It has given rent free accommodation, free electricity, water, gas and other items to run the canteen, furniture, utensils and other equipment is also provided; wages are paid directly by the II party to the employees of the canteen. The workman serving in the canteen are not paid wages as per standard wages. Their service is exploited. The administrative control appointment, promotions, disciplinary jurisdiction over the canteen is exercised by the II Party. All these years the workers had legitimate expectation that they will be absorbed in the service of the company, the II party when requested did not accept to regularise their service. Hence, the claim for direction to the II party to absorb them in the service of the II Party w.e.f. the date of joining the service etc.

3. The claim is countered by 2nd Party. It is stated that the 1st Party union is an outsider, not recognized by the 2nd Party and it has no locus standi to raise the dispute. All the I Party employees are the employees of the contractor H S Hiryanna and the 2ndParty is the Principal Employer. The contract with H S Hiryanna will end by 31.07.2010. The contractor has been awarded contract by following the due procedure. The contractor is a necessary party to this dispute. Hiriyanna is a licenced contractor. His contract is extended from 01.02.20019 for another 11 months. The Senior Manager (P L E) has issued a letter dated 14.07.2008 that contract will end by 30.06.2010 and he has to return the properties of the company. There are no sanctioned posts as far as Crush and Concentrator Department is concerned. There is no question of regularisation of the erst while contract employees. They do not intend to continue the contract of any contractor w.e.f. 01.08.2010.Now the mining is closed as per the direction of Hon'ble Supreme Court. Strength of employees is decreased from 2200 to 415, they do not require additional canteen.

4. It is further stated, that the canteen workers are engaged by the contractor not by the II Party. The contract was awarded based on the tender process. Wages to the workers is paid by contractor. Management of the II party has no control over the canteen workers regarding engagement, supervision and control. A canteen management committee consisting of management representative and workers representative oversees functioning of the area, quality of food, cleanliness and hygine, etc., rent free accommodation and other facilities are provided as per the terms of the contract, for the welfare of the employees. There is no Master and Servant relationship between the II Party and the workers. The management ensures payment of minimum wages, PF Recovery and workman compensation by the contractor. The claim is not justified.

5. On completion of the pleadings to discharge the burden of proving the issue the management examined two witnesses and rebuttal evidence is not adduced. Both have submitted their arguments.

6. The first witness examined by the II Party was the Senior Manager (Personal) / MW1 the second witness was the Deputy Manager (HR) / MW 2. MW 1 produced the documents Ex M-1 to Ex M-8 pertaining to the contract awarded to Hiriyanna and extension of tenure of vide letter dated 14.07.2010/Ex M-8 of the Senior Manager. Hiriyanna is informed about the closure of period of contract on 13.07.2018 with a direction to handover the properties belonging

to the company. During his cross-examination the 1st Party confronted certain documents which the witness admitted. They are the Annual Subscription to the provident fund scheme pertaining to three workers in the name of the II Party (Ex W-1), One PF Slip pertains to H S Hiryanna (Ex W-1(a)); wage registers with the name of H S Hiriyanna as one of the worker (Ex W-2); three wage slips under the name of the establishment H S Hiryanna (Ex W-4).

7. MW 2 brought on record that the closure procedure is on and the possession of the mines has to be handed over to the officer designated by the ministry. Many of the regular employees were transferred to other places and others have opted for VRS. For the benefit of continuing employees canteen facility is continued. He produced the Original Work Order issued to the contractor during February 2009 and also subsequent extension of contract, the licence obtained by the contractor under Contract Labour Regulation & Abolition Act is also produced. During his further course of examination in chief he placed on record the mining operation is stopped w.e.f. 01.01.2006 vide orders of the apex court dated 30.10.2002 in I A 670/2001 in WP(C) No. 202/1995 and dated 12.05.2006 in I A 1150/2003, IA no. 1010/2003 in WP (C) No. 202/1995 the mine is taken over by Sh. B Ram Mohan, Regional Controller of Mines, Indian Bureau of Mines, Ministry of Mines, on 03.04.2014. Kudremukh Mining and Beneficiation Equipments are sold to Annam Steels Private Limited as per sale contract dated 12.12.2012 and they are dismantling the equipment's, the supporting documents are Ex M-13 and Ex M-14.

8. During the cross-examination it was brought forth that now the earlier casual workers are employed to work in the canteen on contract basis. It was also suggested because of the management concerned 24 workmen were not listed in the voters list by the Union, which the witness denied.

9. Despite the strong case set up by the 2nd Party that 1st Party are employed under H S Hiriyanna who is a licenced contractor, 1st Party have opted not to adduced rebuttal evidence.

10. Thus an opportunity to canvass before this tribunal about their case to the effect that it was a sham and bogus contract and they are really the workman of the II Party is lost for them. As such H S Hiriyanna subsequently raised a dispute challenging his termination which is adjudicated today (in C R No. 06/2014). Whatever observation made in the said case applies to the present case also. The Points of reference presupposes that the 1st Party 24 workers are the workmen of the 2nd Party.When it is shown by the 2nd Party that Hiriyanna had employed 17 workers, the 1st Party failed to demonstrate that the dispute is espoused on behalf of 24 workers working at Crush and Concentrator Department.Now, the law is very much settled that when a recognized union or inside union is not co-operating with the workman having dispute with its employer, there is no illegality on the part of outside union espousing the dispute. The question is only with regard to the identity of the canteen workers. The documents marked through the management witness are insufficient to hold that they were working directly under the control and supervision of the II Party management. MW 2 has clarified that the II Party used to disburse the wages to the workmen on behalf of the contractor. If their service is terminated without following the mandatory procedure by Section 25(F) of the Act, the proper party to answer the claim is H S Hiriyanna who held the licence for catering in the 2nd Party but he figures in the list of the 1st Party workmen. The facilities provided to the canteen is a welfare measure for the benefit of the employees of the II Party. It is nowhere shown that these workmen worked against any sanctioned post. On the top of it the mining operation itself has closed and the work force has migrated. In the light of the above, the tribunal does not find any irregularity in not regularising the service of 24 workers who worked in the canteen. Hence,

AWARD

Reference is Rejected

(Dictated to U D C, transcribed by him, corrected and signed by me on 1st April 2019)

JUSTICE SMT. RATHNAKALA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 674.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मेसर्स यूनाइटेड इण्डिया इश्योरेंश कम्पनी लिमिटेड के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, एर्नाकुलम के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 12/2015) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 18/4/2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल—17012/146/2014—आईआर(एम)]

डी. के. हिमांशु, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 674.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 12/2015) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Ernakulam now as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to management of M/s United India Insurance Company Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 18.4.2019.

[No. L-17012/146/2014-IR(M)]

D. K. HIMANSHU, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ERNAKULAM

Present: Shri V. Vijaya Kumar, B.Sc., LLM, Presiding Officer.

(Thursday the 2nd day of April, 2019)

ID No.12/2015

Workman : Shri.Vijayan Pillai.P.,
Sikha Bhavanam,
Panayam, Kollam.
By Adv. B.Mohanlal

Management : 1) The General Manager,
United India Insurance Company Ltd.,
Head Office, Chennai.

2) The Deputy General Manager,
United India Insurance Company Ltd.,
Regional Office, Kochi.

By Adv. T.J.Lakshmanan and M/s. B.S.Krishnan Associates

This case coming up for hearing on 02.04.2019, this Tribunal cum Labour Court passed the following on the same day.

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) subsection (2A) of Section 10 of Industrial Dispute Act 1947 the Central Government/Ministry of Labour by its order **No. L-17012/146/2014-IR(M) dated 19.02.2015** referred the following industrial dispute for adjudication.

2. The dispute is

"Whether the action of the Management of M/s. United India Insurance Company limited is denying the pay arrears and statutory benefits of Shri.Vijayan Pillai, Ex-caretaker of the Training Institute of the Company on his termination is correct? If not, then what relief workman is entitled to?"

3. While the pleadings were being finalized, the Management raised a preliminary issue regarding the maintainability of the dispute and reference. According to the Management the only issue to be answered is whether there is denial of pay arrears or statutory benefits to the workman on his termination and if so what is the relief that he is entitled to. Legality or justifiability of the termination is not a question referred for adjudication. S.2A was introduced as per Industrial Dispute Amendment Act, 1965. Tracing the legislative history, it was pointed out by the learned Counsel for the Management that S.2A was incorporated into Industrial Dispute Act to enable individual workman to challenge dismissal and discharge without being sponsored by the Union or a number of workmen. Hence it is mandatory that legality or justifiability of termination is part of the reference and dispute, when an individual workman invokes S.2A(I) for adjudication of his claim.

4. The Counsel for the workman submitted that he challenged the legality of termination also before the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C), however the reference is silent on same. Hence he again moved the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C) for modification of the reference and to include the challenge of illegality of his termination. His application was disposed of by the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C) holding that the dispute raised by the workman is pending before this court. Further the Counsel for the workman submitted that inspite of the above How, this dispute can be entertained by this Court under section 2(A)(2) of Industrial Dispute Act. He further submitted that there are authorities to support his argument. But he failed to produce any authority inspite of the fact that adequate time was given for production of the same.

5. The specific issue to be decided is,

"Whether a reference under S.2(A)(I) read with S.10(I)(d) of Industrial dispute Act. 1947 is maintainable when the eligibility or justifiability of termination is not referred for adjudication."

6. As per S.2(A)(I) of the Act, when an employer discharges, dismisses, retrenches or otherwise terminates the services of an individual workman any dispute or difference between that workman and his employee connected with or arising out of such termination shall be deemed to be an industrial dispute. From the language of the section it is clear that only disputes relating to discharge, dismissal, retrenchment or termination of individual workman will fall within the purview of the Section. Any dispute connected with or arising out of such termination implies that the legality or justifiability of the termination itself shall be under challenge.

7. The Counsel for the workman strongly argued that this court can decide the dispute invoking section 2(A)(2) of the ID Act. As per Section 2(A)(2), a workman specified in section 2(A)(I) can move the Labour court or Tribunal for the adjudication of the dispute. There is no provision under the Act to convert a reference under Section 10 read with Section 2(A)(1) to an application under 2(A)(2). Further an application under S.2(A)(2) shall be filed within three years of termination.

8. The learned counsel for the Management/Petitioner also pointed out that in view of the mandate under Section10(4) of the Industrial Dispute Act when the appropriate Government has specified the points of dispute for adjudication, this court shall confine its adjudication to those points.

In view of the above, the preliminary issue regarding maintainability of the dispute is answered in favour of the Management.

9. The first relief claimed in the claim statement by the workman is to declare the dismissal of the workman without any reason, illegal and unjust. As already pointed out, this claim of the workman is not maintainable as it is not part of the reference at all. It is seen from the records of the proceedings that the workman moved the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C) on 05.07.2016 for amending the reference.

Because of the pendency of this Industrial Dispute, the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C) refused to entertain the amendment application. In view of the finding in the preliminary issue, nothing remains in this reference for adjudication.

For the reasons stated above an award is passed dismissing the claim of the workman as not maintainable.

The award will come into force one month after publication in the official gazette.

Dictated to the Personal Assistant, transcribed and typed by him, corrected and passed by me on this the 2nd day of April, 2019.

V. VIJAYA KUMAR, Presiding Officer

APPENDIX

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 675.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मेसर्स यूनाइटेड फ्रेट कैरियर प्राइवेट लिमिटेड एवं अन्य प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, हैदराबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 23/2016) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 18/4/2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल–26012/1/2016–आईआर(एम)]

डी. के. हिमांशु, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 675.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 23/2016) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Hyderabad now as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in the relation to the management of M/s United Freight Carriers Pvt. Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 18.4.2019.

[No. L-26012/1/2016-IR(M)]

D. K. HIMANSHU, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT AT HYDERABAD

Present : Sri Muralidhar Pradhan, Presiding Officer

Dated the 28th day of February, 2019

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 23/2016

Between:

Sri Mulakapalli Raju,
S/o Late Chinna Rao,
D.No.26-1-16/9, S.C. Colony,
Chinagantyada, Gajuwada,
Visakhapatnam (A.P.) …Petitioner

AND

1. M/s. United Freight Carriers Pvt. Limited,
D.no.7-4-32, Chettivanipalem, Gajuwaka,
Visakhapatnam – 530026.

2. M/s. Sri Krishna Engineering & Construction Co.,
'Kalyani', 9/2, M.V.P. Colony,
Visakhapatnam (A.P.) – 530 017. …Respondents

Appearances:

For the Petitioner : Sri Paila Srinivasa Rao, Advocate

For the Respondents : Sri A.S. Rama Sarma, Advocate for R2

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour by its order No. L- 26012/1/2016-IR(M) dated 15.2.2016/ 17.2.2016 referred the following dispute under section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 for adjudication to this Tribunal between the management of M/s. United Freight Carriers Pvt. Limited and their workman. The reference is,

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of management of M/s. United Freight Carriers Pvt. Ltd., Visakhapatnam and Sri Krishna Engineering & Construction Co. Visakhapatnam (Contrator of RINL, Visakhapatnam Steel Plant) in termination of Shri Mulkapalli Raju, Ex-contract worker in violation of Section 25(F) of Industrial Disputes Act, is legal and justified? If not, to what relief he is entitled?"

On receipt of the reference it is numbered in this Tribunal as I.D. No. 23/2016 and notices were issued to the parties concerned.

2. The case stands posted for filing of claim statement and documents by the Petitioner Union.

3. Inspite of repeated calls, the Petitioner did not turn up. Several opportunities have been given to the Petitioner Workman to attend the court to prosecute the case. But the Petitioner workman failed to attend this Tribunal which clearly indicates that perhaps the dispute of the Petitioner workman has already been settled and the Petitioner has got nothing to raise any claim against the Respondents. Hence, the case of the Petitioner workman is closed and a 'No dispute' award is passed.

Award is passed accordingly. Transmit.

Typed to my dictation by Smt. P. Phani Gowri, Personal Assistant, corrected by me on this the 28th day of February, 2019.

MURALIDHAR PRADHAN, Presiding Officer

Appendix of evidence

Witnesses examined for the Petitioner	Witnesses examined for the Respondent
NIL	NIL

Documents marked for the Petitioner

NIL

Documents marked for the Respondent

NIL

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 676.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मेसर्स जेयूडी सीमेन्ट लिमिटेड प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, कोलकाता के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 89/2015) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 23.4.2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल–29011/13/2015–आईआर(एम)]

डी. के. हिमांशु, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 676.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 89/2015) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Kolkata now as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s JUD Cements Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 23.4.2019.

[No. L-29011/13/2015-IR(M)]

D. K. HIMANSHU, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT KOLKATA

Reference No. 89 of 2015

Parties : Employers in relation to the management of CMD, JUD Cements Limited

AND

Their workmen

Present : Justice Ravindra Nath Mishra, Presiding Officer

Appearance:

On behalf of the Management : None

On behalf of the Workmen : None

Dated: 12th April, 2019. Industry: Cement

AWARD

By Order No.L-29011/13/2015-IR(M) dated 28.10.2015 the Government of India, Ministry of Labour in exercise of its powers under Section 10(1)(d) and (2A) referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of the management of JUD Cements Ltd. by not paying full & final payment to its employee Shri Sudhansu Gantayet, Ex-Vice President (operation) is legal and/or justified? If not, what relief workman is entitled to?"

2. When the case is taken up for hearing on 02.04.2019, none appeared for the parties concerned. In fact the parties never appeared before this Tribunal. It transpires from record that though this reference is pending in this Tribunal since 30.11.2015 and inspite of all the opportunities, neither the workman concerned has filed his statement of claim, nor the management has filed its written statement to proceed further with the case.

4. On consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, it appears that the workman has no grievance at present in respect of his full and final payment as mentioned in the order of reference. Therefore, there exists no dispute for adjudication.

5. Therefore, the reference is disposed of accordingly.

Justice RAVINDRA NATH MISHRA, Presiding Officer

Dated, Kolkata,

The 12th April, 2019.

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 677.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मेसर्स केन्द्रीय भण्डारण निगम के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, कोलकाता के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 58/2014) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 26.4.2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल–42011/1/2014–आईआर(एम)]

डी. के. हिमांशु, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 677.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 58/2014) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Kolkata now as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s Central Warehousing Corporation and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 26.4.2019.

[No. L-42011/1/2014-IR(M)]

D. K. HIMANSHU, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT KOLKATA

Reference No. 58 of 2014

Parties: Employers in relation to the management of the Regional Manager, Central Warehousing Corporation

AND

Their workmen.

Present : Justice Ravindra Nath Mishra, Presiding Officer

Appearance :

On behalf of the Management : None

On behalf of the Workmen : None

Dated: 22nd April, 2019. Industry : Warehousing

AWARD

By Order No.L-42011/1/2014-IR(M) dated 04.07.2014 the Government of India, Ministry of Labour in exercise of its powers under Section 10(1)(d) and (2A) referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of the management of Central Warehousing Corporation in not relieving all workmen I the transfer list dated 28.8.13 & 5.10.13 & arbitrarily choosing the workmen to be relieved in unfair manner is legal and/or justified? If not, to what relief the workmen concerned are entitled to?"

2. In this reference case both the union and the management previously entered appearance through their respective learned counsel. Union filed its statement of claim praying for implementing transfer orders dated 28.08.2013 and 05.10.2013 issued by the Assistant Manager (Amin). Management filed its written statement denying the claim of the union. Union also filed its rejoinder to counter the statement of the management. Photo copies of some documents have also been filed by the union to support its case. But the union, as it transpires from record, remained absent since 04.07.2018. Inspite of sufficient opportunity the union has not led any evidence to substantiate its case. It also transpires from record that the union refused to receive the notice dated 12.06.2018 issued by this Tribunal.

3. On consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, it appears that the union has no grievance at present in respect of not relieving the workmen as per transfer list dated 28.8.13 & 5.10.13 as mentioned in the order of reference. Therefore, there exists no dispute for adjudication.

4. Therefore, the reference is disposed of accordingly.

Justice RAVINDRA NATH MISHRA, Presiding Officer

Dated, Kolkata,

The 22nd April, 2019.

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 678.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मेसर्स ओ.एन.जी.सी. लिमिटेड एवं अन्य के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, अहमदाबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 7/2004) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 23.4.2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. जेड–16025/4/2019–आईआर(एम)]

डी. के. हिमांशु, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 678.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 7/2004) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Ahmedabad now as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s O.N.G.C. Limited and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 23.4.2019.

[No. Z-16025/4/2019-IR(M)]

D. K. HIMANSHU, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, AHMEDABAD

Present :

Pramod Kumar Chaturvedi,
Presiding Officer, CGIT cum Labour Court,
Ahmedabad,

Dated 04th April, 2019

Complaint (CGITA) No. 07/2004

(Old Complaint (ITC) No. 8/2010)

Senva Ambalal,
Village Kathwada, Tal. Matar, Navgam, Kheda (Gujarat)
C/o
Gujarat Petroleum Employees Union,
434-46, Gandhivas, Koba Road, Sabarmati,
Ahmedabad (Gujarat) …Complainant

V/s

1. M/s Public Power Mazdoor Kamdar Sahakari Mandli Ltd.,
   Opp. Dhoot Sagar Dairy, Highway Road, Mehsana (Gujarat)
2. M/s Parishram Labour Co-operative Society Ltd.,
   19, Sahjanand Shopping Centre, Shahibaug, Ahmedabad (Gujarat)
3. The G.G.M. (P),
   ONGC, Avni Bhavan, 5th Floor, Sabarmati, Ahmedabad (Gujarat)
4. The General Manager (OBG),
   ONGC, Avni Bhavan, 5th Floor, Sabarmati,
   Ahmedabad (Gujarat) …Respondents

For the Complainant	:	Sh. Arish L. Saiyed
For the Opponent No.1	:	Absent
For the Opponent No.2	:	Absent
For the Opponent No. 3 & 4	:	Shri K.V. Gadhia & Shri M.K. Patel

ORDER

1. This is a complaint Ex. 1 moved under Section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act by the complainant Senva Ambalal on 17.02.2000 in Reference (ITC) No. 35/1998 alleging that the complainant has been working with the opposite party no. 1 M/s Public Power Mazdoor Kamdar Sahakari Mandli Ltd., Opp. Dhoot Sagar Dairy, Highway Road, Mehsana under sham arrangement of contract on the establishment of opposite party no. 3 The G.G.M. (P), ONGC, Avni Bhavan, 5th Floor, Sabarmati, Ahmedabad and opposite party no. 4 The General Manager, ONGC, Avni Bhavan, 5th Floor, Sabarmati, Ahmedabad, so called real employer. The opposite party no. 1 was later changed by the opposite party no. 2 M/s Parishram Labour Co-operative Society Ltd., 19, Sahjanand Shopping Centre, Shahibaug, Ahmedabad. He has further alleged that he has also been a workman in Reference (ITC) No. 35/1998 shown at serial no. 48 in the list attached with the reference. He has been working at the establishment of ONGC at GGS II (OEG) since 08.01.1987 without any break with full satisfaction of the supervisors. He worked during January 1999, February 1999 and March 1999, thereafter for 4 days in April 1999 but he was not paid the wages for the said period despite making written representation to ONGC. He has further alleged that he has been working since 1987 but his services were terminated orally without paying any notice and notice pay. Therefore, he has prayed for reinstatement with full back wages and the unpaid as stated above.
2. All the parties were issued notice but only the opposite party no. 3 The G.G.M. (P), ONGC, Avni Bhavan, 5th Floor, Sabarmati, Ahmedabad submitted the written statement Ex. 4 submitting that there was no master servant relationship with the workman and ONGC and after enquiry, no such workman was found on the muster roll of ONGC. He never made any representation to the ONGC for pending of wages; therefore, the complaint is liable to be rejected.
3. Earlier the complainant has deposed vide Ex. 5 and reiterated the facts stated in the complaint, thereafter the complaint was proceeded ex-parte and the then Presiding Officer, Shri B.I. Kazi passed the order ex-parte and allowed the complaint with 60% back wages.

4. Against the said ex-parte award, the opposite party ONGC has filed Misc. Application (CGITA) No. 01/2007 for restoration of Complainant (CGITA) No. 07/2004 and said Misc. Application (CGITA) No. 01/2007 was allowed by the State Industrial Tribunal vide order dated 14.09.2010.

5. After the restoration of the complaint, the complainant Ambalal K. Senva has expired on 14.10.2009 and legal heirs of the complainant Paresh Ambalal Senva moved an application Ex. 23 which was allowed on 26.07.2017 and legal heirs were substituted accordingly in place of deceased complainant.

6. On the basis of the pleadings, the following issues arise:

   i. Whether the deceased complainant Ambalal Sanva was a contract employee and the contract was sham and bogus?

   ii. Whether the complainant was the employee of ONGC?

   iii. To what relief, if any, the legal heir of the deceased complainant is entitled?

7. **Issue No. i, ii and iii:** As all the issues are interrelated, therefore, are decided together. The legal heirs of the complainant filed an affidavit 24 reiterating the averments made in the complaint. In his cross-examination, he has stated that besides him, his mother and sisters are the legal heirs of his deceased father. He is working as a security guard in a company through contractor who is also a contractor of ONGC. He has stated that his deceased father was also a contract labour. He does not know as to whether ONGC has given any appointment letter to his father or not. He has further stated that the contractor paid the wages to his father. He does not have any proof to show that his father was served with the contractor for more than 240 days in any calendar year. His father was received wages for the days he used to work. His father has maintained the whole family till his death.

8. From the pleadings of the parties and oral evidence available on record, it is established that the deceased complainant was the employee of contractor M/s Public Power Mazdoor Kamdar Sahakari Mandli Ltd. ONGC has no master and servant relationship with the deceased complainant, therefore, ONGC bears no liability regarding the deceased complainant. Considering the above stated facts and settled legal position by the apex court that even in non-compliance of Section 25 F with the daily wager, proper relief to be granted is compensation and not reinstatement as held by the apex court in case of Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited V/s Mansingh, 2012 (1) SCC 558. Since the complainant was expired on 14.10.2009 i.e. during the pendency of the complaint, hence even on this point, the complainant is not entitled to get reinstated. As held by the apex court in various pronouncements, the legal heirs of the complainant are entitled to get lump-sum compensation. Thus it would be appropriate to award a lump-sum compensation of Rs.50000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) to be paid to the legal heirs of the deceased complainant in lieu of back wages and all other employment dues. No relief can be granted against ONGC. All the issues are decided accordingly.

9. The opposite party no. 1 M/s Public Power Mazdoor Kamdar Sahakari Mandli Ltd., Opp. Dhoot Sagar Dairy, Highway Road, Mehsana is directed to pay the aforesaid amount of Rs.50000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) to the legal heirs of the deceased complainant within 60 days from the publication of this order.

10. The order is passed accordingly.

P. K. CHATURVEDI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 679.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बैंक इंदौर के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 127/2005) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 26/4/2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल—12012/30/2005—आईआर(बी—1)]

बी. एस. बिष्ट, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 679.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 127/2005) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Jabalpur now as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of State Bank of Indore and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 26.4.2019.

[No. L-12012/30/2005-IR(B-1)]

B. S. BISHT, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

NO. CGIT/LC/R/127/2005

General Secretary,
Nationalised Bank Karmchari Sangathan,
9, Sanwer Road,
Ujjain. …Workman/Union

Versus

General Manager (O),
State Bank of Indore,
Head Office, Indore (MP) …Management

AWARD

Passed on this 28th day of March 2019

1. As per letter dated 11-11-2005 by the Government of India, Ministry of Labor, New Delhi, the reference is received. The reference is made to this Tribunal under Section -10 of I.D.Act, 1947 as per Notification No.L-12012/30/2005-IR(B-I). The dispute under reference relates to:

   "Whether the action of the management of State Bank of Indore in imposing the penalty of stoppage of Head Cashier allowance and stoppage of 2 annual increments as well as treating the suspension period as "off duty" after reducing the proposed punishment of compulsory retirement from service on Shri Jagdish Prasad Gautam is legal and justified? If not, what relief he is entitled?"

2. After receiving reference, notices were issued to the parties. Workman filed statement of claim. In his statement of claim, workman has stated that he was first appointed as clerk cum cashier with the Vidisha branch of the Bank on 8-6-77. During the course of service, he was transferred at Nateran branch and was posted as Head cashier in the year 1982. He was issued a charge sheet by the department on the basis of information, given by the Branch Manager of the Bank on 16-3-1985. This charge sheet was further amended and an amended charge sheet dated 9-4-85 was issued, he filed a written reply of the charge sheet. Mr. J.C.Mahajan was appointed as Enquiry Officer who conducted enquiry without allowing the workman to bring his representative. The Presenting Officer persuaded the workman to admit the charges and it is on his persuasion, he admitted the charges. The Enquiry Officer filed an Enquiry Report dated 12-6-85 holding the workman guilty. The finding was against fact and evidence because there was no witness to examine during the enquiry to prove the charges. The appointing authority passed order of dismissal on the basis of Enquiry Report without giving any opportunity of personal hearing on the proposed sentence. The workman filed an appeal before the departmental Appellate Authority who set aside the termination and passed the impugned punishment regarding stoppage of Head cashier allowance and 2 annual increments as well as of treating suspension period as "off duty" which is against law and against bipartite settlement above mentioned. Accordingly. the workman prayed for setting aside of impugned punishment and back wages accordingly.

3. The employer Bank, in their Written statement of defense just raised the preliminary objection that since the workman has now been promoted as Manager and posted in Vidisha Branch, he cannot be treated as a workman as defined under Section 2(s) of ID Act, 1947, hence the reference is not maintainable before the Tribunal. It was also pleaded that during the period workman was posted in Nateran branch, complaints of grave misconduct and misbehavior were received against him. He was suspended on 24-1-1985 and chargesheet dated 16-3-1985 , which was amended and amended chargesheet dated 9th April 1985 were served on him. The charges were that on 29th September 1984, workman refused to open the cash safe of the branch adversely affecting the working of Bank, on that date, he did not furnish his cash scroll to the Manager and other 3 charges regarding misappropriation of money and in subordination, mentioned in the para-9 of Written Statement asunder-

   1. The applicant on 29-9-84 refused to open the cash safe, therefore the Branch Manager had to bring Rs.3000 from the Cooperative Bank so as to run the business of the Bank;
   2. On 29-9-1984, the applicant did not handover his cash scroll to the Manager, therefore, a new scroll was to be opened so as to discharge the daily work;
   3. On 8-12-1984, the applicant did not make payment of Rs.30/- against withdrawal made by Bank employee Shri Chandrakant Changad despite it was passed by Branch Manager;
   4. On examining the case of dated 10-12-1984, difference of Rs.30/- was found in the cash memo and cash so collected and payment of Rs.30/- was found to have been made on 10-12-1984 whereas it was passed for 8-12-1984.

5. The applicant doesnot comply with the office orders issued by the Branch Manager form time to time and refuses to put signatures on the registers of such orders(e.g he refused to put signatures for the orders dated 28-9-84 and 8-12-84).

4. It was further pleaded that the workman filed a written explanation for the charges vide his letter dated 27-3-1985 which were not satisfactory and a regular DE was instituted. The workman unconditionally admitted all the charges without any fear or coercion. He refused to cross-examine any witness, he also refused to adduce any evidence in his defense and on the basis of his plead, held guilty. The Enquiry Officer filed his report dated 12-6-1985 holding the charges proved against the workman. After receiving the Enquiry Report, workman was issued show cause notice on the point of proposed sentence of dismissal on 12-6-1985. He filed written reply of the notice on 4-7-85. After considering the material, a sentence regarding dismissal was passed by Appointing Authority on 7-7-85. Workman preferred a departmental appeal which was disposed vide order dated 4-7-86 setting aside the dismissal and passing the impugned punishment as mentioned. It was further pleaded that the Departmental Enquiry was conducted legally and properly and sentence imposed is not shockingly dis-appropriate to the charges proved.

5. On the basis of respective pleadings, preliminary issue/ point for determination regarding fairness and legality of departmental enquiry was framed by his learned predecessor. Preliminary issue was decided by my learned predecessor vide order dated 25-4-2017 whereby he held the Departmental Enquiry proper and legal. This order shall be part of this award. Following other issues were framed:-

(2) Whether the charges alleged against workman are proved from evidence in Enquiry Proceedings?

(3) Whether the punishment of stoppage of Head Cashier Allowance and two annual increments and compulsory retirement imposed against workman is legal and proper?

(4) If so, to what relief the workman is entitled to?

6. It is further to mention here that no further evidence was adduced on the point of 3 issues as mentioned which were framed later on after deciding preliminary issue. Mr. R. Nagwanshi, representative of the Union preferred to file written argument which is on record. Learned counsel for management has also filed written argument. I have gone through the respective written argument and also the record.

7. Point for determination No.2 & 3

In his written statement of claim, workman has himself admitted that he admitted the guilt during the course of Departmental Enquiry. His case is that he did so on the promise that he will not be terminated from service. The burden is on the workman to prove this fact of the alleged assurance before the Enquiry Officer to prove his case that his admission of guilt was not unconditional rather it was after a promise given by the Bank/ Enquiry Officer. He could do so before the Appellate Authority. The records of enquiry have been proved in evidence during the hearing of preliminary issue No.1. The written admission given by the workman before the Enquiry Officer is on record which does not show that it was given involuntarily hence on the basis of admission of charges which is not disputed at any stage, it is held that charges against the workman were proved during the domestic enquiry.

I am supported by a decision of Hon'ble Apex Court in case of **Central Bank of India vs Karumamoy reported in AIR-1986-80-266 wherein it has been held that if the workman admits his guilt, then to insist upon the management to let an evidence about the allegations will be an empty formality.**

8. On the basis of above discussion, it is held that charges against workman were proved during the domestic enquiry on the basis of his admission of guilt. The charges proved against workman are as under:-

1. The applicant on 29-9-84 refused to open the cash safe, therefore the Branch Manager had to bring Rs.3000 from the Cooperative Bank so as to run the business of the Bank;

2. On 29-9-1984, the applicant did not handover his cash scroll to the Manager, therefore, a new scroll was to be opened so as to discharge the daily work;

3. On 8-12-1984, the applicant did not make payment of Rs.30/- against withdrawal made by Bank employee Shri Chandrakant Changad despite it was passed by Branch Manager;

4. On examining the case of dated 10-12-1984, difference of Rs.30/- was found in the cash memo and cash so collected and payment of Rs.30/- was found to have been made on 10-12-1984 whereas it was passed for 8-12-1984;

5. The applicant doesnot comply with the office orders issued by the Branch Manager form time to time and refuses to put signatures on the registers of such orders(e.g he refused to put signatures for the orders dated 28-9-84 and 8-12-84).

9. These charges relate to the dereliction of duty insubordination hence are in the category of grave misconduct warranting major punishment. The appellate authority has taken a lenient view in setting aside the termination

passed by the Appointing Authority and converting it into stoppage of Head Cashier allowance and 2 annual increments as well as treating suspension period as "off duty". Hence in no parameter, the charges can be held shockingly disproportionate to the charges. Point No.2 is answered accordingly.

10. Point for determination No.4-

On the basis of above discussion, the applicant is not entitled to any relief.

11. In the result, award is passed as under:-

(1) **The action of the management of State Bank of Indore in imposing the penalty of stoppage of Head Cashier allowance and stoppage of 2 annual increments as well as treating the suspension period as "off duty" after reducing the proposed punishment of compulsory retirement from service on Shri Jagdish Prasad Gautam is legal and justified.**

(2) **The workman Shri Jagdish Prasad Gautam is not entitled to any relief.**

12. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment as per rules.

Dated:28-3-2019

P. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 680.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय स्टेट बैंक के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 66/2009) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 26/4/2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल–12011/70/2008–आईआर(बी. 1)]

बी. एस. बिष्ट, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 680.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 66/2009) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Jabalpur now as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of State Bank of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 26.4.2019.

[No. L-12011/70/2008-IR(B-1)]

B. S. BISHT, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

NO. CGIT/LC/R/66/2009

General Secretary,
Dainik Vetan Bhogi Bank Karmchari Sangathan,
F-1, Tripti Vihar, Opp. Engg. College,
Ujjain. …Workman/Union

Versus

Chief General Manager,
State Bank of India,
Regional Head Office,
Hoshangabad Road, Bhopal. …Management

AWARD

Passed on this 27th day of March 2019

1. As per letter dated 1-7-2009 by the Government of India, Ministry of Labor, New Delhi, the reference is received. The reference is made to this Tribunal under Section -10 of I.D.Act, 1947 as per Notification No.L-12011/70/2008-IR(B-I). The dispute under reference relates to:

"Whether Shri Kamal Damodare S/o Shri Motiram Damodare is entitled for payment of difference of wages as per Bipartite Settlement? If yes, what relief he is entitled to?"

2. After receiving reference, notices were issued to the parties. Workman filed statement of claim. In his statement of claim, he has claimed his dues from 1-9-95 to 1-4-02 as arrears with an allegation that he had been

working since 1-9-95 as a daily wager with the MG Road, Indore branch of the bank. He was disengaged by the employers on 1-4-2002. He raised a dispute with the Central Government and reference was made which was registered as R/132/95 and award was passed on 25-4-2014. He further stated that his wages were paid by the Bank from the profit and loss account. He is entitled to pay, details given in Para-9 & 11 of the petition. In the light of Desai Award and Ist Bipartite Agreement dated 19-10-66 which was not paid to him though he was entitled to get it. Accordingly he has sought relief regarding the payment of his arrears as mentioned above.

3. Management filed its Written Statement of defense wherein they denied that workman was engaged at any point of time with the Bank. It was further pleaded by the employers that the workman was simply engaged for 1-2 hours in a day that too purely on casual basis. He was not employed by the Bank. His engaged was against rules. He was disengaged later on. He raised dispute on the basis of which reference was made by appropriate Government to this court which was registered as CGIT/LC/R/132/05 and was answered in negative. Accordingly, he is not entitled to get regular pay scale/ difference of wages as claimed by him which is only for permanent employees.

4. Both the sides have examined on oath themselves. Workman has examined himself on oath whereas management has examined Hariom Gupta, Chief Manager on oath who have stated and supported their respective claims as mentioned above. Copy of the award dated passed in the reference case R/132/2005 is also on record. At the time of argument, none appeared from the side of Bank.

5. Mr. Ram Nagwanshi appeared as Union Representative on behalf of workman. He submitted oral argument and also filed memorandum of argument I have gone through the written argument and also gone through the records.

6. The main argument on behalf of workman is that when it is proved that he has worked with the employer Bank, he was entitled to difference of salary in the light of bipartite agreement and award as mentioned by him, details given in his statement of claim whereas the argument of the Bank is that this agreement and award applies only to regular/ permanent employees of the Bank and not to the casual labors. Hence the point for determination which arise is as under:-

"Whether it is proved that the workman has been in engagement, may be as daily wager on regular basis or not."

Award (Copy) in the connected reference case is on record. Perusal of which reveals that the claim of workman that he worked continuously for 240 days in the preceding 12 months of his date of disengagement was not proved and accordingly his disengagement was not held violative of Section 25 B of I.D.Act. It was also held that the employers were not bound to comply the provisions of Section 25-F before his disengagement in his case and his disengagement was found legal and proper. There is nothing on record to show that this finding by the Tribunal has been overturned by any superior Court hence when it is not proved that workman has been in a regular engagement may be as a daily wager, he cannot be held entitled to any difference of pay as claimed by him under the award and bipartite agreement.

7. On the basis of above discussion, it is held that the workman Kamal Damodare is not entitled to difference of wages as per bipartite settlement.

8. In the result, award is passed as under:-

"The workman Shri Kamal Damodare S/o Shri Motiram Damodare is not entitled for payment of difference of wages as per Bipartite Settlement."

9. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labor & Employment as per rules.

Dated : 27-3-2019

P. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 681.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बैंक इंदौर के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 165/2002) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 26/4/2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल—12012/162/2002—आईआर(बी—1)]

बी. एस. बिष्ट, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2019

S.O. 681.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 165/2002) of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court,* Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of State Bank of Indore and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 26.4.2019.

[No. L-12012/162/2002-IR(B-1)]

B. S. BISHT, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

NO. CGIT/LC/R/165/2002

General Secretary,
Rashtriya Bank Karmchari Sangthan,
9, Sanwer Road, Ujjain (MP) **…Workman/Union**

Versus

General Manager (Operations),
State Bank of Indore,
Head Office, 5, Yeshwant Niwas Road,
Indore (MP) **…Management**

AWARD

Passed on this 25th day of March 2019

1. As per letter dated 7-11-2002 by the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, the reference is received. The reference is made to this Tribunal under Section -10 of I.D.Act, 1947 as per Notification No.L-12012/162/2002-IR(B-I). The dispute under reference relates to:

   "Whether the action of the management of General Manager (Operation), State Bank of Indore in stopping 4 annual increments with retrospective e effect in r/o Shri Narendra Shah, Daftari is justified? If not, what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. After receiving reference, notices were issued to the parties. Workman filed statement of claim. In his statement of claim, workman has alleged that he was first appointed on 29th August 1981 in Paitlavat branch of State Bank of Indore as a peon. During course of his employment, he was promoted as Daftary in the year 1989. In the year 1994, when he was posted in Rajgarh branch of the Bank, he was called by the then employer and was given charge of Head cashier and he worked as Head cashier, doing clerical work since 1997. It was further alleged that the Manager instructed him to issue certificate to one Suraj Pawar, on the fact that Suraj Pawar has been working since last 3 years with the Bank as a daily wager employee which he issued under the instruction of the Branch Manager. Also it was alleged that a charge sheet was issued to him with reference to this certificate and enquiry was conducted against him which was against principles of natural justice, without giving him a fair chance to put his defense and he was held guilty for the charge of issuing unauthorizedly a false certificate. It is also his case that before awarding impugned punishment, no opportunity was given to him on the point of sentence. He raised the dispute with the Government, accordingly he has claimed that the punishment being excessive be set-aside.

3. The employer bank has pleaded in his Written Statement of defense that when the applicant was posted as Daftary at Rajgarh branch, he committed certain omissions and commissions and misconduct under Ist Bipartite Settlement. He was served charge sheet alleging charges and was directed to submit a reply. He submitted a reply which was not satisfactory. Therefore a domestic enquiry was held. Mr. A.K.Joshi an officer of the Bank was deputed as Enquiry Officer and S.N.Harbude was made Presenting Officer. During the enquiry, the workman admitted the charges in writing and in view of his admission/ plead guilty, the charges were held proved by the Enquiry Officer and thereafter impugned punishment with regard to stoppage of 4 increments with cumulative effect w.e.f. 1991 was passed. Also it was pleaded that the workman did not prefer any appeal or representation and accepted the punishment and also that the enquiry was conducted properly keeping in view the principles of natural justice in mind and the punishment is not excessive.

4. On the basis of pleadings, following issues/ points for determination were framed by my learned predecessor:-

   (1) Whether the domestic enquiry conducted against workman is proper and legal?

   This issue was decided as preliminary issue vide order dated 16-9-2014. This order is also a part of this award. The domestic enquiry was held legal and properly conducted. Thereafter following other issues/ points for determination was framed by my learned predecessor-

(2) Whether charges against workman are proved?

(3) Whether punishment of with-holding 4 increments of workman is legal?

(4) What relief the workman is entitled?

5. No further evidence was adduced by any of the parties on these issues. I have heard argument of Mr.Ram Nagwanshi. None present from the side of management. no written argument were filed by management. I have gone through the record.

6. Point for determination No.2-

7. There is on record, written admission of charge filed by the workman during the enquiry. In his statement of claim also, workman has stated that he issued a certificate but on the instructions of the Manager. Hence, he does not deny the issue of certificate for which he was not authorized. His claim that this certificate was issued on instruction of Manager requires proof. The enquiry report itself states that no evidence was given by the workman during enquiry nor did he cross-examined any of the witnesses. Hence on the basis of his admission alone, the Enquiry Officer was fully justified in law and fact holding the charges against the workman proved. On the basis of above discussion, the charges levelled against workman during the domestic enquiry are held proved on the basis of his admission. Issue No.2 is answered accordingly.

8. Point for determination No.3-

9. The charge proved against workman is that he exceeded his jurisdiction and issued certificate which he was not authorized and the punishment given for the charge is stoppage of 4 increments with retrospective effect. There is nothing on record to show that this punishment is excessive. Particularly in the light of fact that there had been complaints of wrong omissions and commissions and misconduct against the workman and also that he did not improve even after the punishment and was compulsorily retired when he was found guilty for other charges of misconduct. Hence it is held that the punishment imposed is not shockingly disproportionate so as to warrant interference by this Tribunal. It should be kept in mind that this Tribunal is not an Appellate Authority regarding the disciplinary proceedings.

10. **Point for determinationNo.4-**

11. On the basis of above discussion, applicant is not entitled to relief claimed by him.

12. In the result, award is passed as under:-

(1) **The action of the management of General Manager (Operation), State Bank of Indore in stopping 4 annual increments with retrospective e effect in r/o Shri Narendra Shah, Daftari is justified.**

(2) **Workman is not entitled to any relief.**

13. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment as per rules.

Dated:25-3-2019

P. K. SRIVASTAVA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 682.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मैसर्स बी.सी.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं.–1, धनबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 150/1999) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 25/4/2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल–20012/140/1999–आईआर(सी–1)]

एम. के. सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2019

S.O. 682.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. 1,* Dhanbad (Ref. No. 150 of 1999) as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s. BCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 25.4.2019.

[No. L-20012/140/1999-IR(C-I)]

M. K. SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO.1, DHANBAD

In the matter of reference U/S 10 (1) (d) (2A) of I.D.Act. 1947.

Reference : No. 150/1999

Employer in relation to the management of Katrash Area of M/S BCCL,

AND

Their workman

Present : Sri D.K. Singh, Presiding Officer

Appearances:

For the Employers:- Shri Rahul Kumar Mondal Dy. Manager

For the workman. :- Shri K.D.P.Yadav, President (NCWC)

State : Jharkhand. Industry- Coal

Dated- 26/03/ 2019

AWARD

By order No. L-20012/140/1999-IR(C-I) dated 15/07/1999 the central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub–section (1) and sub-section (2A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of BCCL in recording the age of 50 years as on 21.07.88 of Sri Mahendra Singh, Pump Operator when his date of birth is 01.01.1949 in his service record is proper and justified? If not, what direction is required in this respect and to what relief is the concerned workman entitled?"

2. After receipt of the reference, both parties were noticed and during the hearing of the case, Ld. President of Sponsoring Union has informed that workman has not been interested in contesting the case. It is felt that the workman has lost his interest to resolve the matter. Hence "No dispute" award is passed. communicate.

D. K. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 683.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मैसर्स बी.सी.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं.–1, धनबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 151/1999) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 25/4/2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल–20012/587/1998–आईआर(सी–1)]

एम. के. सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2019

S.O. 683.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. 1,* Dhanbad (Ref. No. 151 of 1999) as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s. BCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 25.4.2019.

[No. L-20012/587/1998-IR(C-1)]

M. K. SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO.1, DHANBAD

In the matter of reference U/S 10 (1) (d) (2A) of I.D.Act. 1947.

Reference : No. 150/1999

Employer in relation to the management of Bastacolla Area of M/s BCCL,

AND

Their workman

Present : Sri D.K. Singh, Presiding Officer.

Appearances:

For the Employers:- None

For the workman. :- None

State : Jharkhand. Industry- Coal

Dated : 25/03/ 2019

AWARD

By order No. L-20012/587/1998-IR(C-I) dated 06/07/1999 the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub –section (1) and sub-section (2A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the demand of the Union to refer to Medical Board for determination of date of birth of Shri Kapildeb Sharma, Night Guard under 1.1.76 (JBCCI) is justified? If yes, to what relief the workman is entitled and from what date?"

2. After receipt of the reference, both parties were noticed but both parties failed to appear before this Tribunal. Notices were issued but even then none of the parties appeared. Case is pending since long. So, it appears that the workman has lost his interest to resolve the matter. Hence "No dispute" award is passed. Communicate.

D. K. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 684.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मैसर्स बी.सी.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं.–1, धनबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 204/1999) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 25/4/2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल–20012/394/1999–आईआर(सी–1)]

एम. के. सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2019

S.O. 684.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. 1,* Dhanbad (Ref. No. 204 of 1999) as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in srelation to the management of M/s. BCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 25.4.2019.

[No. L-20012/394/1999-IR(C-I)]

M. K. SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO.1, DHANBAD

In the matter of reference U/S 10 (1) (d) (2A) of I.D.Act. 1947.

Reference: No. 204/1999

Employer in relation to the management of P.B.Area of M/S.BCCL

AND

Their workman

Present : Shri D.K.Singh, Presiding Officer.

Appearances:

For the Employers :- None

For the workman. :- None

State : Jharkhand Industry- Coal

Dated- 27/03/ 2019

AWARD

By order No. L-20012/394/1999-IR(C-I) dated 02/12/1999, the central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub –section (1) and sub-section (2A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the demand of the Union from the management of Gopalichuk Colliery of M/S BCCL to regularize Sri Ram Chet Beldar as an office clerk is proper and justified? If yes to what relief the workman is entitled and from what date?"

2. After receipt of the reference, both parties were noticed. The workmen appeared for certain dates, but subsequently left appearing before this Tribunal. Case is pending since long. It appears that the workmen has lost his interest to resolve the matter. Hence No Dispute Award is passed. Communicate.

D. K. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 685.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मैसर्स बी. सी.सी.एल. प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं.–1, धनबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 206/1999) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 25/4/2019 को प्राप्त हुए थे।

[सं. एल–20012/371/1999–आईआर(सी–1)]

एम. के. सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2019

S.O. 685.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. 1,* Dhanbad (Ref. No. 206 of 1999) as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s. BCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 25.4.2019.

[No. L-20012/371/1999-IR(C-I)]

M. K. SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO.1, DHANBAD

In the matter of reference U/S 10 (1) (d) (2A) of I.D.Act. 1947.

Reference: No. 206/1999

Employer in relation to the management of Govindpur Area of M/S. BCCL

AND

Their workman

Present : Shri D.K.Singh, Presiding Officer.

Appearances:

For the Employers :- None

For the workman. :- None

State : Jharkhand. Industry- Coal

Dated- 26/03/ 2019

AWARD

By order No. L-20012/371/1999-IR(C-I) dated 02/12/1999, the central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub –section (1) and sub-section (2A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Govindpur Area No.III of M/S BCCL Dhanbad to deny regularization of Sri Ram Karan Mistry Block IV as lamp issue clerk is just and proper ? If not to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. After receipt of the reference, both parties were noticed but both parties failed to appear before this Tribunal. Notices were issued but even then none of the parties appeared. Case is pending since long. so, it appears that the workmen has lost his interest to resolve the matter. Hence "No Dispute" Award is passed. Communicate.

D. K. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 686.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मैसर्स बी. सी.सी.एल. प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं.–1, धनबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 207/1999) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 25/4/2019 को प्राप्त हुए थे।

[सं. एल–20012/373/1999–आईआर (सी–1)]

एम. के. सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2019

S.O. 686.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. 1,* Dhanbad (Ref. No. 207 of 1999) as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of M/s. BCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 25.4.2019.

[No. L-20012/373/1999-IR(C-I)]

M. K. SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO.1, DHANBAD

In the matter of reference U/S 10 (1) (d) (2A) of I.D.Act. 1947.

Reference: No. 207/1999

Employer in relation to the management of Govindpur Area of M/S. BCCL

AND

Their workman.

Present : Shri D.K.Singh, Presiding Officer.

Appearances:

For the Employers :- Shri D.K.Verma, Advocate

For the workman. :- None

State : Jharkhand. Industry- Coal

Dated- 25/03/2019

AWARD

By order No. L-20012/373/1999-IR(C-I) dated 02/12/1999, the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub –section (1) and sub-section (2A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of South Govindpur Colliery of Area No.III M/S BCCL Dhanbad to deny regularization of Sri Jailal Mahato as underground munshi in clerical Gr.II with protection of wages is just and proper? If not to what relief Shri Jailal Mahato is entitled?"

2. After receipt of the reference, both parties were noticed. The workmen appeared for certain dates, but subsequently left appearing before this Tribunal. The management remains present through lawyer. Case is pending since long. It appears that the workmen has lost his interest to resolve the matter. Hence "No Dispute" Award is passed. Communicate.

D. K. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 687.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मैसर्स बी.सी.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं.–1, धनबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 208/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 25/04/.2019 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल–20012/333/2001–आईआर (सी-I)]

एम. के. सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2019

S.O. 687.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. 1 Dhanbad* (Ref. No. 208/2001) as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M/s BCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 25/04/2019.

[No. L-20012/333/2001-IR(C-I)]

M. K. SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO.1, DHANBAD

In the matter of reference U/S 10 (1) (d) (2A) of I.D.Act. 1947.

Reference: No. 208/2001

Employer in relation to the management of Barora.Area of M/S.BCCL

AND

Their workman

Present: Shri D.K.Singh, Presiding Officer.

Appearances:

For the Employers : None

For the workman. : None

State : Jharkhand. Industry- Coal

Dated- 28/03/2019

AWARD

By order No. L-20012/333/2001-IR(C-I) dated 21/09/2001, the central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub–section (1) and sub-section (2A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the demand of the National coal workers congress of BCCL, Barora Area-1 to promote/ regularize Sri Kashi Nath Gour as Exv. Gr.D in 01.01.92 to Gr.C in 01.01.97 and in Gr.B 01.01.2001 with payment of arrear salary is proper and justified? If yes, to what relief the workman is entitled ?

2. After receipt of the reference, both parties were noticed but both parties failed to appear before this Tribunal. Shri S.C.Gour who had received the notice on behalf of workman has informed that workman is not interested in contesting the case. Now the case is pending since long. so, it appears that the workmen has lost his interest to resolve the matter. Hence No Dispute Award is passed. Communicate.

D. K. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 688.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मैसर्स बी.सी.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं.–1, धनबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 205/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 25/04/.2019 को प्राप्त हुआ थे।

[सं. एल–20012/145/2001–आईआर (सी-I)]

एम. के. सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2019

S.O. 688.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. 1 Dhanbad* (Ref. No. 205/2001) as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M/s BCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 25/04/2019.

[No. L-20012/145/2001-IR(C-I)]

M. K. SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO.1, DHANBAD

In the matter of reference U/S 10 (1) (d) (2A) of I.D.Act. 1947.

Reference: No. 205/2001

Employer in relation to the management of Jealgora Colliery of M/S.BCCL

AND

Their workman

Present : Shri D.K.Sing, Presiding Officer

Appearances:

For the Employers : None

For the workman. : None

State : Jharkhand. Industry- Coal

Dated-26/03/2019

AWARD

By order No. L-20012/145/2001-IR(C-I) dated 18/09/2001, the central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub –section (1) and sub-section (2A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the Demand of Colliery Karmchari Sangh from the management of Jealgora Colliery of M/S. BCCL that Sri Shiv Shankar Singh is to be promote in Techinical Grade 'C' in Asstt. Foreman(Auto) is proper and justified? If yes, to what relief is entitled the claimant entitled and which date?"

2. After receipt of the reference, both parties were noticed but both parties failed to appear before this Tribunal. Notices were issued but even then none of the parties appeared. Case is pending since long. so, it appears that the workmen has lost his interest to resolve the matter. Hence "No Dispute" Award is passed. Communicate.

D. K. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 689.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मैसर्स बी.सी.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं.–1, धनबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 203/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 25/04/.2019 को प्राप्त हुए थे।

[सं. एल–20012/11/2001–आईआर (सी-I)]

एम. के. सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2019

S.O. 689.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. 1 Dhanbad* (Ref. No. 203 of 2001) as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M/s BCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 25/04/2019.

[No. L-20012/11/2001-IR(C-I)]

M. K. SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO.1, DHANBAD

In the matter of reference U/S 10 (1) (d) (2A) of I.D.Act. 1947.

Reference: No. 203/2001

Employer in relation to the management of North Tisra Colliery of M/S.BCCL

AND

Their workman

Present : Shri D.K.Singh, Presiding Officer

Appearances:

For the Employers : Shri D.K.Verma, Advocate

For the workman. : None

State : Jharkhand. Industry- Coal

Dated- 25/03/ 2019

AWARD

By order No. L-20012/11/2001-IR(C-I) dated 18/09/2001, the central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub –section (1) and sub-section (2A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the demand of the RCMS from the management of BCCL North Tisra Colliery for regularizing Sri Yamuna Tiwari in Techinical Grade "C" from 1991 is justified? If so to what relief is the workman entitled and from what date?"

2. After receipt of the reference, both parties were noticed. The workmen appeared for certain dates, but subsequently left appearing before this Tribunal. Notice were issued but even then none appeared on behalf of the workman. More over one of the notices returned with endorsement " Not Known". The management remains present through lawyer. Case is pending since long. It appears that the workmen has lost his interest to resolve the matter. Hence "No Dispute" Award is passed. Communicate.

D. K.SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2019

का.आ. 690.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार मैसर्स बी.सी.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं.–1, धनबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 203/1999) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 25/04/.2019 को प्राप्त हुए था।

[सं. एल–20012/390/1999–आईआर (सी-I)]

एम. के. सिंह, अनुभाग अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2019

S.O. 690.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the *Cent.Govt.Indus.Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. 1 Dhanbad* (Ref. No. 203/1999) as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M/s BCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 25/04/2019.

[No. L-20012/390/1999-IR(C-I)]

M. K. SINGH, Section Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO.1, DHANBAD

In the matter of reference U/S 10 (1) (d) (2A) of I.D.Act. 1947.

Reference: No. 203/1999

Employer in relation to the management of P.B.Area of M/S.BCCL

AND

Their workman

Present : Shri D.K.Singh, Presiding Officer

Appearances:

For the Employers : None

For the workman. : None

State : Jharkhand. Industry- Coal

Dated- 28/03/ 2019

AWARD

By order No. L-20012/390/1999-IR(C-I) dated 02/12/1999, the central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub –section (1) and sub-section (2A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the demand of the Union before the management of Gopalichuk Colliery under P.B.Area of M/S BCCL to regularize S/Sri Raj Ballabh Paswan and Madan Kumar Sinha as Attendance clerk is proper and justified ? If yes, to what relief the workman are entitled and from what date?"

2. After receipt of the reference, both parties were noticed. The workmen appeared for certain dates, but subsequently left appearing before this Tribunal. Case is pending since 13.12.1999. It appears that the workmen has lost his interest to resolve the matter. Hence No Dispute Award is passed. Communicate.

D. K. SINGH, Presiding Officer

Uploaded by Dte. of Printing at Government of India Press, Ring Road, Mayapuri, New Delhi-110064
and Published by the Controller of Publications, Delhi-110054.

ALOK KUMAR Digitally signed by ALOK KUMAR Date: 2019.05.16 09:42:11 +05'30'